NOTES: [1] ADDITIONAL CASE INFORMATION FOR THIS MEETING CAN BE FOUND AT HTTP://WWW.ROCKWALL.COM/MEETINGS.ASP, AND [2] TO PROVIDE INPUT ON A ZONING OR SPEICIFIC USE PERMIT CASE PLEASE CLICK HERE OR CLICK ON THE LINK ABOVE AND USE THE ZONING AND SPECIFIC USE PERMIT INPUT FORM ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE.

## (I) CALL TO ORDER

## (II) APPOINTMENTS

(1) Appointment with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) Chairman to receive the Architectural Review Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.
(III) OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Planning and Zoning Commission on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Planning and Zoning Commission is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

## (IV) CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.
(2) Approval of minutes for the July 26, 2022 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

## (3) P2022-034 (ANGELICA GAMEZ)

Discuss and consider a request by Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots 1-5, Block E, Park Place, Phase IV Addition being a 1.286-acre tract of land identified as Lot 2, Block E, Park Place, Phase III Addition and Tracts 53 \& 35 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 57 (PD-57) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) and Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, located at the northeast corner of the intersection of T. L. Townsend Drive and Park Place Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## (V) PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Planning and Zoning Commission (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.

## (4) Z2022-035 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as a Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for SingleFamily 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E. Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street, and take any action necessary.

## (5) Z2022-036 (BETHANY ROSS)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David Scott and Christine Fischer for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an Agricultural Accessory Building on a ten (10) acre parcel of land identified as Lot 2, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, generally located at the terminus of Breezy Hill Lane, and take any action necessary.

## (6) Z2022-037 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District
and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses on a 65.309-acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision; Tract 6 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and being portions of Tracts 1 \& 6-1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and take any action necessary.
(7) Z2022-038 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] to incorporate a 21.83acre tract of land into the existing 93.97-acre tract of land being identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and Tracts 13 \& 25 and a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (DF10) District land uses, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

## (VI) ACTION ITEMS

These items are not advertised public hearings and deal with discretionary approvals for the Planning and Zoning Commission related to variances and special exceptions to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) or Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.
(8) SP2022-040 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Aaron Davis of SBM Real Estates Services for the approval of a Site Plan for an Office Park on a 2.36-acre tract of land identified as Tract 1-2 of the W. H. Baird Survey, Abstract No. 25, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Commercial (C) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located on the west side of John King Boulevard south of the intersection of John King Boulevard and SH-276, and take any action necessary.

## (9) SP2022-041 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Jon Bailey of Helping Hands for the approval of a Site Plan for a Commercial Building on an 8.869-acre tract of land identified Lot 1, Block A, Waggoner Gardens Inc. Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, addressed as 950 Williams Street, and take any action necessary.

## (VII) DISCUSSION ITEMS

These items are for discussion between staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission and relate to administrative information and/or cases that will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Planning and Zoning Commission.
(10) Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2022-035: Replat for Lot 3, Block 1, Rockwall Recreational Addition (APPROVED)
- P2022-036: Final Plat for Lots 1 \& 2, Block A, Platform Rockwall Addition (APPROVED)
- Z2022-029: Amendment to Planned Development District 59 (PD-59) (APPROVED; 2ND READING)
- Z2022-030: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Craft Winery at 310 S. Goliad Street (APPROVED; $2^{\text {ND }}$ READING)
- Z2022-031: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Guest Quarters/Detached Garage at 401 S. Clark Street (APPROVED; 2ND READING)
- Z2022-033: PD Development Plan for the Harbor District (APPROVED; 2ND READING)
- Z2022-034: Zoning Change (AG to PD) for the Hance Tract (APPROVED; $1^{\text {ST }}$ READING)


## (VIII) ADJOURNMENT

The City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Commission reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time to discuss any matters listed on the agenda above, as authorized by Texas Government Code $\$ 551.071$ (Consultation with City Attorney).
This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. Request for accommodations or interpretive services must be made 48 hours prior to this meeting. Please contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 772-6406 for further information.
I, Angelica Gamez, Planning and Zoning Coordinator for the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby certify that this Agenda was posted at City Hall, in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times, on August 5,2022 at 5:00 PM, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.

NOTES: [1] ADDITIONAL CASE INFORMATION CAN BE FOUND AT
HTTPS://SITES.GOOGLE.COM/SITE/ROCKWALLPLANNING/DEVELOPMENT/DEVELOPMENT-CASES, AND [2] TO PROVIDE INPUT ON A ZONING OR
SPEICIFIC USE PERMIT CASE PLEASE CLICK HERE OR CLICK ON THE LINK ABOVE AND USE THE ZONING AND SPECIFIC USE PERMIT INPUT FORM ON THE CITY'S WEBSITE.

CALL TO ORDER
Chairman Eric Chodun brought the meeting to order at 6:00 PM. Commissioners present were John Womble, Derek Deckard, Jerry Welch, Jean Conway and Brian Llewellyn. Absent from the meeting was Commissioner Sedric Thomas. Staff members present were Planning Director Ryan Miller, Planners Henry Lee and Bethany Ross, Planning Coordinator Angelica Gamez, Civil Engineer Sarah Johnston, Assistant City Engineer Jonathan Browning, and City Engineer Amy Williams.

APPOINTMENTS

1. Appointment with the Architectural Review Board (ARB) Chairman to receive the Architectural Review Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.

A representative from the Architectural Review Board gave a brief explanation concerning the agenda items that were discussed at the Architectural Review Board meeting.
III. OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Planning and Zoning Commission on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Planning and Zoning Commission is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

Chairman Chodun explained how open forum is conducted and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward at this time.
Larry and Susan Thompson
901 S. Alamo Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. and Mrs. Thompson came forward and had questions and concerns in regards to the project coming in in their residential shared alley.
Lynn Ramsey
815 S. Alamo Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mrs. Ramsey came forward and expressed her concerns with the same project that was mentioned with the prior speakers.
Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one indicating such, Chairman Chodun closed the open forum.
V. CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.
2. Approval of minutes for the July 12, 2022 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.
3. P2022-035 (ANGELICA GAMEZ)

Consider a request by Drew Donosky of Claymoore Engineering on behalf of Clay Cooley of 1540 East IH-30 Rockwall, LLC for the approval of a Replat for Lot 3, Block 1, Rockwall Recreational Addition being a 4.40-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 2, Block 1, Rockwall Recreational Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the $\mathrm{IH}-30$ Overlay ( $\mathrm{IH}-30 \mathrm{OV}$ ) District, addressed as 1540 E . $\mathrm{IH}-30$, and take any action necessary.

## 4. P2022-036 (BETHANY ROSS)

Consider a request by Patrick Hogan, PE of Kimley Horn on behalf of Justin Day of Platform Rockwall, LP for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots $1 \& 2$, Block A, Platform Rockwall Addition being a 16.889-acre parcel of land identified as Tract 4 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, 1818, 1824 \& 1860 Airport Road, and take any action necessary.

Vice-Chairman Welch made a motion to approve the consent agenda. Commissioner Conway seconded the motion which passed by a vote of $6 \mathbf{0}$.

## ACTION ITEMS

These items are not advertised public hearings and deal with discretionary approvals for the Planning and Zoning Commission related to variances and special exceptions to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) or Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.

## 5. SP2022-031 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Deborah Binder for the approval of a Site Plan for a Mini-Warehouse and Office/Warehouse Development on a 13.55-acre tract of land identified as Lots 8 R, $9 R$ \& 10 of the Rainbow Acres Subdivision, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 96 (PD-96) for limited Commercial (C) District land uses, addressed as 5879, 5917 \& 5981 FM-3097 [Horizon Road], and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting the approval of a site plan for the purpose of constructing mini-warehouses and office warehouse development. The ARB did recommend approval of this project but there were a few concerns. This does conform to all of the requirements set forth in that Planned Development District and the General Overlay District and the General Commercial District standards. The applicant is proposing to pay the remaining balance for a fee of $\$ 22,700$. Planner Lee then advised that the applicant and Staff were present and available to answer questions.

Director Miler added conditions of approval that were not mentioned in the case memo.
Mark Scott
836 Palmas Drive
Heath, TX 75032
Mr. Scott came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Chodun made a motion to approve SP2022-031 with the conditions listed by Director Miller be met. Commissioner Womble seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.
6. SP2022-033 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by John Gardner of Kirkman Engineering for the approval of a Site Plan for a Restaurant with Less Than 2,000 SF with a DriveThrough or Drive-In for the purpose of constructing a restaurant/retail building on a 0.799 -acre portion of a larger 2.02 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 2 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 1 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District, addressed as 2335 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a site plan to construct a restaurant less than 2,000 square-feet with a drive-thru or drive in. The site plan does generally conform to the requirements but they are requesting two (2) variances. The Architectural Review Board did approve their elevations and the variance for the roof pitch. However, with the articulation, the ARB would like the applicant to meet the articulation standards. Variances do require a super majority vote by the Planning and Zoning Commission.

John Gardner
5200 State Highway 121
Colleyville, TX 76034
Mr. Gardner came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Vice-Chairman Welch made a motion to approve SP2022-033 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Womble seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.

## 7. SP2022-038 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Hellen Byrd on behalf of Donald Valk for the approval of a Site Plan for a Mini-Warehouse and Office Warehouse Facility on a 14.78 -acre tract of land identified as Tracts $8 \& 8-1$ of the J. H. Bailey Survey, Abstract No. 34, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 4649 SH-276, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a mini-warehouse and office warehouse facility on the subject property. The site plan generally conforms to our requirements in the UDC. However, they are requesting seven (7) variances to the code. Variances are discretionary items for the Commission and require a super majority vote.

Hellen Byrd
833 Mildren Lane
Fate, TX 75087
Mrs. Byrd came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Commissioner Deckard made a motion to approve SP2022-038 with the recommendation of changing drive-up finishes on drive up building one to match storage building one. Commissioner Llewellyn seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.
8. SP2022-037 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by David Shipman of Triten Real Estate Partners on behalf of Robert E. Lambreth of BSKJ Development Corporation for the approval of a Site Plan for a Warehouse/Distribution Center on a 18.480-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 1, 1-3 \& 1-7 of the J. M. Allen Survey, Abstract

No. 2, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 1725 SH-276, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting the approval of a site plan for two (2) industrial buildings. The applicant's request conforms to the majority of the City's code with the exceptions of building articulation and primary building materials. They are also requesting variances to the General Overlay district standards including the requirement of $20 \%$ stone, four sided architecture, and the 50 -foot landscape buffer along 276 . On June $28^{\text {th }}$, the ARB recommended approval of the proposed building elevations with 0 farther revisions. Any variances are a discretionary decision for the Commission and do require a super majority vote. Planner Ross advised that the applicants and staff were present and available for questions.

## David Shipman/ Will Hedges

6267 Doliver Drive
Houston, TX 77057
The applicants came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Commissioner Conway made a motion to approve SP2022-037. Commissioner Deckard seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.

## 9. MIS2022-016 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Bowen Hendrix of DuWest Realty, LLC for the approval of a Miscellaneous Case for an exception to the driveway spacing requirements for an 8.63 -acre portion of a larger 36.428 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 3 of the S. King Survey, Abstract No. 131, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, being zoned Planned Development District 70 (PD-70) for limited General Retail (GR) District land uses, situated within the North SH-205 Overlay (N. SH-205 OV) District, generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of E. Quail Run Road and N. Goliad Street [SH-205], and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. Within the applicants letter, it states that they were unable to attain and easement for one of their driveways. They are now requesting a driveway spacing exception to allow a driveway approximately 163 -feet from an existing drive. Planner Lee advised that this exception is a discretionary decision for the Board and staff is available for any questions.

## Cole Blocker

4403 N. Central Expressway
Dallas, TX 75205
Mr. Blocker came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Commissioner Womble made a motion to approve MIS2022-016. Commissioner Conway seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.
VI. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Planning and Zoning Commission (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Planning and Zoning Commission Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.
10. Z2022-027 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Adam Buczek of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Bill Lofland of the Lofland Family for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) and General Retail (GR) District land uses on a 544.89-acre tract of land identified as Tracts $3 \& 3-1$ of the A. Johnson Survey, Abstract No. 123 [355.146-acres]; Tracts $7 \& 7-2$ of the W. H. Baird Survey, Abstract No. 25 [45.744-acres]; and Tracts $3 \& 4$ of the J. R. Johnson Survey, Abstract No. 128 [144.00-acres], City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205) and SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY OV) District, generally located on the east and west side of S. Goliad Street [SH-205] at the corner of the intersection of John King Boulevard and S. Goliad Street [SH-205], and take any action necessary.

Director Ryan Miller advised that the applicant has requested to withdraw this case to allow them time to work with the property owner.
Commissioner Deckard made a motion to withdraw Z2022-027. Vice-Chairman Welch seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0
VII. DISCUSSION ITEMS

These items are for discussion between staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission and relate to administrative information and/or cases that will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Planning and Zoning Commission. The anticipated Planning and Zoning Commission public hearing and/or action date for the following cases is August 9, 2022.
11. Z2022-035 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as a Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned

Development District 87 (PD-87) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E. Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee explained that they are requesting to amend PD-87. Essentially they will be changing the ordinance, the concept plan, and they're basically combining tracts $2,3,4$, and 5 and removing the house of worship as a prohibited use.

Dub Douphrate
2235 Ridge Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Douphrate came forward and provided a brief summary in regards to the request.
Vice-Chairman Welch asked how many parking spaces were required for a church.
Bill Bricker
505 Westway Drive
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Bricker came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Chodun advised that this item will come back before the Commission on August 9, 2022 for discussion or action.
12. Z2022-036 (BETHANY ROSS)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David Scott and Christine Fischer for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an Agricultural Accessory Building on a ten (10) acre parcel of land identified as Lot 2, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, generally located at the terminus of Breezy Hill Lane, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Lee explained that the applicant is requesting an SUP for an agricultural accessory building on a 10-acre parcel of land that meets all of the requirements.

Christine Fischer
1608 Lake Crest Lane
Plano, TX 75023
Mrs. Fischer came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Chodun advised that this item will come back before the Commission on August 9, 2022 for discussion or action.
13. Z2022-037 (RYAN MILLER)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses on a 65.309 -acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision; Tract 6 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and being portions of Tracts 1 \& 6-1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and take any action necessary.

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Road
Rockwall, TX 75087

Mr. Joyce came forward and provided a PowerPoint presentation in regards to the request.
Director Miller provided additional details in regards to the request.
Bill Bricker
505 Westway Drive
Rockwall, TX 7508
Mr. Bricker came forward and provided a few details in regards to the request.
Chairman Chodun advised that this item will come back before the Commission on August 9, 2022 for discussion or action.
14. Z2022-038 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] to incorporate a 21.83 -acre tract of land into the existing 93.97 -acre tract of land being identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and Tracts 13 \& 25 and a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for

Single-Family 10 (DF-10) District land uses, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM1141, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee explained that the applicant is requesting to amend PD-93 and they are incorporating an additional 21.83-acres which will generate an additional 80 single-family homes.

Ryan Joyce
767 Justin Road
Rockwall, TX 75087
Mr. Joyce came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Chodun advised that this item will come back before the Commission on August 9,2022 for discussion or action.
15. P2022-034 (ANGELICA GAMEZ)

Discuss and consider a request by Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots 1-5, Block E, Park Place, Phase IV Addition being a 1.286-acre tract of land identified as Lot 2, Block E, Park Place, Phase III Addition and Tracts 53 \& 35 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 57 (PD-57) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) and Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, located at the northeast corner of the intersection of T. L. Townsend Drive and Park Place Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

Planning Coordinator Angelica Gamez advised that this plat needed to go before Parks Board on August 2, 2022 but will return to on the Consent Agenda at the next meeting.
16. SP2022-039 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Daniel Stewart of DEC on behalf of Nicholas Roth of Texas RTG Patio Partners-II, LLC for the approval of a Site Plan for a General Retail Store (i.e. Rooms-To-Go Patio) on a 1.93-acre portion of a larger 4.333-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 3, Block B, Town Place Marriott Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, located at the northeast corner of the intersection of the IH-30 Frontage Road and Greencrest Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross explained that the applicants are requesting a site plan for a Rooms to Go patio store on the subject property and they are currently working through staff comments. They will also need to meet l-30 Overlay district standards or compensate for the lack there of. The ARB did recommend making this building similar to the existing Rooms to Go.

Daniel Stewart
5300 Town and Country Blvd.
Frisco, TX 75034
Mr. Stewart came forward and wanted to stress that this Patio store was a different brand altogether from the Rooms to Go furniture store.

## Nicholas Roth

716 Hillwood Drive
Marietta, GA 30068
Mr. Roth came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.
Chairman Chodun advised that this item will come back before the Commission on August 9,2022 for discussion or action.
17. SP2022-040 (HENRY LEE)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Aaron Davis of SBM Real Estates Services for the approval of a Site Plan for an Office Park on a 2.36 -acre tract of land identified as Tract 1-2 of the W. H. Baird Survey, Abstract No. 25, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Commercial (C) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located on the west side of John King Boulevard south of the intersection of John King Boulevard and SH-276, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee explained that the applicant is requesting an office park that will consist of 4 single-story buildings. They'll need landscaping for residential adjacencies on the west side and south side as well as a landscape buffer along John King Blvd. Staff has informed the applicant that a photometric plan and a material sample board are needed.

Chairman Chodun advised that this item will come back before the Commission on August 9, 2022 for discussion or action.
18. SP2022-041 (BETHANY ROSS)

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Jon Bailey of Helping Hands for the approval of a Site Plan for a Commercial Building on a 8.869-acre tract of land identified Lot 1, Block A, Waggoner Gardens Inc. Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, addressed as 950 Williams Street, and take any action necessary.

Planner Bethany Ross explained that this is a request for a site plan for two (2) additional buildings for the Helping Hands Center. The applicant is still working through staff comments and will need to provide a photometric plan and material sample board. Staff should also note that the applicant will need to bring the site up to code with the proposed landscaping.

# Chairman Chodun advised that this item will come back before the Commission on August 9, 2022 for discussion or action. 

19. Director's Report of post City Council meeting outcomes for development cases (RYAN MILLER).

- P2022-029: Preliminary Plat for Lot 2, Block A, Rockwall - CCA Addition [APPROVED]
- P2022-031: Replat for Lots 1 \& 2, Block A, Fox Addition [APPROVED]
- Z2022-027: Zoning Change (AG to PD) for the Lofland Tract [POSTPONED TO THE AUGUST 1, 2022 CITY COUNCIL MEETING]
- Z2022-029: Amendment to Planned Development District 59 (PD-59) [APPROVED; 1ST READING]
- Z2022-030: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Craft Winery at 310 S. Goliad Street [APPROVED; $1^{\text {ST }}$ READING]
- Z2022-031: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Guest Quarters/Detached Garage at 401 S. Clark Street [APPROVED; $1^{S T}$ READING]
- Z2022-032: Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a Self-Service Carwash [DENIED]
- Z2022-033: PD Development Plan for the Harbor District [APPROVED; 1ST READING]
- Z2022-034: Zoning Change (AG to PD) for the Hance Tract [TABLED TO THE AUGUST 15T, 2022 CITY COUNCIL MEETING]

Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller provided a brief update about the outcome of the above referenced cases at the City Council meeting.
ADJOURNMENT
Chairman Chodun adjourned the meeting at 7:23 PM.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, Texas, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2022.

Eric Chodun, Chairman
Attest:
Angelica Gamez, Planning Coordinator

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
August 9, 2022
Bill Bricker; Columbia Development Company
P2022-034; Final Plat for Lots 1-5, Block E, Park Place, Phase IV Addition

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request by Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots $1-5$, Block E, Park Place, Phase IV Addition being a 1.286-acre tract of land identified as Lot 2, Block E, Park Place, Phase III Addition and Tracts $53 \& 35$ of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 57 (PD-57) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) and Residential-Office (RO) District land uses, located at the northeast corner of the intersection of T. L. Townsend Drive and Park Place Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

## PLAT INFORMATION

$\square$ The applicant is requesting the approval of a Final Plat for a 1.286 -acre parcel of land for the purpose of creating five (5) lots on the subject property. Four (4) of the lots will allow single-family homes and one (1) lot will allow Residential-Office (RO) District land uses.

च The subject property was annexed on June 20, 1959 by Ordinance No. 59-02 [i.e. Case No. A1959-002]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Commercial (C) District. Sometime between 1959 and 1993, the subject property changed to a Light Industrial (LI) District and then to Planned Development District 52 (PD-52) [Ordinance No. 0214] on March 18, 2002. The subject property remained zoned Planned Development District 52 (PD-52) until February 4, 2019 when the City Council approved Ordinance No. 19-08 [i.e. Case No. Z2018-057] changing the zoning to Planned Development District 59 (PD-59). On April 16, 2018, the City Council approved a Final Plat [i.e. Case No. P2018-007] for the Park Place West subdivision to lay out 82 single-family lots, which included a portion of the subject property.

च On August 2, 2022, the Parks and Recreation Board reviewed the Final Plat and made the following recommendations concerning the subject property:
(1) The property owner shall pay cash-in-lieu of land fees at $\$ 656.00$ per lot for the newly created lots.
(2) The property owner shall pay pro-rata equipment fees at $\$ 621.00$ per lot for the newly created lots.
$\square$ The surveyor has completed the majority of the technical revisions requested by staff, and this Final Plat -- conforming to the requirements for plats as stipulated by the Subdivision Ordinance in the Municipal Code of Ordinances -- is recommended for conditional approval pending the completion of final technical modifications and submittal requirements.

V Conditional approval of this Final Plat by the City Council shall constitute approval subject to the conditions stipulated in the Conditions of Approval section below.

च With the exception of the items listed in the Conditions of Approval section of this case memo, this plat is in substantial compliance with the requirements of the Subdivision Ordinance in the Municipal Code of Ordinances.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the Final Plat for the Lots 1-5, Block E, Park Place, Phase IV Addition, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All technical comments from City Staff (i.e. Engineering, Planning and Fire Department) shall be addressed prior to submittal of civil engineering plans;
(2) The development of the subject property shall adhere to the recommendations made by Parks and Recreation Board; and,
(3) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Final Plat shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.


DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:
PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1}$
区 FINAL PLAT ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$150.00)
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$

ZONING APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1 \& 2}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$
NOTES:
${ }^{1}$ : IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. ${ }^{2}$ : A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS
subonsion Park Place West
Lot A-5 block $E$ generallocation TL Townsend : Park Place Blood. (SE corner)
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
CURRENT ZONING 1 RS.
PROPOSED ZONING LOt $1-R 0,2-5-5 F$
ACREAGE 1.286
Current use Undeveloped lots
proposed use Houses, RO-busineess/home LOTS [PROPOSED] 5

SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINTCHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED] Goner Columbia Development Co, ll a applicant SAme contact person Bill Bricked contact person address 305 Park Pace Bud.

ADDRESS

CITY, STATE \& ZIP

$$
\text { Rockwall Tx } 25087
$$

CITY, STATE \& ZIP
PHONE $214-801$-6157 cell PHONE
EMail bill e colventures.com E-Mall
NOTARY VERIFICATION [required]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED CWi3ric'icpr [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAI I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF \$ 325.22, TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE $\qquad$ DAY OF INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION."



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of


NOTES:

1. The purpose of this Final Plat is to create 5 Lots in Block E and adding a $10^{\prime}$
2. It shall he the policy of the City of Rockwall to with hold issuing buidding permits until all streets, water, sewer and storm drainage systems have bee accepted by the City. The approval of a plat by the City does not constitute nhy representation, assurance or guarantee that any building within such
hall be approved, authorized or permit therefore issued nor shall such shall be approved, authorized or permit therefore issued, nor shall such
approval constitute any representatation, assurance or guarantee by the city of the adequacy and availability for water for personal use and fire protection
,
3. Basis of Bearings: Bearings are based on the Amending Plat of PARK PLACE
WEST PHASE III: DDDITION recorded in Inst. No. 201900000015075, Plat WEST THASE IIW ADIITIIN record
Records Rockwall County, Texas.
4. FLOOD STATEMENT: According to Community Panel No. 48397 cooaut, dated FLODD STATEMEN: Accorring to Community Panel No. 48397C0004L, dated
September 25, 2008 of the Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program map this property is within Flood Zone " $A$ " which is
the area of 100-year flood with base flood elevations and flood hazard factors the area of $10-$-year flood with base flood elevations and flood hazard factors
determined. The location of Flood Zone " $A$ " shown hereon is approximate determined. The location or flood Zone asd shown hereon is approximate
and is for informational purposes only and shall not create liability on the part of the Surveyor as to it's accuraçy.
5. Property owner/HOA is responsible for all maintenance and repair of Open
Space and Drainage Easements.
6. NoTICE: Selling a portion of this addition by metes and bounds is a violation of city subbivisions ordinance and state elatting statues and is subject to fine and withholding of utilities and building certificates.

The purpose of this final Plat is to create 5 lots and dedicate
easement and dedicate right of way.
CASE NO: $\qquad$ PARK PLACE PHASEIV ADDITION BEING 5 LOTS ON ON 1.286 ACRES OF LAND LOCATED IN THE R. BALLARD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 29
CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS





## owner's certificate

## STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OE ROCKWAII

WHEREAS COLUMBIA DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, LLC are the owners of a 1.286 acre tract of land situated in the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and

 Park Place West Phase III Addition according to the Amending Plat recorded in Inst. No.
20190000015075, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:
BEGINNING at a $1^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod with cap found for corner and being located in the east line of Townsend Drive and being located in the south line of Park Place Boulevard (59' Wide);
THENCE along the south line of said Park Place Boulevard as follows:
NORTH $85^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ EAST a distance of 18.81 feet to a point for corner;
NORTH $41^{\circ}{ }^{18} 8^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ EAST a distance of 17.51 feet to a point for corner;
NORTH $85^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ EAST a distance of 160.38 feet to a point for corner and being the beginning of curve to the right with a radius of 470.50 feet and a chord bearing of SOUTH $85^{\circ} 14^{\circ} 23^{\prime \prime}$ EAS
ALONG said curve to the right through a central angle of $18^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ " feet and an arc length
THENCE along the west line of said Block X, Lot 17 as follows
SOUTH $01^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ WEST a distance of 38.50 feet to a point for corner;
SOUTH $35^{\circ} 5^{\circ} 55^{\prime \prime}$ EAST a distance of 58.03 feet to a point for corner located in the south line of said 1.286 acre tract and being the beginning of a a curve to the left with a radius of 2919.72 feet TH $70^{\circ} 02^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$ " WEST
ALONG said curve to the left through a central angle of $7^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ " for an arc length of 402.67 feet to a $5 / 8$ inch iron rod found for corner and being located in the east line of said Townsend Drive; THENCE NORTH $01^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ EAST a distance of 208.57 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING; CONTAINING within these metes and bounds $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 6}$ acres or 56,003 square feet of land more or less.

## SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

now, therefore know all men by these presents:
THAT I, Frank R. Owens, do hereby certify that I prepared this plat from an actual and accurate survey of the land, and that the corner monuments shown thereon were properly placed under $m$ upervision
"Preliminary, this document shall not be recorded
for any purpose and shall not be used or viewed or
relied upon as a final survey document"
Frank R. Owens
Registered Professional Land Surveyor No. 5387
A.J. Bedford Group, Inc

Rockwall, Texas 75087
now, THEREFORE, know all Men by these presents
STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL
We, COLUMBIA DEVELOPMENT COMPANV, LL, the undersigned owners of the land shown on this plat, and
designated herein as PARR PLACE PHASE IV ADDTIIN subdivision to the City of fockwall, Texas, nd Whose name is

 been notified and signed this plat. We understand and do hereby reserve the easementstrips shown on this plat for the
purposes stated and of trene mutual use and accommodation of al lutilities desiring to use or using same. We also
undersad the fol purposes stated and for the
understand the e olowwing;
.
2. Any public utility ysall have the right or remove and keep removed all or part of any buildings, fences, trees, shrubs,
 of their respertive system on any of these easementstrtisf and any ublic utility shall atall times have the righ of 1 aatrolling, maintaining, and either adding to or removing all or part of their respective system without the necess:
at any time, rocuring the permission of anyone.
3. The City of Rockwall will not be responsible for any
establishment of grade of streets in the subdivision.
4.The develoloer and subdivision engineer shall bear total responsibility for storm drain improvements.
5. The developer shall be responsible for the neecessary facilities to providd drainage patterns and drainage controls
such that properties within the drainage area are not taversely affected by storm drainage trom the development.
 of Rockwal regarding improvements with respect to the entire bocto patinstreet or streets on when property ncluding the actual installation of streets with the required base and paving, curb and gutter, water and sewer
drainages structures, storm structures, torm sewers, and alless, all according to the specifications of the e ity of

Until a escrow deposit, sufficient to pay for the cost of such improvements, as detererined by the city's en ineer

 used by the treement, but in no case shall the city be obligated to make such improvementis ing such improvements by Le used by the owner andor developer as progress payments as the work progeseses in maz
naking eertified requisitions to the city secretary, supported by vevidence of work done; or
7.
easements.
Prope

Until the developer and/or owner files a corporate swety mprovements for the designated area, guaranteeing the installation the thereof witithin the time stateded in the bond, which mprovements for the designated area, Guaranteeng the ins

We further acknowledge that the dediciations and/or exaction's made herein are proportional to the impact of the
Subdivision upon the pubbic senvices reauired in order that the development will comport with the present and futu growth needs of the city; we, my (our) successors and assinns hereby waive any claim, damage, or cause of action that

CoUMBIA Development companv, llc

Name: Charles w. Bricker
Titte:
Tresident
STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF ROCKWall
Before $m$ e, the undersigned duthority, on this day personally appeared Charles w . Bricker, known to me to be the
person whose name is subscribed to the foresoging instrument, and ccknowledged to me that he executed the same for purpose and consideration therein stated.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ 2022.
$\overline{\text { Notary Public in and for the State of Texas }}$


NOTES:

1. The purpose of this Final Plat is to create 5 Lots in Block E and adding a 10
2. It shall be the policy of the City of Rockwall to withhold issuing buildi permits until all streets, water, sewer and storm drainage systems have been accepted by the C City. The approval of a plat by the city does not constitute any representation, assurance or guarantee that any building within such plat
shall be approved, authorized or permit therefore issued, nor shall such approval constitute any representation, assurance or guarantee by the City of
the adequacy and availability for water for personal use and fire protection the adequacy and availability for water for personal use
within such plat, as required under Ordinance $83-54$.
3. Bacis of Bearings: Bearings are based on the Amending Plat of PARK PLACE
WEST PHASE IIIADDDTION recorded in Inst. No. 20190000015075, Plat WEST PHASE III ADDITION record
Records R Rockwall County, Texas.
4. FLOOD STATEMENT: According to Community Panel No. 48397C0040L, dated September 26, 2008 of the federal Emergency Management Agency, Nationa Flood Insurance Program map this property is within Flood Zone " A " which is
the area of 100-year flood with base flood elevations and flood hazard factors determined. The location of flood Zone "A" shown hereon is approximate and is for formational porposes only and swal

- 

5. Property owner/HOA is responsible for all maintenance and repair of Open
Space and Drainage Easements. Space and Drainage Easements.
NOTICE: Selling a portion of this addition by metes and bounds is a violation
of city subdivisions ordinance and state platting statues and is subiect to fines of city subdivisions ordinance and state platting stas
and witholding of utilities and building certificates.

CASE NO:
FINAL PLAT ARK PLACE PHASE IV ADDITION BEING 5 LOTS ON 1.286 ACRES OF LAND LOCATED IN THE R. BALLARD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 29





TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
August 9, 2022
Dub Douphrate; Douphrate and Associates
Z2022-035; Amendment to Planned Development District 87 (PD-87)


#### Abstract

SUMMARY Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87 (PD87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as a Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for SingleFamily 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E. Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street, and take any action necessary.


## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on February 6, 1961 by Ordinance No. 60-01 [A1960-001]. According to the City's historic zoning maps, the subject property has been zoned Light Industrial (LI) District since at least January 3, 1972. On July 14, 2000, the City Council approved a final plat for a portion of this property (i.e. 7.409-acres), establishing it as Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition by Case No. PZ2000-055-01.On November 19, 2018, the City Council approved a change in zoning [Case No. Z2018032; Ordinance No. 18-46] from a Light Industrial (LI) District to Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District, and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses. On July 15, 2019, the City Council approved a preliminary plat [Case No. P2019-026] for the purpose of establishing a lot layout for a townhome development (i.e. Park Station, Phase 1). This preliminary plat expired on July 15, 2020. The subject property is currently vacant with the exception of a private driveway that connects the property south of the subject property to E . Washington Street.

## PURPOSE

On July 15, 2022, the applicant -- Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC -- submitted an application requesting to amend Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) to change the prohibited uses within Ordinance No. 18-46. Specifically, the applicant is proposing to combine Tracts 2-5 into one (1) tract (i.e. Tract 2) and remove Church/House of Worship from the prohibited land uses in Tract 2.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located south of E. Washington street and east of Park Place Boulevard, across from Harry Myers Park. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is E. Washington Street, which also delineates the future alignment of SH-66. This roadway is identified as a TXDOT4D (i.e. four [4] lane, divided highway, owned by the Texas Department of Transportation) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan. North of this thoroughfare is a 67.036 -acre public park (i.e. Harry Myers Park), which is zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. Beyond this is a 17.861 -acre vacant tract of land that was platted as a 78 unit duplex subdivision (i.e. Oak Creek) in 1984. This property is zoned Two-Family (2F) District. Adjacent to this residentially zoned area is a 21.326 -acre tract of land that is zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. This property has a school and administrative offices (i.e. Rockwall Quest Academy/RISD Administrative Offices) situated on it.

South: Directly south of the subject property is an 8.197 -acre tract of land and a 14.53-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1-01, Block 1, Indalloy Addition). Both of these properties are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. Situated on these properties is a large industrial building and various other improvements. Beyond this is the right-of-way for the Union Pacific Dallas/Garland Northeast Railroad.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is a 2.093-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Soroptimist Rockwall Children's Home), a vacant 7.97 -acre tract of land, and a vacant 11.3736 -acre tract of land. All of these properties are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District. Beyond this are E. Washington Street, Airport Road, and Industrial Boulevard. These roadways are all identified as an M4U (i.e. minor collector, four [4] lane, roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

West: $\quad$ Directly west of the subject property is Phases 2 \& 3 of the Park Place Subdivision, which currently contains 94 single-family residential lots. This property is zoned Planned Development District 59 (PD-59) for Neighborhood Services (NS) District, Residential-Office (RO) District, and Single-Family 7 (SF7) District land uses.

## MAP 1: LOCATION MAP

YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY


## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted a revised concept plan that proposes combining Tracts 2-5 as shown on the existing concept plan contained in Ordinance No. 18-46. Specifically, the applicant is requesting to change the 12.82-acre tract of land (i.e. Tracts 25) into one (1) tract (i.e. Tract 2) [see Figures $1 \& 2$ below]. In addition to combining these tracts of land, the applicant is requesting to remove the Church/House of Worship land use as a prohibited land use within Ordinance No. 18-46. Making this change would allow a Church/House of Worship to develop by-right on Tract 2, which is prohibited under the current ordinance. These are the only changes being proposed for Planned Development District 87 (PD-87). That being said the applicant has also provide staff with a conceptual site plan -- that is not included in the draft ordinance -- that depicts the applicant's intent to develop the subject property as a Church/House of Worship. This concept plan shows that a 35,000 SF church will be constructed on Tract 2, with the possibility of a second building approximately the same size could be constructed in the future.


FIGURE 1: CURRENT CONCEPT PLAN IN ORDINANCE 18-46


FIGURE 2: PROPOSED CONCEPT PLAN

## INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's concept plan, the infrastructure requirements have not changed; however, if a Church/House of Worship is developed on the subject property per the conceptual site plan provided by the applicant, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the proposed development:
(1) Roadways. According to the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, E. Washington Street is a TXDOT4D (i.e. four [4] lane, divided highway, owned by the Texas Department of Transportation), which requires a 120-foot right-of-way. In this case, right-of-way must be dedicated for the future alignment of SH-66.
(2) Water. The applicant will be required to construct an eight (8) inch water line that loops through the subject property.
(3) Wastewater. The applicant will be required to construct an eight (8) inch wastewater line that connects to existing lines located south of the subject property.
(4) Drainage. Detention will be required and sized per the required detention study. If the applicant is proposing development that will affect the existing floodplain on the subject property, a Flood Study and a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) will be required.

## CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed amendment to Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) conforms to all of the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) and the Engineering Department's Standards of Design and Construction Manual.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the Land Use Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the Central District and is designated for Commercia/Retail and Technology/Employment Center land uses. The designation for this area was originally changed from a Technology/Employment Center designation to a Commercial/Retail and Technology/Employment Center designation by Case No. Z2018-032 [Ordinance No. 18-46]. Under this case, the applicant had requested this area be changed to allow flexibility for low intensity commercial and light industrial businesses to be allowed.


The proposed amendment to Planned Development District 87 (PD87) will not immediately change the Future Land Use Map; however,
if a Church/House of Worship is developed on the subject property the Future Land Use Map will change from Commercial/Retail and Technology/Employment Center to Quasi-Public. This change would be made with a future update to the Comprehensive Plan in the event the Church/House of Worship is approved and constructed. Staff should note that a change to Quasi-Public does not appear to have an impact on any of the adjacent properties or the essential character of the neighboring Park Place Subdivision. With this being said, zoning changes are discretionary decisions for the City Council pending a recommendation by the Planning and Zoning Commission. Should the City Council choose to approve this request, staff has added a condition of approval that would make the necessary changes to the Future Land Use Map.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On July 22, 2022, staff mailed 59 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Park Place Homeowner's Association (HOA), which is the only HOA within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received three (3) property owner notifications from two (2) property owners within the 500 -foot notification area in favor of the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to amend Planned Development District 87 (PD-87), then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the concept plan and development standards contained in the Planned Development District ordinance.
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Zoning Change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S．Goliad Street
Rockwall，Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \＆ZONING CASE NO． $22022-035$
NOTE：THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW．
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING：
CITY ENGINEER：

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST［SELECT ONLY ONE BOX］：

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES：

$\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE）
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)$
$\square$ FINAL PLAT（ $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE）
－REPEAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE）
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT（ $\$ 150.00$ ）
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST（ $\$ 100.00$ ）
SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES：
$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE $)$
$\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN／ELEVATIONS／LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES：

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE（ $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE）
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT（ $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE）\＆
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE）
OTHER APPLICATION FEES：
－TREE REMOVAL（\＄75．00）
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST／SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS（ $\$ 100.00$ ）

## NOTES：

IN DETERMINING THE FEE，PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT．FOR REQUESSS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE，ROUND UP TO ONE（1）ACRE． A S1，00．00 FEE WII BE ADDED TO THE APPLCATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT．

PROPERTY INFORMATION［PLEASE PRINT］
ADDRESS
SUBDIVISION Park stretion Conveyance Plat LOT， 1 bLOCK $A$
general location Washington st east of Pard place West Ph 2 ZONING，SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION［PLEASE PRINT］

CURRENT ZONING Commercia／／Liykt Industuia／CURRENT USE
PROPOSEDZONING PD Amendment PROPOSED USE
Church Audition
LOTS［PROPOSED］
ACREAGE LO． 26 LOTS［CURRENT］LOTS［PROPOSED］
－SITE PLANS AND PLATS：BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS，AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF＇S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WII RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE．

## OWNER／APPLICANT／AGENT INFORMATION［PLEASE PRINT／CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT／ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED］

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - OWNER Columbine Development Co. 《cロaAPPLICANT Crosspoint Church in } 6 / 0 \\
& \text { CONTACTPERSON Bill Bicker } \\
& \text { adDress } 305 \text { Pink plate Dvd } \\
& \text { CONTACT PERSON } \\
& \text { ADDRESS } \\
& 2235 \text { Ride Rd } \\
& \text { CTr, STATE\&ZIP Rockwell, } T_{x}>5087 \\
& \text { CITY, STATE \& ZIP Rockwell, Tx }>5087 \\
& \text { PHONE 972.722 } 2439 \\
& \text { PHONE } G>2>4 c \text { 己己10 } \\
& \text { E-MAll bill } \mathbb{Q} \text { colventures. com } \\
& \text { E-MALL whldouptrate © douphrate.com }
\end{aligned}
$$

NOTARY VERIFICATION REQUIRED］
BEFORE ME，THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY，ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED charles whirler［OWNER］THE UNDERSIGNED，WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING：
＂I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION；ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT；AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $\$$ $\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION，HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWELL ON THIS THE $\qquad$ $7 \%$ DAY OF INFORMATIO 2022 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION，I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL（IE．＂CITY＂）IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC．THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION，IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION．＂


NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS
$\square$



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Case Name:

Z2022-035
PD Amendment to Planned Development District 87 (PD-87)- Park Station
Case Type:
Zoning:



Case Number: Case Name:

Case Type:
Zoning:

Z2022-035
PD Amendment to Planned
Development District 87 (PD-87)
Park Station
Zoning
Planned Development District 87 (PD-87)

Date Saved: 7/14/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


Lee, Henry

| From: | Gamez, Angelica |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, July 22, 2022 11:36 AM |
| To: | 'bill@colventures.com'; 'barrybuchanan@ebby.com' |
| Cc: | Miller, Ryan; Ross, Bethany; Lee, Henry |
| Subject: | Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-035] |
| Attachments: | Public Notice (07.15.2022).pdf; HOA Map Z2022-035.pdf |

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on July 22, 2022. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9, $\underline{2022}$ at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website:
https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

## Z2022-035: Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as a Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E. Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

## Angelica Gamez

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

DUKE JERI L
1001 ST. CHARLES CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROLLINS DANNY \& JONNA 1008 SAINT CHARLES CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVID DAVID A AND CHRISTINE A 1020 SAINT CHARLES CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

YOUNG PHIL \& KATHY 1026 SAINT CHARLES COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FOX DENNIS AND KAREN
1027 ST THOMAS CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

FIELDS SHAY AND JONI 1032 ST CHARLES COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EDWARDS EDWINA W REVOCABLE TRUST EDWINA W EDWARDS TRUSTEE 1038 ST THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOULE GARY AND AYURNI NAKAMURA 1040 SAINT CHARLES CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DENTON LANCE AND GLENDA K 1045 IVY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAKEVIEW SUMMIT PROPERTIES LLC 1002 SAINT CHARLES CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PODINA HERB AND LAURA 1014 SAINT CHARLES CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVIS SHAUNTE AND JACOB 1021 IVY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

IVEY BRUCE AND TINA 1026 ST THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EDWARDS EDWINA W REVOCABLE TRUST EDWINA W EDWARDS TRUSTEE 1030 ST THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EDWARDS EDWINA W REVOCABLE TRUST EDWINA W EDWARDS TRUSTEE 1034 ST THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KRAEMER TERESA A 1039 IVY LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOMMER RICHELLE AND RICHARD 1042 SAINT THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLACKWOOD SCOTT W \& GLENITA G 1046 ST THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COLUMBIA EXTRUSION CORP
ATTN: BILL BRICKER
1200 E WASHINGTON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TROSPER MARK AND GLORIA
1007 ST. CHARLES CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TROSPER MARK AND GLORIA
1015 ST CHARLES CT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TAYLOR TIMOTHY DAVID AND JUDY HELENE DUBREUIL 1023 ST THOMAS COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RIPP KEEGAN V AND NICOLA M 1027 IVY LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHITWORTH JULIE A 1031 ST THOMAS COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHNSON RICHARD ERIC AND DIANNA MUNRO 1035 SAINT THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BEER TERRY L AND
CYNTHIA OLSON 1039 ST THOMAS CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOUTHERLAND CHRISTOPHER AND JENNIFER 1043 ST THOMAS COURT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VRANA MARK AND
PAM VRANA 1046 SAINT CHARLES CT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COLUMBIA EXTRUSION CORP ATTN BILL BRICKER 1300 E WASHINGTON ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087
SOROPTIMIST INT'L OF ROCKWALL
1350 E WASHINGTON
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEVENNEY DIANA 205 DIAL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORP
ATTN:BILL BRICKER
305 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JONES KENNETH AND CINDY 411 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MORGAN WILBUR J AND NANCY F
429 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GARCIA MELISSA P AND
JOE DOWELL LOFTIS JR AKA JOE DOWELL LOFTIS
513 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALSH DONNA<br>541 PARK PLACE BLVD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAYS JACOB M
5602 YACHT CLUB DR.
ROCKWALL, TX 75032

WALSH DONNA
8009 LAKEBEND
ROWLETT, TX 75088

2020 T R MARTIN REVOCABLE TRUST
CHARLES TED MARTIN AND RHONDA KAREN
MARTIN- TRUSTEES
995 ST CHARLES COURT
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BAYS JACOB M
1435 E WASHINGTON ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CUMMINGS KARYN 2823 QUAIL HOLLOW DR MESQUITE, TX 75150

COLUMBIA EXTRUSION CORP<br>ATTN BILL BRICKER<br>305 PARK PLACE BLVD<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VAN WINKLE KATHI AND RONNIE G
417 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LOVOI JOSEPH J SR AND VELMA J
501 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MOORE CONNIE JO
523 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CUMMINGS KARYN
547 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURREY GARY L AND PAMELA K
601 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CITY OF ROCKWALL
815 E WASHINGTON ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOROPTIMIST INT'L OF ROCKWALL PO BOX 372
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## ALVAPLAST US DEVELOPMENT LLC 1480 JUSTIN ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEVENNEY DIANA
2944 PEGASUS DR GARLAND, TX 75044

MATEER JEFFREY CARL \& D'ANN ELIZABETH DELP 405 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

KISTNER ARIANA M AND KELLY
423 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RASMUSSEN MICHAEL AND DELILA RASMUSSEN 507 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SANTIAGO KIMBERLY J AND JOSE JR 535 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLACKWOOD SCOTT W \& GLENITA G 5574 CANADA CT ROCKWALL, TX 75032

## ROCKWALL COMMUNITY PLAYHOUSE 609 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## DENTON LANCE AND GLENDA K 900 DAVY CROCKETT ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as a Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E . Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S . Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15, 2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.

## Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Brisker of Columbia Development Company, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as a Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E . Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street, and take any action necessary.
For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at $6: 00$ PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S .
Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15, 2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: $h$ htps://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases
-. PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM
Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
U am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Good project tor all involved. Com, Charon, Park Place: SPR } \\
& \text { all benefit }
\end{aligned}
$$



Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: ( 1 ) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed
change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

## Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form

Case Number *
Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).

Z2022-035

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *
$\checkmark$ I am in favor of the request.
$\square$ I am in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request.

Respondent Information
Please provide your information.

First Name *
Jennifer

## Last Name *

Faucon

Address *

389 Jordan Farm Circle

City *
Rockwall

## State *

TX

Zip Code * 75087

Please check all that apply: *
$\checkmark$ I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ Other: $\qquad$

How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *I received a property owner notification in the mail.I read about the request on the City's website.I saw a zoning sign on the property.I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.
( My neighbors told me about the request.Other:

## - . - アLEADE KEIUKN I HE BELUvv rurik

## Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
II am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
We beliese this will be an acceptable addition to the area


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

## Zoning \& Specific Use Permit Input Form

Case Number *
Please provide the Case Reference Number of the Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request that you are providing input on (Example: Z2019-001).

Z2022-035

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below: *
$\checkmark$ I am in favor of the request.
$\square$ I am in opposition to the request.

Please provide any additional information concerning your support or opposition to the request.

Respondent Information
Please provide your information.

First Name *

Serge

## Last Name *

Faucon

Address *

389 Jordan Farm Circle

City *
Rockwall

## State *

TX

Zip Code * 75087

Please check all that apply: *
$\checkmark$ I live nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I work nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I own property nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ I own a business nearby the proposed Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request.
$\square$ Other: $\qquad$

How did you hear about this Zoning or Specific Use Permit (SUP) request? *I received a property owner notification in the mail.I read about the request on the City's website.I saw a zoning sign on the property.I read about the request in the Rockwall Herald Banner.
( My neighbors told me about the request.Other:

## - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I} \mathrm{am}$ in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Retailwiel increase traffic, and crime for the area. Traffic

 will hes Brail Ir to turn around or park thus domongeng the Road. स ow will the city keep this from happening? This is by a cedis park e that is hear y y used encreasaitrigfer increase Risk to children
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application: '

## Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Brisker of Columbia Development Company, LLC for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as a Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E . Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday. August 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S . Goliard Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee<br>Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.<br>385 S. Goliad Street<br>Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your
name and address for identification purposes. name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15.2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: hilps://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases
-. - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM
Case No. Z2022-035: PD Amendment to PD-87
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


1) Very concerned there are no pro-active options to prevent Sunday traffic passing thru Park Place Blvd to Townsend-- We already have multiple speeders and busy street. People exiting church will turn left and right to get back to 130 and Rockwall.
2) Partial designated as Condo: We were originally told it would be part of Park Place and meet Park Place architecture Standards - Now we are at the mercy of new property owner. Why can't the city honor original?

Name:

## Michael Rasmussen

Address: Park Place Blvd, Rockwall TX 75087
Tex. Loc. Gov. Code. Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE
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 Now, THEREFORE, KNOW ALL MENB YTHESE PRESENTS.



 We ass undestanad the following:


















Bing firiker
for Col Combia Development Company, LLC

## 

Betore me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Bill Bricker

Given upon my hand and seal of of fice this ____day od $\qquad$


NoTE:I thall be the policy of the Citr of Rockwall to withold issuing builiding permits untir


SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE
Now, THEREFORE KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:


Harold D. Fefty IIII


Said addioion shal be subject oll the requirements of the Subdivision Regulaions of the
WITNESS OUR HANDS, this ___ day of

Director of Planning

City Engneer $\quad$ Dale
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## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 18-46


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 04-38] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM A LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (LI) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 87 (PD-87) FOR TOWNHOMES, COMMERCIAL/RETAIL, AND LIGHT INDUSTRIAL LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 16.26-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS LOT 1, BLOCK 1, INDALLOY ADDITION AND TRACT 31 OF THE R. BALLARD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 29, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT ' $B$ '; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by the applicant Bill Bricker on behalf of the owner, Columbia Development Company, LLC, for the approval of a zoning change from a Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for the purpose of establishing commercial/retail, light industrial, and townhome land uses on a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, addressed as 1100 \& 1300 E. Washington Street and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] should be amended as follows:

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the Subject Property shall be in accordance with the Planned Development Concept Plan, contained in Exhibit 'D' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall be in accordance with the Development Standards, described in Exhibit ' $E$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $E$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That a preliminary plat for the entire Subject Property -- as depicted in Exhibits 'C' \& 'D' of this ordinance -- shall be submitted prior to any other submittal for any portion of the Subject Property.

SECTION 5. That development of Tract 1 of the Subject Property -- as depicted in Exhibits 'C' \& 'D' of this ordinance -- shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 5(b) through 5(d) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). If required, the City Council shall act on an application for an Open Space Master Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) PD Site Plan
(2) Final Plat
(c) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan covering all of Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibit 'B' of this ordinance shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat Application after engineering approval.
(d) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, for all of Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That development of Tracts 2, 3, 4 \& 5 of the Subject Property -- as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance -- shall be in conformance with the procedures set forth in the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38];

SECTION 7. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 8. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 9. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 10. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF T/नE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $19{ }^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2018.

## ATTEST:

Mpisty ole
Kristy Cobe, City Secretary
APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank 4. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: $\quad$ November 5, 2018
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: $\quad$ November 19, 2018


Exhibit ' A ':<br>Legal Description

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the R. BALLARD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, and being a part of Lot 1, Block 1, INDALLOY ADDITION, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Texas, according to the Plat thereof recorded in Cabinet D, Slide 273 of the Plat Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and also being a part of a 98.319 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from Alumax Aluminum Corporation to Columbia Extrusion Corporation, dated December 22, 1988 and being recorded in Volume 444, Page 102 of the Real Property Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner at the northeast corner of said Lot 1, Block 1, said point being in the south right-of-way line of E. Washington Street;

THENCE S. 06 deg. 42 min .50 sec . E. along the east boundary line of Lot 1 ,a distance of 718.54 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 85 deg. 37 min .16 sec . W .a distance of 435.64 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner on the West boundary line of said Lot 1, Block 1;

THENCE N. 83 deg. 23 min .14 sec . W. a distance of 274.94 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;

THENCE S. 81 deg. 27 min .00 sec . W. a distance of 408.12 feet to a Y," iron rod found for corner in the east boundary line of PARK PLACE WEST II, according to the Amended plat thereof recorded in Cabinet G, Slide 100, of the Plat Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE N. 04 deg. 52 min .54 sec . W. along said addition, a distance of 106.88 feet to a W' iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 07 deg. 20 min .27 sec . E. along said addition, a distance of 603.20 feet to a W' iron rod found for corner in the south line of E . Washington Street;

THENCE N. 89 deg. 51 min .05 sec . E. along the south line of said street, a distance of 513.76 feet to a P-K nail found for corner at the northwest corner of said Lot 1, Block 1;

THENCE N. 89 deg. 34 min .40 sec . E. along the south line of said street and north line of said Lot 1, a distance of 445.34 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 16.26 acres of land.

## Exhibit ' $B$ ':

Survey


Exhibit ' C ':
Area Map



# Exhibit ' $E$ ': <br> PD Development Standards 

Tracts 1-5 (16.26-Acres): Development Standards for all Tracts

## (1) Landscaping Standards.

(i) Landscape Requirements. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with a PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of three (3) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height.
(ii) Landscape Buffers (Streets A, B, \& C and Other Streets). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along the frontage of Streets $A, B \& C$ as depicted in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance, and shall incorporate a minimum of one (1) canopy tree per 50 -feet of linear frontage unless otherwise specified in this ordinance. Any streets added to the subject property that are not depicted on the Concept Plan in Exhibit 'D' of this ordinance or referenced in Exhibit ' $E$ ' of this ordinance shall also be subject to this requirement.
(iii) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66/SH-66 Right-of-Way). A minimum of a 20 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along the future right-of-way for SH-66 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a builtup berm and/or shrubbery or a combination thereof along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, two (2) canopy trees shall be planted per 100feet of linear frontage.
(iv) Landscape Buffer (Adjacent to Residential). A minimum of a 20 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along the western boundary of Tracts $2 \& 4$ (i.e. areas adjacent to residential land uses). The landscape buffer shall incorporate a combination of shrubbery and ground cover along the entire length of the adjacency for the purpose of screening the commercial areas from the residential areas without using a physical barrier. In addition, the landscape buffer shall incorporate canopy trees planted on 20foot centers along the entire length of the adjacency. Tract 4 shall also incorporate a minimum of a six (6) foot wrought iron fence in the required landscape buffer.
(v) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect.
(2) Washington Street. The applicant shall not be responsible for upgrading E. Washington Street to a M4U (major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) as shown on the Master Thoroughfare Plan in the Comprehensive Plan.
(3) Buried Utilities. New transmission and distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. The Developer shall not be required to re-locate existing overhead power-lines along the perimeter of the Subject Property as long as these lines remain in their current pre-developed state. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.

## Exhibit ' $E$ ': <br> PD Development Standards

(4) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] shall apply to any application for variances to any provisions of this ordinance.

## Tract 1: Townhomes (2.38-Acres)

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development ordinance, Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the land uses permitted for the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article IV, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 04-38] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future; however, the following conditions shall apply:

The following land uses shall be permitted on the Tract 1 in addition to the land uses permitted in the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District:

## ■ Townhomes

However, the following land uses shall be expressly prohibited on Tract 1:
■ Accessory Building

- Guest Quarters/Secondary Living Unit
- Portable Buildings
- Church/House of Worship
- Day Care
$\square$ Private or Public School
$\square$ Railroad Yard or Shop
(2) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development ordinance, Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the development standards for the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District as stipulated by Article V, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 5.10 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed $\underline{12}$ dwelling units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2 , which are as follows:

Table 2: Lot Dimensional Requirements

| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | $28^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $115^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Area | $3,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{(2)} \propto(4)$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | $0^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Distance Between Buildings | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | $36^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback ${ }^{(4)}$ | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air Conditioned Space] | $1,400 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Garage Orientation | Rear Entry |
| Maximum Number of Attached Units Per Buildings | 5 Units |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | $75 \%$ |

## General Notes:

: The minimum lot width shall be measured at the Front Yard Building Setback.
${ }^{2}$ : The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.

# Exhibit ' $E$ ': <br> PD Development Standards 

${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
${ }^{4}$ : Porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front and Rear Yard Building Setbacks by up to five (5) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not exceed three (3) feet on Side Yard Setbacks where appropriate for such use and shall not encroach into public right-of-way.
(4) Building Standards. The building elevations shall generally conform to the Conceptual Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $F$ ' of this ordinance; however, all development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(i) Masonry Requirements. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façades of all buildings shall be $90 \%$. For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementaceous fiberboard horizontal lap-siding (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) and/or similar cementaceous products may be used for up to $100 \%$ of the exterior of the building pending conformance with the anti-monotony restrictions outlined in this ordinance. Stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or similar) shall be prohibited.
(ii) Roof Design Requirements. All buildings shall be designed such that no roof mounted mechanical equipment (i.e. HVAC, satellite, vents, etc.) shall be visible from any direction. Note: Screening of mechanical equipment is necessary for all equipment regardless of location (i.e. roof mounted, ground mounted, or otherwise attached to the building and/or located on the site).
(iii) Architectural Requirements. All units shall be architecturally finished on all sides of the building that are visible from a public right-of-way or open space with the same materials, detailing and features.
(5) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall generally conform to development scheme portrayed in the Conceptual Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $F$ ' of this ordinance; however, all development shall adhere to the following anti-monotony restrictions:
(i) Identical brick blends and paint colors may not occur on adjacent (i.e. side-by-side) properties within the development without at least two (2) intervening townhomes of differing materials on the same side of the adjacent townhome beginning with the adjacent property.
(ii) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least two (2) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same block face within the development.
(iii) The rear elevation of the homes, backing to the public right-of-way (i.e. Street $A$ ), shall not repeat without at least two (2) (i.e. side-by-side) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
a) Front Encroachment (i.e. Porch and/or Sunroom) Type and Layout
b) Roof Type and Layout
c) Articulation of the Front Façade
d) Differing Primary Exterior Materials
(6) Sidewalks. The sidewalk adjacent to Road ' $A$ ' as depicted in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be constructed adjacent to the roadway with the exception of the area directly adjacent to the

## Exhibit ' $E$ ': <br> PD Development Standards

townhomes. In this area the sidewalk may be deviated to run in between the western property line of the Subject Property and the front facades of the townhomes. Where the sidewalk is on private property it shall be in a pedestrian access easement.
(7) Fence Standards. All fences shall be required to be wrought iron or a tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in height; however, may not exceed a maximum of eight (8) feet in height.
(8) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 38-15 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. As an alternative -- and pending the approval of an adjacent HOA --, this property can be incorporated into an existing HOA. The HOA shall also maintain all neighborhood parks, open space and common areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and the private roadway, drive aisles and drive approaches for the subject property associated with this development.

## Tracts 2 \& 3: Commercial/Retail (6.33-Acres)

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development ordinance, Tracts 2 \& 3 as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the land uses permitted for the General (GR) District as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article IV, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 04-38] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future; however, the following conditions shall apply:

The following land uses shall be expressly prohibited on Tracts $2 \& 3$ :

```
\square Convent or Monastery
| Hotel or Motel
| Residence Hotel
\square Cemetery/Mausoleum
| Church/House of Worship
\boxtimes Convalescent Care Facility/Nursing Home
| Emergency Ambulance Services (Ground)
| Hospital
\square Mortuary or Funeral Chapel
\square Social Service Provider
\square Billiard Parlor or Pool Hall
\square Carnival, Circus, or Amusement Ride
| Commercial Amusement/Recreation (Outside)
G Golf Driving Range
\square Astrologer, Hypnotist, or Psychic Art and Science
| Night Club, Discotheque, or Dance Hall
\square Secondhand Dealer
| Auto Repair Garage (Minor)
\square Car Wash/Auto Detail
\square Car Wash (Self Service)
Retail Store with Gasoline Product Sales (Any Amount of Dispensers)
| Service Station
| Mining and Extraction (Sand, Gravel, Oil & Other)
\square Helipad
\square Railroad Yard or Shop
| Transit Passenger Facility
```

(2) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Any development on Tracts $2 \& 3$ as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the development standards required for

## Exhibit ' $E$ ': <br> PD Development Standards

properties in a General Retail (GR) District as stipulated by Article V, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future.

## Tracts 4 \& 5: Light Industrial (6.22-Acres)

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development ordinance, Tracts 4 \& 5 as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the land uses permitted for the Light Industrial (LI) District as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article IV, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 04-38] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future; however, the following conditions shall apply:

The following land uses shall be expressly prohibited on the Tracts $4 \& 5$ :

- Animal Shelter

■ Hotel or Motel

- Residence Hotel

■ Cemetery/Mausoleum

- Church/House of Worship
$\square$ Crematorium (Stand Alone)
$\square$ Emergency Ambulance Services (Ground)
$\square$ Mortuary or Funeral Chapel
$\square$ Prison/Custodial Institution
$\square$ Rescue Mission or Shelter for the Homeless
■ Social Service Provider
$\square$ Billiard Parlor or Pool Hall
■ Carnival, Circus, or Amusement Ride
■ Commercial Amusement/Recreation (Outside)
■ Golf Driving Range
$\square$ Private Sports Arena, Stadium or Track
■ Night Club, Discotheque, or Dance Hall
$\square$ Secondhand Dealer
■ Car Wash (Self Service)
■ Building \& Landscape Material with Outside Storage
$\square$ Building \& Landscape Material with Limited Outside Storage
■ Building Maintenance, Service \& Sales with Outside Storage
■ Commercial Cleaners
■ Food Processing
■ Heavy Machinery \& Equipment (Rental, Sales \& Service)
■ Motor Vehicle Dealership (New or Used)
$\square$ Recreation Vehicle Sales
■ Service Station
■ Towing \& Impound Yard
■ Truck Rental
- Truck Stop with Fuel and Accessory Services
$\square$ Asphalt or Concrete Batch Plant
■ Environmentally Hazardous Materials
$\square$ Food Processing (No Slaughtering)
$\square$ Heavy Manufacturing
■ Metal Plating/Electro Plating
■ Mining and Extraction (Sand, Gravel, Oil \& Other)
■ Salvage or Reclamation of Products (Indoors or Outdoors)
$\square$ Heavy Construction Trade Yard
$\square$ Outside Storage and/or Outside Display
■ Bus Charter Service \& Service Facility


## Exhibit ' $E$ ':

PD Development Standards
$\square$ Airport, Heliport or Landing Field
■ Railroad Yard or Shop
■ Transit Passenger Facility
The following land uses shall be permitted by Specific Use Permit (SUP) on the Tracts 4 \& 5:
■ Auto Repair Garage (Minor)
■ Auto Repair Garage (Major)
■ Mini-Warehouse
(2) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Any development on Tracts 4 \& 5 as depicted in Exhibits ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the development standards required for properties in a Light Industrial (LI) District as stipulated by Article V, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future.

## Exhibit ' $F$ ':

Conceptual Townhome Elevations


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 22-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 87 (PD-87) [ORDINANCE NO. 18-46] AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO AMEND THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT PLAN AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS APPROVED WITH ORDINANCE NO. 18-46, BEING A 16.26-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS LOT 1, BLOCK 1, INDALLOY ADDITION AND TRACT 31 OF THE R. BALLARD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 29, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Dub Douphrate of Douphrate and Associates on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC, for of an amendment to Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] being a 16.26-acre tract of land identified as Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition and Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, General Retail (GR) District and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses, located on the southside of E. Washington Street east of the intersection of Park Place Boulevard and E. Washington Street and more fully described in Exhibit 'A' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) [Ordinance No. 18-46] and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the approval of this ordinance shall supersede all requirements stipulated by Ordinance No. 18-46;

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall be in accordance with the Planned Development Concept Plan, contained in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That development of the Subject Property shall be in accordance with the Development Standards, described in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 5. That a preliminary plat for the entire Subject Property -- as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance -- shall be submitted prior to any other submittal for any portion of the Subject Property.

SECTION 6. That development of Tract 1 of the Subject Property -- as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance -- shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 6(b) through 6(d) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). If required, the City Council shall act on an application for an Open Space Master Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) PD Site Plan/Open Space Master Plan
(2) Final Plat
(c) PD Site Plan/Open Space Master Plan. A PD Site Plan/Open Space Master Plan covering all of Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat Application after engineering approval.
(d) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, for all of Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 7. That development of Tract 2 on the Subject Property -- as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance -- shall be in conformance with the procedures set forth in the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02];

SECTION 8. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 9. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other
person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 10. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 11. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2022.

ATTEST:

Kristy Teague, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: August 15, 2022
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: September 6, 2022


## Exhibit 'A': <br> Legal Description

All that certain lot, tract or parcel of land situated in the R. BALLARD SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 29, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, and being a part of Lot 1, Block 1, INDALLOY ADDITION, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Texas, according to the Plat thereof recorded in Cabinet D, Slide 273 of the Plat Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and also being a part of a 98.319 acres tract of land as described in a Warranty deed from Alumax Aluminum Corporation to Columbia Extrusion Corporation, dated December 22, 1988 and being recorded in Volume 444, Page 102 of the Real Property Records of Rockwall County, Texas, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner at the northeast corner of said Lot 1, Block 1, said point being in the south right-of-way line of $E$. Washington Street;

THENCE S. 06 deg. 42 min .50 sec . E. along the east boundary line of Lot 1, a distance of 718.54 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 85 deg. 37 min .16 sec . W. a distance of 435.64 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ iron rod found for corner on the West boundary line of said Lot 1, Block 1;

THENCE N. 83 deg. 23 min .14 sec . W. a distance of 274.94 feet to a $1 / 2$ " iron rod found for corner;
THENCE S. 81 deg. 27 min .00 sec . W. a distance of 408.12 feet to a Y," iron rod found for corner in the east boundary line of PARK PLACE WEST II, according to the Amended plat thereof recorded in Cabinet G, Slide 100, of the Plat Records of Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE N. 04 deg. 52 min .54 sec . W. along said addition, a distance of 106.88 feet to a W' iron rod found for corner;

THENCE N. 07 deg. 20 min .27 sec . E. along said addition, a distance of 603.20 feet to a W' iron rod found for corner in the south line of E . Washington Street;

THENCE N. 89 deg. 51 min .05 sec . E. along the south line of said street, a distance of 513.76 feet to a P-K nail found for corner at the northwest corner of said Lot 1, Block 1;

THENCE N. 89 deg. 34 min .40 sec . E. along the south line of said street and north line of said Lot 1, a distance of 445.34 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 16.26 acres of land.

Exhibit 'B':
Survey


Exhibit ' $C$ ':
Concept Plan


Exhibit ' $D$ ':
Conceptual Townhome Elevations
Tracts 1 \& 2 (16.26-Acres): Development Standards for all Tracts
(1) Landscaping Standards.
(a) Landscape Requirements. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with a PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height.
(b) Landscape Buffers (Street A). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along the frontage of Street $A$ as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, and shall incorporate a minimum of one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50 -feet of linear frontage unless otherwise specified in this ordinance. Any streets added to the subject property that are not depicted on the Concept Plan in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance or referenced in Exhibit ' $E$ ' of this ordinance shall also be subject to this requirement.
(c) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66/SH-66 Right-of-Way). A minimum of a 30foot landscape buffer shall be provided along the future right-of-way for SH-66 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and/or shrubbery or a combination thereof along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, two (2) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage.
(d) Landscape Buffer (Adjacent to Residential). A minimum of a 20 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along the western boundary of Tract 2 (i.e. areas adjacent to residential land uses). The landscape buffer shall incorporate a combination of shrubbery and ground cover along the entire length of the adjacency for the purpose of screening the commercial areas from the residential areas without using a physical barrier. In addition, the landscape buffer shall incorporate canopy trees planted on 20 -foot centers along the entire length of the adjacency.
(e) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect.
(2) Washington Street. The applicant shall not be responsible for upgrading E. Washington Street to a M4U (major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) as shown on the Master Thoroughfare Plan in the Comprehensive Plan.
(3) Buried Utilities. All transmission and distribution power-lines located internally or along the perimeter of the Subject Property, shall be underground. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between a home and the property line.
(4) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] shall apply to any application for variances to any provisions of this ordinance.

## Tract 1: Townhomes (2.38-Acres)

## Exhibit 'D':

Conceptual Townhome Elevations
(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development ordinance, Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the land uses permitted for the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future; however, the following conditions shall apply:

The following land uses shall be permitted on the Tract 1 in addition to the land uses permitted in the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District:

■ Townhomes
However, the following land uses shall be expressly prohibited on Tract 1:
Accessory Building
Guest Quarters/Secondary Living Unit Portable Buildings
Church/House of Worship
Day Care
$\square$ Private or Public School
$\square$ Railroad Yard or Shop
(2) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development ordinance, Tract 1 as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the development standards for the Single Family 10 (SF-10) District as stipulated by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 5.10 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed 12 dwelling units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:

| TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | $28^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $115^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Area | $3,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{(2)}$ \& (4) | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | $0^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Distance Between Buildings | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | $36^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4) | $10^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air Conditioned Space] | $1,400 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Garage Orientation | Rear Entry |
| Maximum Number of Attached Units Per Buildings | 5 Units |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | $75 \%$ |

## General Notes:

1: The minimum lot width shall be measured at the Front Yard Building Setback.
${ }^{\text {2 }}$ : The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
4: Porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front and Rear Yard Building Setbacks by up to five (5) feet for any property; however, the encroachment shall not exceed three (3) feet on Side Yard Setbacks where appropriate for such use and shall not encroach into public right-of-way.
(3) Garage Orientation. All garages are required to be rear entry (i.e. access from Road 'A') and shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance (i.e. the Townhomes will front towards the Park Place Subdivision).
(4) Building Standards. The building elevations shall generally conform to the Conceptual Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit 'D' of this ordinance; however, all development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirements. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façades of all buildings shall be $90 \%$. For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementaceous fiberboard horizontal lap-siding (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) and/or similar cementaceous products may be used for up to $100 \%$ of the exterior of the building pending conformance with the anti-monotony restrictions outlined in this ordinance. Stucco (i.e. three [3] part stucco or similar) shall be prohibited.
(b) Roof Design Requirements. All buildings shall be designed such that no roof mounted mechanical equipment (i.e. HVAC, satellite, vents, etc.) shall be visible from any direction. Note: Screening of mechanical equipment is necessary for all equipment regardless of location (i.e. roof mounted, ground mounted, or otherwise attached to the building and/or located on the site).
(c) Architectural Requirements. All units shall be architecturally finished on all sides of the building that are visible from a public right-of-way or open space with the same materials, detailing and features.
(5)

Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall generally conform to development scheme portrayed in the Conceptual Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit 'D' of this ordinance; however, all development shall adhere to the following anti-monotony restrictions:
(a) Identical brick blends and paint colors may not occur on adjacent (i.e. side-by-side) properties within the development without at least two (2) intervening townhomes of differing materials on the same side of the adjacent townhome beginning with the adjacent property.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least two (2) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same block face within the development.
(c) The rear elevation of the homes, backing to the public right-of-way (i.e. Street $A$ ), shall not repeat without at least two (2) (i.e. side-by-side) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
(1) Front Encroachment (i.e. Porch and/or Sunroom) Type and Layout
(2) Roof Type and Layout
(3) Articulation of the Front Façade
(4) Differing Primary Exterior Materials
(6) Sidewalks. The sidewalk adjacent to Road ' $A$ ' as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance shall be constructed adjacent to the roadway with the exception of the area directly adjacent to the townhomes. In this area the sidewalk may be deviated to run in between the western property line of the Subject Property and the front facades of the townhomes. Where the sidewalk is on private property it shall be in a pedestrian access easement.
(7) Fence Standards. All fences shall be required to be wrought iron or a tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in height; however, may not exceed a maximum of eight (8) feet in height.
(8) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 38-15 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. As an alternative -- and pending the approval of an adjacent HOA --, this property can be incorporated into an existing HOA. The HOA shall also maintain all neighborhood parks, open space and common areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and the private roadway, drive aisles and drive approaches for the subject property associated with this development.

## Tract 2: Commercial/Retail (12.82-Acres)

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development ordinance, Tract 2 as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the land uses permitted for the General (GR) District as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future; however, the following conditions shall apply:

The following land uses shall be expressly prohibited on Tract 2:

```
Convent or Monastery
    Hotel or Motel
    Residence Hotel
    Cemetery/Mausoleum
    Convalescent Care Facility/Nursing Home
    Emergency Ambulance Services (Ground)
        Hospital
Mortuary or Funeral Chapel
Social Service Provider
\square Billiard Parlor or Pool Hall
\square Carnival, Circus, or Amusement Ride
\square Commercial Amusement/Recreation (Outside)
| Golf Driving Range
\square Astrologer, Hypnotist, or Psychic Art and Science
\square Night Club, Discotheque, or Dance Hall
```


## Exhibit 'D':

V Secondhand Dealer
■ Auto Repair Garage (Minor)

- Car Wash/Auto Detail
- Car Wash (Self Service)

■ Retail Store with Gasoline Product Sales (Any Amount of Dispensers)

- Service Station

■ Mining and Extraction (Sand, Gravel, Oil \& Other)

- Helipad

V Railroad Yard or Shop
$\boxtimes$ Transit Passenger Facility
(2) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Any development on Tract 2 as depicted in Exhibits ' $B$ ' \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance shall be subject to the development standards required for properties in a General Retail (GR) District as stipulated by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as may be amended in the future.
(3) Building Standards. The building elevations shall generally conform to the Overlay District Standards; however, all development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirements. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façades of all buildings shall be $90 \%$. For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural or quarried stone. Cementaceous fiberboard horizontal lap-siding (e.g. HardiBoard or Stucco) and/or similar cementaceous products may be used for up to $50 \%$ of the exterior of the building.
(b) Roof Design Requirements. All buildings shall be designed such that no roof mounted mechanical equipment (i.e. HVAC, satellite, vents, etc.) shall be visible from any direction. Parapets must be finished on both sides in the same material as the exterior facing elevation. Note: Screening of mechanical equipment is necessary for all equipment regardless of location (i.e. roof mounted, ground mounted, or otherwise attached to the building and/or located on the site).

Exhibit 'D':
Conceptual Townhome Elevations


TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
August 9, 2022
David Scott and Christine Fischer
Z2022-036; Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an AG Accessory Structure for Breezy Hill Lane

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David Scott and Christine Fischer for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an Agricultural Accessory Building on a ten (10) acre parcel of land identified as Lot 2, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, generally located at the terminus of Breezy Hill Lane, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on February 4, 2008 by Ordinance No. 08-12 [Case No. A2004-003]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was a portion of a larger 90.00 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 33 of the J. Strickland Survey, Abstract No. 187), and was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On May 5, 2020, the subject property was established as Lot 2, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition by Case No. P2020-007. On July 5, 2022, the City Council approved a Specific Use Permit (SUP) [Case No. Z2022-026] for Residential Infill Adjacent to an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing of a $4,925 \mathrm{SF}$ single-family home on the subject property.

## PURPOSE

The applicants -- David Scott and Christine Fischer -- are requesting the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the purpose of constructing an Agricultural Accessory Building on the subject property in accordance with Subsection 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located at the terminus of Breezy Hill Lane. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is a 10.010-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition) zoned Agriculture (AG) District. Beyond this are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall and the corporate limits of Rockwall County.

South: Directly south of the subject property are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall followed by several residential properties situated within Rockwall County and the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ).

East: Directly east of the subject property is a 50.29 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 33-02, of the J Strickland Survey, Abstract No. 187) zoned Agricultural (AG) District. Beyond this is Anna Cade Road, which is classified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall followed by several properties with single-family homes situated on them.

West: Directly west of the subject property is Breezy Hill Lane, which is classified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is Phase 11 of the Breezy Hill Subdivision, which was established in 2019 and contains 78 residential lots. The Breezy Hill

Subdivision is zoned Planned Development District 74 (PD-74) for limited General Retail (GR) District and SingleFamily 10 (SF-10) District.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST AND CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

On January 7, 2019, the City Council directed staff to change the Unified Development Code (UDC) to incorporate an Agricultural Accessory Building land use into Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC). Based on this direction staff brought forward the requested changes on February 19, 2019, and the City Council adopted the new land use and operational conditions on March 4, 2019 through Ordinance No. 19-12. According to this new ordinance (consolidated in Section 02.03, Conditional Land Use Standards, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]) a Barn or Agricultural Accessory Building is permitted by a Specific Use Permit (SUP) in an Agricultural (AG) District subject to the following Conditional Land Use Standards:
(1) The property shall be a minimum of ten (10) acres or more in size.
(2) A Barn or Agricultural Accessory Building shall be a minimum of $2,000 \mathrm{SF}$ and a maximum of $4,999 \mathrm{SF}$ in total size (i.e. under roof).
(3) The Barn or Agricultural Accessory Building shall be located behind the front façade of the primary structure, and be subject to the same building setbacks as the primary structure.

Staff has placed a table below (i.e. Table 1: Conformance with the Conditional Land Use Standards for Agricultural Accessory Buildings) showing how the Agricultural Accessory Building will conform to the Conditional Land Use Standards stated above.

TABLE 1: Conformance with the Conditional Land Use Standards for Agricultural Accessory Buildings

| Conditional Use Standard | Proposed Agricultural Accessory Building |
| :--- | :---: |
| Minimum lot size of ten $(10)$ acres | 10.00 -acres; in conformance |
| Building shall be $2,000 \mathrm{sf}<\mathrm{x}<4,999 \mathrm{sf}$ | $\sim 2,400$ sf; in conformance |
| Located behind the front facade | Yes; in conformance |
| Meets setback requirements | Yes; in conformance |

The applicant has submitted an application, residential plot plan, and conceptual building elevations. The applicant has indicated that they are planning to construct a $40^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$ or 2,400 SF Agricultural Accessory Building. The Agricultural Accessory Building will have 12 -foot walls, with a $4: 12$ roof pitch, and at the roof midpoint the structure will be less than 15 -feet tall. According to the applicant the structure will be placed behind the front façade of the home, and be used to store agricultural equipment (i.e. a tractor). The proposed Agricultural Accessory Building meets all of the conditional land use standards, density and dimensional standards for a property situated within an Agricultural (AG) District as stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC).

## NOTIFICATIONS

On July 22, 2022, staff mailed 48 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was written, staff has not received any notices in regard to the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an Agricultural Accessory Building, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the operational conditions contained in the Specific Use Permit (SUP) ordinance and which are detailed as follows:
(a) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall generally conform to the concept plan and the conceptual building elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ \& ' $C$ ' of the Specific Use Permit SUP ordinance.
(b) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum size of 4,999 SF.
(c) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum height of 15 -feet as measured to the mid-point of the pitched roof.
(d) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall have a minimum of 3:12 roof pitch.
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONL.Y ONE BOX]:

## PLATTING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ MASTER PLAT ( $\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$

- FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ REPLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$150.00)
$\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )


## SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN (\$100.00)

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
® SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE) })^{182}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
$\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$

## NOTES:

1:IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. r: A $\$ 1,000.00$ FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

ADDRESS 1101 Breezy Hill Lane Rockwall TX 75087
SUBDIVISION N/A
LOT 2
BLOCK A

GENERAL LOCATION At the North end of Breezy Hill Lane - the immediate property to the South is 1027 Breezy Hill. Property ID 106345

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING
CURRENT USE
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE
LOTS [CURRENT]

SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| 囚 OWNER | David Scott \& Christine Fischer | $\square$ APPLICANT |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | David Scott Fischer | CONTACT PERSON |
| ADDRESS | 1608 Lake Crest Ln |  |
|  |  | ADDRESS |

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

beFore me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Dau io scoTT FE SCHE $[0 W N E R]$ the undersigned, who STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION; ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT; AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF $\$$ $\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE $\qquad$ $\frac{A N D}{}$ DAY OF INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS APPLICATION, IF SUCH REPRODUCTION IS ASSOCIATED OR IN RESPONSE TO A REQUESTFORPUBLOWFORMATION:. GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE ON THI OWNER'S SIGNATURE

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Case Number:
Case Name:
Z2022-036
SUP for an Agricultural Accessory Building
Case Type:
Zoning:
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Zoning
Agricultural (AG) District 1101 Breezy Hill Lane

Date Saved: 7/15/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


City of Rockwall
The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.
Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 145 | 290 | 580 | 870 | 1,160 |  |



Case Number:
Case Name:
Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address:

Z2022-036
SUP for an Agricultural Accessory
Building
Zoning
Agricultural (AG) District 1101 Breezy Hill Lane

Date Saved: 7/15/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2022-036: SUP for an Agricultural Accessory Building

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by David Scott and Christine Fischer for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an Agricultural Accessory Building on a ten (10) acre parcel of land identified as Lot 2, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, generally located at the terminus of Breezy Hill Lane, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Bethany Ross

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15, 2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP
Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - - - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

## Case No. Z2022-036: SUP for an Agricultural Accessory Building

## Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

$\square$ I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square 1$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

> PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

BH PHASE XI LTD 1001 CASCADING CREEK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BH PHASE XI LTD
1009 CASCADING CREEK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRAND HOMES 2014 LP 1013 CASCADING CREEK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRAND HOMES 2014 LP
1017 CASCADING CREEK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WINDSOR HOMES CUMBERLAND LLC 1020 SABLE DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BH PHASE XI LTD
1024 CASCADING CREEK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BH PHASE XI LTD 1028 CASCADING CREEK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRAND HOMES 2014 LP
15455 DALLAS PARKWAY SUITE 1000
ADDISON, TX 75225

BH PHASE XI LTD
4414 RAVENBANK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
GRAND HOMES 2014 LP
4 5 1 2 ~ R A V E N B A N K ~ D R ~
ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

GRAND HOMES 2014 LP 4521 SKY HARBOR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BH PHASE XI LTD 4537 SKY HARBOR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALLER DAVID AND SORAYA
4550 SKY HARBOR DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75225

WINDSOR HOMES CUMBERLAND LLC 4606 SKY HARBOR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WINDSOR HOMES CUMBERLAND LLC 8214 WESTCHESTER DR STE 710

DALLAS, TX 75225

BH PHASE XI LTD 4514 SKY HARBOR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BH PHASE XI LTD 4524 SKY HARBOR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## GRAND HOMES 2014 LP

4538 SKY HARBOR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BH PHASE XI LTD 4602 RAVENBANK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WINDSOR HOMES CUMBERLAND LLC 5310 HARVEST HILL RD SUITE 162 DALLAS, TX 75225

BH PHASE XI LTD
8214 WESTCHESTER DRIVE SUITE 900
DALLAS, TX 75225

GRAND HOMES 2014 LP 4516 RAVENBANK DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRAND HOMES 2014 LP 4536 SKY HARBOR DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SANCHEZ ELENA
4546 SKY HARBOR DRIVE
ROCKWALL, TX 75225

WINDSOR HOMES CUMBERLAND LLC
4602 SKY HARBOR DR
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HEFNER SCOTT \& CHERYL 735 PLEASANT BREEZE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HEFNER SCOTT \& CHERYL 897 ANACONDA COURT CASTLE ROCKWA, CO 75225

(1) 02 SITE LOCATION


E. 1



## CITY OF ROCKWALL

## ORDINANCE NO. 22-XX

## SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-XXX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED
DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF
THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS
PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE
PERMIT (SUP) TO ALLOW AN AGRICULTURAL ACCESSORY
BUILDING ON A 10-ACRE TRACT OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS
LOT 2, BLOCK A, BREEZY HILL ADDITION, CITY OF
ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE
SPECIFICALLY DEPICTED AND DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED
IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR
SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE
NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS
( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A
SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER
CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City has received a request from David Scott and Christine Fischer for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an Agricultural Accessory Building on a ten (10) acre parcel of land identified as Lot 2, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, generally located at the terminus of Breezy Hill Lane, and being more specifically described and depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) allowing an Agricultural Accessory Building as stipulated by Subsection 01.01, Use of Land and Building, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 01.01, Use of Land and Building, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02]-- as heretofore amended and as may be amended in the future --, and with the following conditions:

### 2.1. OPERATIONALCONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the operation of an Agricultural Accessory Building on the Subject Property and conformance to these conditions are required for continued operations:
(1) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall generally conform to the concept plan and the conceptual building elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ \& ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(2) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum size of $4,999 \mathrm{SF}$.
(3) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall not exceed a maximum height of 15 -feet.
(4) The Agricultural Accessory Building shall have a minimum of $3: 12$ roof pitch.

### 2.2. COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP), of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require compliance to the following:
(1) Upon obtaining a Building Permit, should the homeowner fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outline in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City Council may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Section 2.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2022.


## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: August 15, 2022


Exhibit 'A'
Zoning Exhibit
Address: 1101 Breezy Hill Lane
Legal Description: Lot 2, Block A, Breezy Hill Lane Addition


Exhibit ' $B$ ':
Residential Plot Plan


## Exhibit 'C':

Elevations


Exhibit ' $C$ ':
Elevations


CITY OF ROCKWALL
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION CASE MEMO
PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT
385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087
PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
August 9, 2022
Ryan Joyce; Michael Joyce Properties
z2022-037; Zoning Change (AG, 2F \& LI to PD) for the Park Hills Subdivision

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses on a 65.309 -acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision; Tract 6 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and being portions of Tracts 1 \& 6-1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

A portion of the subject property was annexed by the City Council on February 6, 1961 through the adoption of Ordinance No. 60-01 [Case No. A1960-001]. Based on the January 3, 1972 Historic Zoning Maps, this portion of the subject property was rezoned from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Light Industrial (LI) District at some point between February 6, 1961 and January 3, 1972. On January 9, 1984 the City Council approved Ordinance No. 84-06 changing the zoning of a portion of the subject property from a Light Industrial (LI) District to a Two-Family (2F) District for the purpose of facilitating a townhome development [Case No. 1983-048-01]. Approved concurrently with this zoning change, the City Council also approved a Preliminary Plat [Case No. 1983-049-01] and Site Plan [Case No. 1983-049-02] for the townhome development. On April 10, 1984 a Final Plat for the Oak Creek Subdivision, consisting of 78 townhome lots on 18.37 -acres, was filed with Rockwall County. This property -- while being platted into 78 townhome lots -- remains currently vacant. The balance of the subject property was annexed into the City of Rockwall on March 16, 1998 by Ordinance No. $98-10$ [Case No. A1998-001]. At the time of annexation this property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. This designation has not changed since annexation and this portion of the subject property has remained vacant.

## PURPOSE

On July 15, 2022 the applicant -- Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties -- submitted an application requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District, and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses. Specifically, the applicant is proposing to entitle the subject property for a 144-lot single-family, residential subdivision that will consists of three (3) lot sizes (i.e. [A] 41, 72' x $120^{\prime}$ lots; [B] 75, 62' x 120' lots; and [C] 28, 52' x 120' lots).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located in between John King Boulevard, E. Washington Street, E. Williams Street [SH-66], and Harry Myers Park. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is E. Williams Street [SH-66], which is identified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is the Highlands Subdivision, which consists of 36 single-family residential lots on 11.00 -acres. North of this is a vacant
3.494-acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 2 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122). These properties are zoned Planned Development District 5 (PD-5) for open space and Zero Lot Line (ZL-5) District land uses.

South: Directly south of the subject property is E. Washington Street, which is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are two (2) vacant tracts of land (i.e. Tract 31 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 197 and Lot 1, Block 1, Indalloy Addition) that are zoned Planned Development District 87 (PD-87) for Townhomes, General Retail (GR) District, and Light Industrial (LI) District land uses.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property are the remainder tracts for Tracts 1 \& 6-1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183. These remainders are zoned Planned Development District 71 (PD-71) for Commercial (C) District land uses. Beyond this is N. John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

West: Directly west of the subject property is a 67.036 -acre public park (i.e. Harry Myers Park). Also, west of the subject property is a 21.326 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 7 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183) that is occupied with the administrative offices for the Rockwall Independent School District (RISD) and the Quest Academy. Both of these properties are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, with a portion of the public park being zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.

## MAP 1: LOCATION MAP

YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY


## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted a concept plan and development standards for the proposed residential subdivision. The concept plan shows that the 65.309 -acre subject property will consist of 144 residential lots. These lots will consist of three (3) lot types: [1] 41 Type ' $A$ ' lots that are a minimum of 72 ' x 120' (or 8,400 SF), [2] 75 Type ' $B$ ' lots that are a minimum of 62 ' x 120 ' (or 7,200 $S F$ ), and [3] 28 Type ' $C$ ' lots that are a minimum of 52 ' $\times 120$ ' (or $6,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ). This translates to a gross density of 2.21 dwelling units per gross acre (i.e. 144 lots/65.309-acres $=2.205$ dwelling units per gross acre). The minimum dwelling unit size (i.e. air-
condition space) will range from $2,400 \mathrm{SF}$ to $2,500 \mathrm{SF}$. With regard to the proposed housing product, staff has incorporated anti-monotony and masonry standards into the proposed Planned Development District ordinance. Specifically, the ordinance will require a minimum of $90 \%$ masonry, and be subject to the City's upgraded anti-monotony standards; however, the Planned Development District ordinance will also incorporate provisions that allow up to $80 \%$ cementitous fiberboard utilized in a horizontal lap-siding, board-and-batten siding, or decorative pattern to allow a variation of materials throughout the subdivision. The following are some of the examples provided by the applicant showing horizontal lap-siding, board-and-batten siding, or decorative patterns that are contained within the Planned Development District ordinance:

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD


FIGURE 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN


FIGURE 3: EXAMPLES OF HORIZONTAL LAP


Looking at the garage orientation proposed for the development, the applicant is requesting to allow all of the Type ' $C$ ' lots (i.e. the $52^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ lots) or a total of $19.40 \%$ of the 144 lots (equating to a total of 28 lots) to be orientated toward the street in a Front Entry garage configuration; however, the applicant is proposing to require an additional five (5) foot setback from the front façade of the home for Front Entry garage configurations, which will create a 25 -foot separation from the garage to the front property line. The remaining garage doors will be oriented in a J-Swing (or a Traditional Swing) configuration or Recessed Entry configuration (i.e. where the garage door is situated a minimum of 20 -feet behind the front façade). In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate upgraded finishes on all garage doors that include: [1] coach lighting, [2] carriage style hardware and lighting, [3] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [4] driveways that are constructed with
ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finish, or salt finished. The following are some of the examples of the upgrades required in the Planned Development District ordinance:

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR


FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES


FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES


The proposed subdivision will be subject to the land uses and density and dimensional requirements stipulated for properties within a Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District unless otherwise specified in the Planned Development District ordinance. The following is a summary of the lot composition and density and dimensional standards contained in the proposed Planned Development District ordinance:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

| Lot Type | Minimum Lot Size (FT) | Minimum Lot Size (SF) | Dwelling Units (\#) | Dwelling Units (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $72^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | 8,400 SF | 41 | $28.50 \%$ |
| $B$ | $62^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | 7,200 SF | 75 | $52.10 \%$ |
| $C$ | $52^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | 6,000 SF | 28 | $19.40 \%$ |
|  |  | Maximum Permitted Units: | 144 | $100.00 \%$ |

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | 72' | 62 | 52 |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 120' | 120' | 120' |
| Minimum Lot Area (SF) | 8,400 SF | 7,200 SF | 6,000 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback (2), (5) \& (6) | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 6 ' | 6 | 6 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) (2), (5) \& (7) | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | 35 | 35' | 35 |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4) | 10' | 10' | 10' |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] | 2,500 SF | 2,500 SF | 2,400 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | $65^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ |

## General Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
${ }^{2}$ : The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
4: The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
${ }^{5}$ : Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback and Side Yard Building Setback by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6: J-Swing or Traditional Swing Garages are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
7: All Corner Lots that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the Corner Lot sides to (i.e. a Keystone Lot), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

The proposed concept plan shows that the development will consist of a total of 21.14-acres of open space that includes a 0.97acre amenity center, 11.95-acres of private open space, 1.87-acres of parkland dedication, and 6.35 -acres of floodplain. This translates to an open space percentage of $27.51 \%$ (i.e. 11.95 -acres of private open space +0.97 -acre amenity center +1.87 acres of parkland dedication [6.35-acres of floodplain/2]/65.309-acres gross $=17.965$-acres or $27.50769 \%$ ). In addition, the applicant has incorporated a trail system on the concept plan that shows a six (6) foot hike and bike trail will be provided. These trails will also provide access into Harry Myers Park, which is situated west of the proposed subdivision.

## INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's concept plan and the proposed density, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the proposed development:
(1) Roadways. According to the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan SH-66 is required to be a TXDOT4D (i.e. a Texas Department of Transportation, four [4] lane, divided roadway), which requires a 140 -foot right-of-way. The applicant will be required to dedicate a minimum of 120 -feet of right-of-way with the remaining right-of-way being reserved on the subdivision plat. In addition, FM-1141 is identified as a Minor Collector on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. This will require a
minimum of 76 -feet of right-of-way. The applicant will be required to dedicate a minimum of 60 -feet of right-of-way with the remainder of the right-of-way being reserved on the subdivision plat.
(2) Water. The applicant will be required to construct a 12-inch waterline in the future right-of-way of $\mathrm{SH}-66$ connecting to the existing waterline in E. Washington Street, and a 12-inch waterline along Williams Street. In addition, an infrastructure study will be needed to verify if additional water improvements are required.
(3) Wastewater. The applicant will be required to construct a minimum of a ten (10) inch wastewater line connecting the existing stub out on the southside of Williams Street to the southern property line.
(4) Drainage. Detention will be required and sized per the required detention study. The applicant will also be required to perform a Flood Study and a Wetlands and Waters of the United States (WOTUS) Study for the existing ponds and floodplain on the subject property.

## CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed Planned Development District conforms to the majority of the City's code requirements; however, it should be noted that the development standards contained within the Planned Development District ordinance deviate from the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and the Engineering Department's Standards of Design and Construction Manual in the following ways:
(1) Alleyways. The Engineering Department's Standards of Design and Construction Manual stipulates that "(a)lleys shall be provided in all residential areas and shall be paved with steel reinforced concrete..." The code does grant the City Council the ability to "... waive the residential alley requirement, if it is in the best interest of the City." [Page 14; Section 2.11 of the Standards of Design and Construction Manual]
(2) Garage Configuration. Section 04, Residential Parking, of Article 06, Parking and Loading, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) requires that, "(i)n single-family or duplex districts, parking garages must be located at least 20 -feet behind the front building façade for front entry garages unless it is a J-Swing [or traditional swing] garage where the garage door is perpendicular to the street."

Applicant's Response to (1) \& (2): In lieu of providing the required alleyways, the applicant is proposing to provide $80.06 \%$ J-Swing (or Traditional Swing) or Recessed Front Entry (i.e. where the garage is setback a minimum of 20 -feet behind the front façade of the home) garages and $19.40 \%$ Front Entry garages with a five (5) foot recess of the garage door front the front façade of the single-family home. This will create a minimum of 25 -feet from the front property line and the garage. As a compensatory measure the applicant is proposing to provide additional architectural elements into all garage configurations: $[A]$ coach lighting, $[B]$ decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, $[C]$ driveways constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the Land Use Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the Central District and is designated for Medium Density Residential land uses. The plan defines Medium Density Residential land uses as "... residential subdivisions that are greater than two (2) and one-half ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ ) units per gross acre, but not higher than three (3) units per gross acre; however, a density of up to three and one-half ( $\left.3^{1} / 2\right)$ units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses ..." In this case, the applicant is proposing a total density of 2.21 dwelling units per acre. The proposed density is more characteristic of the Low Density Residential land use designation, which is defined as "... residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half ( $2^{1 / 2}$ ) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses ..." In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate 1.87 -acres of public parkland dedication (which will be added to the existing acreage of Harry Myers Park), six (6) foot hike and bike trails, a 0.97-acre amenity center, and $27.51 \%$ open space (which exceeds the required open space by $7.51 \%$ ). Based on this, the applicant's request is in substantial conformance with the Medium Density Residential designation indicated for the subject property.

According to the District Strategies for Suburban Residential in the Central District, "... many of the larger tracts in this area are not large enough to support a master planned community ... any new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential lots in this district, but should be comparable in size to newer developments ..." In this case, the subject property incorporates an existing subdivision that was never constructed, but was platted for 78 townhome lots. This development -- the Oak Creek Subdivision -- consists of lots that are a minimum of 35 ' x 105', and an overall density of 4.37 dwelling units per acre. In addition, the subject property is directly south of the Highlands Subdivision, which incorporates lots that are a minimum of $50^{\prime} \times 100^{\prime}$, and an overall density of 3.27 dwelling units per acre. These two (2) subdivisions are the only subdivisions within a close proximity to the subject property, and based on this the applicant's proposal incorporates larger lot sizes and a lower density than these subdivisions. Taking this into consideration, the applicant's request appears to be in conformance with the District Strategies for the Central District.

With regard to the policies and goals for residential development contained in the Comprehensive Plan, the applicant's request incorporates the majority of these policies and goals (e.g. minimum of six [6] side yard setbacks on all lot types, providing a mixture of lot types throughout the development, etc.); however, staff did identify the following non-conformities on the original concept plan. Based on these non-conformities, staff provided the below recommendations to the applicant. These recommendations are followed by the applicant's response to each recommendation.

RED: NOT INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.
BLUE: INCORPORATED INTO THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT ORDINANCE.
(1) $\mathrm{CH} .07 \mid$ Goal 02; Policy 3: Continue to develop and plan for an interconnected trail and sidewalk system that promotes connectivity and access to all areas of the City.
(2) CH. 08 | Section 02.02 | Goal 02; Policy 3: All parks and open space should provide an integrated trail system that serves the adjacent neighborhood areas.

Staff Response: Staff requested the applicant label and show an interconnected trail system and sidewalks on the concept plan, and show connectivity of the trail system to Harry Myers Park. The applicant has shown a six (6) foot hike/bike trail circulating through the development and connecting to Harry Myers Park, and five (5) foot sidewalks throughout the development.
(3) CH .08 Section $02.03 \mid$ Goal 03; Policy 2: Require rear-entry garages and alleyways on all lots that have a lot width of 55 feet or less; however, alleyways should be prohibited on all lots greater than 55 -feet. These lots should incorporate J-Swing or Recessed Front Entry garages.

Staff Response: The applicant is proposing to incorporate a 52 ' $\times 120$ ' lot product (i.e. Type ' $C$ ' lots) that will incorporate a Front Entry garage. Staff recommended that the $52^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ lot product be removed from the Planned Development District; however, staff also recognizes that the proposed development would replace 78 existing 35 x 100 ' lots that were established as the Oak Creek Subdivision. The applicant has indicated that the $52^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ Iots are necessary to make the current concept plan work. Based on removal of the existing lots in the Oak Creek Subdivision, this request may be warranted; however, this is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.
(4) CH. 08 | Sec. $02.03 \mid$ Goal 03; Policy 3: In cases where Flat Front Entry garages (i.e. even with the front façade of the primary structure) are requested as part of a development no greater than $20 \%$ should be incorporated into the development. In addition, Flat Front Entry garages should have a minimum of a 25 -foot front yard building setback to allow vehicles to be parked in the driveway without overhanging public right-of-way. This type of garage may not be appropriate for all developments and should be generally discouraged.

Staff Response: If a Front Entry garage configuration with a recess of five (5) feet is being requested it should be limited to $20 \%$. The applicant is requesting that $19.40 \%$ or all of the Type 'C' lots (i.e. the 52 ' $\times 120$ ' lots) incorporate Front Entry garage orientations; however, they are proposing a five (5) foot off-set between the front façade of the home and the garage, which will create a 25 -foot building setback for the garage.

In addition to these recommendations, staff also suggested to the applicant that they: [1] submit examples of entry monumentation signage, [2] pursue obtaining an access agreement to the property south of the subject property to reduce the number of cul-de-sacs being proposed, [3] provide a 50 -foot landscape buffer as opposed to a 30 -foot landscape buffer along the future alignment of SH-66. In response to this the applicant did incorporate entry signage locations on to the concept plan, but did not provide examples of the propose entry monumentation signage. Staff has included a Condition of Approval for this case stating that the entry monumentation signage shall be equal to or better than the entry monumentation signage provided for newer subdivisions along John King Boulevard. In addition, the applicant has indicated that they have approached the property owner to the south about a possible access easement; however, they have not finalized an agreement to provide this access. The applicant has indicated this on the concept plan, but this is not binding and does not require the applicant to provide this access. Finally, the applicant has stated that they were able to incorporate a 50 -foot landscape buffer along the majority of the future alignment of $\mathrm{SH}-66$, but in certain locations -- due to the shape of the property -- they couldn't get the full 50 -feet landscape buffer and it was necessary to reduce these areas down to 30 -feet.

Taking all of this into account, the applicant's concept plan and proposed density and development standards appear to conform with the majority of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan; however, as with all zoning cases, this request remains a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On July 22,2022 , staff mailed 15 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Park Place and Caruth Lakes (Caruth Ridge Estates) Homeowner's Associations (HOA's), which are the only HOA's within 1,500-feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had received the following:
(1) Two (2) property owner notifications from one (1) property owner who owns two (2) properties within the 500-foot notification buffer in favor of the applicant's request.
(2) One (1) property owner notification from one (1) property owner within the 500 -foot notification buffer in favor of the applicant's request.
(3) A letter from the Park Place Homeowner's Association (HOA) in favor of the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two Family (2F) District, and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the concept plan and development standards contained in the Planned Development District ordinance.
(2) The entry monumentation signage proposed for the subdivision should be substantial and should be of a quality and design equal to or better than existing entry monumentation signage along John King Boulevard. This will be reviewed by the Planning and Zoning Commission at the time of PD Site Plan.
(3) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Zoning Change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

[^0]PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

| PLATTING APPLICATION FEES: | ZONING APPLICATION FEES: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ MASTER PLAT (\$100.00 + \$15.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ | $\square$ ZONING CHANGE (\$200.00 + \$15.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT (\$200.00 + \$15.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ | $\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1 \& 2}$ |
| $\square$ FINAL PLAT (\$300.00 + \$20.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ | 図 PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1}$ |
| $\square$ REPLAT $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ | OTHER APPLICATION FEES: |
| $\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT (\$150.00) | $\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00) |
| $\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ ) | $\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$ |
| SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES: <br> $\square$ SITE PLAN $\left(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ <br> $\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$ | NOTES: <br> PER DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE. 2: A S1.000.00 FEE WIL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDNG PERMIT |

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS John King Blvd, Rockwall, TX 75087
SUBDIVISION ABS A0183, G W Redlin Tract 1, 6-1,6 LOT BLOCK
GENERAL LOCATION Southwest of Intersection at John King Blvd \& Williams Street (Hwy 66)
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | Agricultural | CURRENT USE | Agricultural |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| PROPOSED ZONING | Planned Development District - SF10 | PROPOSED USE | Single Family Residential |
| ACREAGE | 65.309 | LOTS [CURRENT] |  |
| LOTS [PROPOSED] 152 |  |  |  |

$\square$ SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| ロOWNER | Rockwall Property Corp | ® APPLICANT | Michael Joyce Properties |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| CONTACT PERSON | B: \II Bricker | CONTACT PERSON | Ryan Joyce |
| ADDRESS | 305 Park Place Blvd | ADDRESS | 767 Justin Road |
|  |  |  |  |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 |
| PHONE |  | PHONE | $512-965-6280$ |
| E-MAIL | E-MAIL | ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com |  |

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED Charles W breleer [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:
"I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM THE OWNER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS APPLICATION: ALL INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT AND THE APPLICATION FEE OF
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ TO COVER THE COST OF THIS APPLICATION, HAS BEEN PAID TO THE CITY OF ROCKWALL ON THIS THE 1 If

HE APPLICATION FEE OF
DAY OF
$\qquad$ 2022 BY SIGNING THIS APPLICATION, I AGREE THAT THE CITY OF ROCKWALL (IE. 'CITY') IS AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN THIS APPLICATION TO THE PUBLIC. THE CITY IS ALSO AUTHORIZED AND PERMITTER TO REPRODUCE ANY COPYRIGHTED INFORMATION



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number:
Z2022-037
Case Name:
Zoning Change from AG, 2F and LI to PD for SF-10
Case Type: Residential
Zoning: AG, 2F, \& LI
Case Address: John King Blvd \& Williams Street

Date Saved: 7/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


From: Gamez, Angelica<br>Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:47 AM<br>Cc: Miller, Ryan; Ross, Bethany; Lee, Henry<br>Subject: Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-037]<br>Attachments: Public Notice (07.15.2022).pdf; HOA Map Z2022-037.pdf

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:

Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on July 22, 2022. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

Z2022-037 Zoning Change from AG, 2F, and LI to a PD for SF-8.4 District
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses on a 65.309-acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision; Tract 6 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and being portions of Tracts 1 \& 6-1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

## Angelica Gamez

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/


Case Number: Z2022-037
Case Name: Zoning Change from AG, 2F and LI to PD for $\mathrm{SF}-10$
Case Type: Residential
Zoning: AG, 2F, \& LI
Case Address: John King Blvd \& Williams Street

Date Saved: 7/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


BAYS JACOB M
5602 YACHT CLUB DR. ROCKWALL, TX 75032

CTDIGLAND LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104 ROANOKE, TX 76262

F \& F HOLDINGS I, LTD 11226 INDIAN TRAIL DALLAS, TX 75229

ROCKWALL COUNTY HELPING HANDS INC P O BOX 375
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOROPTIMIST INT'L OF ROCKWALL PO BOX 372
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CITY OF ROCKWALL
385 S GOLIAD ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DEVENNEY DIANA
2944 PEGASUS DR
GARLAND, TX 75044

FUNK JOSEPH
11226 INDIAN TRAIL
DALLAS, TX 75229

ROCKWALLISD
1050 WILLIAMS ST
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

STONEFIELD HOMES LLC
150 TURTLE CREEK
SUITE 104-E
DALLAS, TX 75207

COLUMBIA EXTRUSION
ATTN:BILL BRICKER 305 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DILLENDER ROBERT O \& SHERY PO BOX 2016 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL COMMUNITY PLAYHOUSE 609 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORP ATTN:BILL BRICKER 305 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHITTLE HIGHLANDS, LLC PO BOX 369 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2022-037: Zoning Change (2F, LI, and AG to PD) for Park Hills

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses on a 65.309 -acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision; Tract 6 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and being portions of Tracts 1 \& 6-1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9,2022 at $6: 00$ PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Ryan Miller

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15, 2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP


Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

- . - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-037: Zoning Change (2F, LI, and AG to PD) for Park Hills
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
$\square \mathrm{I}$ am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:
Case No. Z2022-037: Zoning Change (2F, LI, and AG to PD) for Park Hills
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Pricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses on a 65.309 -acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision; Tract 6 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and being portions of Tracts $1 \& 6-1$ of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9, 2022 at $6: 00$ PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at $6: 00$ PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to: Ryan Miller
Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your
name and address for identification purposes. name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15, 2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP


Director of Planning \& Zoning
USE THIS QR CODE
TO GO DIRECTLY
TO THE WEBSITE


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: $h$ ttps://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

- .- PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-037: Zoning Change (2F, LI, and AG to PD) for Park Hills
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
Great Plan, medium dewitt of 23, Future 46 preserved, lots of oped space, mix of lot sizes to show good struetscaper. Eliminated the duplex lots

Name:


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:
You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:
Case No. Z2022-037: Zoning Change (2F, LI, and AG to PD) for Park Hills
Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Pricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses on a 65.309 -acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision; Tract 6 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and being portions of Tracts 1 \& 6-1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9,2022 at $0: 00$ PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S .

## Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Ryan Miller

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15, 2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,

## Ryan Miller, AICP

Director of Planning \& Zoning


MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

## - •- PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-037: Zoning Change (2F, LI, and AG to PD) for Park Hills
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:
I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square 1$ am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.
Great plows, medium 2.3 dens sit, Suture 66 preserved, lots of opes space and mix of it sizes to show good streetsenges. Eliminated the dudley potential

Name:


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: ( 1 ) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed
change and extending 200 feet from that area. change and extending 200 feet from that area.


All questions were answered professionally and satisfactorily. The Board of Park Place West HOA has voted, based upon member input, to express support of the application.

The HOA feels this is a good fit for themselves, the church and the City of Rockwall.

Respectfully submitted,


Case No. Z2022-037: Zoning Change (2F, LI, and AG to PD) for Park Hills
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.
$\square$ I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.


Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

July 15, 2022
City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

## RE: Park Hills - Zoning Application

Dear Staff,
The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located west of John King Blvd and south of SH 66. The subject development will consist of $+/-152$ single family lots on a 65.309 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,
Johnson Volk Consulting, Inc.


## LEGAL DESCRIPTION 65.309 ACRES

BEING a tract of land situated in the GEORGE W. REDLIN SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being all of OAK CREEK, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Cabinet B, Slide 201, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of those tracts of land described in Deed to Rockwall Property Corporation, as recorded in Volume 444, Page 146 and Volume 2002, Page 150, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being all of that tract of land described in Deed to Rockwall Property Corporation, as recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found in the south line of State Highway No. 66, a 60 foot right-of-way, for the common northwest corner of said OAK CREEK Addition and said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53 and northeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Rockwall Independent School District, as recorded in Volume 105, Page 153, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 88 degrees 29 minutes 03 seconds East, with said south line, a distance of 411.45 feet to a wood post found for the common northeast corner of said OAK CREEK Addition and said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53 and northwest corner of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2002, Page 150;

THENCE South 00 degrees 56 minutes 16 seconds East, leaving said south line and with the common west line of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2002, Page 150 and east line of said OAK CREEK Addition and said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53, a distance of 705.40 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE North 59 degrees 30 minutes 28 seconds East, leaving said common line, a distance of 503.69 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 69 degrees 21 minutes 48 seconds East, a distance of 40.60 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 25 degrees 06 minutes 25 seconds East, a distance of 64.89 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 31 degrees 25 minutes 37 seconds East, a distance of 51.80 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 09 degrees 09 minutes 13 seconds East, a distance of 55.61 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 16 degrees 55 minutes 05 seconds East, a distance of 49.61 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 03 degrees 11 minutes 20 seconds East, a distance of 109.33 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 04 degrees 27 minutes 24 seconds East, a distance of 60.49 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 16 degrees 19 minutes 49 seconds West, a distance of 39.76 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 08 degrees 12 minutes 45 seconds East, a distance of 48.89 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 64 degrees 09 minutes 47 seconds East, a distance of 66.87 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 47 degrees 50 minutes 45 seconds East, a distance of 73.04 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 42 degrees 29 minutes 55 seconds East, a distance of 44.81 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE North 85 degrees 31 minutes 53 seconds East, a distance of 53.72 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 25 degrees 10 minutes 09 seconds East, a distance of 84.31 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 42 degrees 22 minutes 14 seconds East, a distance of 59.42 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 47 degrees 09 minutes 28 seconds East, a distance of 71.66 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 02 degrees 46 minutes 29 seconds West, a distance of 57.76 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 31 degrees 26 minutes 15 seconds East, a distance of 71.66 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 18 degrees 53 minutes 46 seconds East, a distance of 88.10 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 11 degrees 41 minutes 53 seconds East, a distance of 110.02 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 53 degrees 25 minutes 21 seconds West, a distance of 34.88 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 20 degrees 12 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 44.84 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 79 degrees 08 minutes 23 seconds East, a distance of 90.02 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 56 degrees 28 minutes 11 seconds East, a distance of 62.92 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 87 degrees 49 minutes 07 seconds East, a distance of 28.55 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 58 minutes 08 seconds West, a distance of 28.02 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 10 degrees 07 minutes 55 seconds East, a distance of 46.33 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 10 degrees 56 minutes 13 seconds East, a distance of 88.76 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 42 degrees 32 minutes 37 seconds East, a distance of 66.83 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 48 minutes 00 seconds West, a distance of 37.66 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 27 degrees 06 minutes 51 seconds East, a distance of 115.84 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 36 degrees 05 minutes 07 seconds East, a distance of 93.26 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 09 degrees 53 minutes 27 seconds East, a distance of 144.51 feet to a point for corner in the common south line of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146 and north line of that tract of land described in Deed to Robert O. Dillender and wife, Sherry Dillender, as recorded in Volume 3356, Page 177, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Westerly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:
North 89 degrees 15 minutes 43 seconds West, a distance of $1,002.42$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to F\&F Holdings I, Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 20200000031089, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and northeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed to D. Richard Devenney and wife, Diana Devenney, as recorded in Volume 165, Page 116, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

North 87 degrees 11 minutes 23 seconds West, a distance of 295.74 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of said D. Richard Devenney and wife, Diana Devenney tract and an interior ell corner of said Rockway Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146;

South 01 degrees 26 minutes 13 seconds West, a distance of 426.00 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common southwest corner of said D. Richard Devenney and wife, Diana Devenney tract and an exterior ell corner of said Rockway Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146;

South 88 degrees 33 minutes 07 seconds West, a distance of 724.98 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a red plastic cap stamped "SPARK SURVEY" found in the north line of Washington Street, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southwest corner of said Rockway Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146 and southeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, as recorded in Volume 2163, Page 96, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northerly, with the east line of said City of Rockwall tract, the following three (3) courses and distances:

North 24 degrees 23 minutes 30 seconds East, a distance of 884.48 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a red plastic cap stamped "SPARK SURVEY" found at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 25 degrees 00 minutes 38 seconds, a radius of 700.00 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 11 degrees 53 minutes 11 seconds East, 303.14 feet;

Northerly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 305.56 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a red plastic cap stamped "SPARK SURVEY" found for corner;

North 00 degrees 37 minutes 07 seconds West, a distance of 201.44 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northeast corner of said City of Rockwall tract and an exterior ell corner of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146;

THENCE South 89 degrees 07 minutes 35 seconds West, a distance of 762.97 feet to a $3 / 8$ inch iron rod found for the common northwest corner of said City of Rockwall tract and northeast corner of MUNICIPAL PARK ADDITION, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Cabinet B, Slide 49, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE South 89 degrees 15 minutes 52 seconds West, with the north line of said MUNICIPAL PARK ADDITION, a distance of 297.37 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the southwest corner of the above mentioned OAK CREEK Addition;

THENCE North 59 degrees 30 minutes 28 seconds East, leaving said north line and with the west line of said OAK CREEK Addition, a distance of $1,091.77$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found for corner;

THENCE North 01 degrees 18 minutes 48 seconds West, continuing with said west line, a distance of 759.30 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 65.309 acres of land, more or less.
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### 01.01 RESIDENTIAL ○○

## LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (LDR)

The Low Density Residential land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half ( $2^{1 ⁄ 2}$ ) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan).

## DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

1
2
2
3
Primary Land Uses: Suburban, Estate and Rural Residential (i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes)
2 Secondary Land Uses: Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
(3) Zoning Districts: All Single-Family Estate (SFE) Districts (i.e. SFE $1.5,2.0 \& 4.0$ ), certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family One (SF-1) District.

## EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

(1) Breezy Hill Subdivision
$\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$
Stone Creek Subdivision
(3) Oaks of Buffalo Way Subdivision

## MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (MDR)

The Medium Density Residential land use category consists of residential subdivisions that are greater than two and one-half $\left(2^{1} / 2\right)$ units per gross acre, but not higher than three (3) units per gross acre; however, a density of up to three and one-half ( $3^{1 / 2}$ ) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses (see Chapter 8, Residential Developments, of this Comprehensive Plan).

## DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

(1) Primary Land Uses: Suburban Residential (i.e. Single-Family Detached Homes)
(2) Secondary Land Uses: Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses
(3) Zoning Districts: Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts and the Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District

EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES
RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART
(1) Caruth Lakes Subdivision
(2) Lago VistaSubdivision
(3) Park Place Subdivision

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART



MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL WITH INCREASED AMENITY

## HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (HDR)

The High Density Residential land use category may consist of single-family residential homes, duplexes, townhomes, apartments, lofts, condominiums or other forms of housing that exceed three and one-half ( $3^{1 ⁄ 2}$ ) units per gross acre. These developments should contain increased amenities and open space, and incorporate pedestrian connectivity to adjacent land uses.

## DESIGNATION CHARACTERISTICS

(1) Primary Land Uses: Suburban and Urban Residential (i.e. Single-Family Detached, Single-Family Attached, Zero Lot Line Homes, Townhomes, Duplexes, Condominiums and Multi-Family Apartments)
(2) Secondary Land Uses: Amenities, Parks, Open Space, and Institutional/Civic Land Uses

3 Zoning Districts: Certain Planned Development (PD) Districts, Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, SingleFamily 8.4 (SF-8.4) District, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, Zero Lot Line (ZL-5) District, Two Family (2F) District, and the Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District.

## EXISTING LAND USE EXAMPLES

(1) Turtle Cove Subdivision
(2) Sixteen50 @ Lake Ray Hubbard Apartments
(3) Mission Rockwall Apartment Complex

RESIDENTIAL DENSITY CHART


NOTE: HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL REQUIRES INCREASED AMENITY


## 01 CENTRAL DISTRICT

## DISTRICT DESCRIPTION

The Central District is composed of a wide range of land uses that vary from single-family to industrial. The district's residential areas consist of suburban residential (e.g. Park Place), estate and rural residential (e.g. Rolling Meadows Subdivision), and higher density residential developments (e.g. Evergreen Senior Living). The Central District also incorporates a high volume of industrial land uses adjacent to the Union Pacific/Dallas Garland and Northeastern Rail Road line that bisects the district -and City -- in an east/west direction. The Ralph Hall Municipal Airport and several other large public/school facilities are also located within the boundaries of this district.

John King Boulevard Trail Plan
Rest Stop/Trailblazer Pylon


## DISTRICT STRATEGIES

The Central District still has some key vacant and underutilized tracts of land that are anticipated to shape the area moving forward. Taking these areas into consideration the following are the strategies for this district:
(1) Live/Work. The live/work designation in this district is intended to provide flexibility for land owners, adjacent to the railroad tracks, to transition their properties -- when appropriate -- to low intensity office/retail land uses that are similar in scale and scope to the adjacent residential properties.
(2) Suburban Residential. While many of the larger tracts in this area are not large enough to support a master planned community (which is characteristic of Northern Estates and Northwest Residential Districts), any new Suburban Residential developments should include a mix of larger to mid-sized lots. Lots in these developments should not be smaller than existing Suburban Residential lots in this district, but should be comparable in size to newer developments (i.e. Ridgecrest Subdivision). In addition, newer subdivisions adjacent to existing larger lot subdivisions should provide a transition (e.g. larger lots or a large landscape buffer) adjacent to the existing subdivision.
(3) Commercial/Retail Centers. The commercial/retail centers in this district are intended to support existing and proposed residential developments, and should be compatible in scale with adjacent residential structures (i.e. are more characteristic of neighborhood/convenience centers); however, areas adjacent to John King Boulevard should be capable of accommodating mid to large-scale commercial users. All commercial developments should incorporate appropriate screening (e.g. berms, landscaping and large buffers) to transition uses.
(4) Industrial/Special Commercial Corridor Opportunity Area. The area south of the railroad tracks that is indicated by a crosshatched pattern represents an opportunity area in the City of Rockwall. Due to its adjacency to the railroad tracks, the land is naturally suitable for Technology/Industrial land uses; however, due to the land's adjacency to strategically located parcels along $\mathbb{I H}-30$ the land could be utilized as part of a larger development in the Special Commercial Corridor.
(5) John King Boulevard Trail Plan. A ten (10) foot hike/bike trail should be incorporated along John King Boulevard with rest stops and signage as indicated in Appendix ' $B$ ' of this Comprehensive Plan.


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 22-XX


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT, TWO-FAMILY (2F) DISTRICT, AND LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (LI) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT XX (PD-XX) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 8.4 (SF8.4) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 65.309-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS THE OAK CREEK SUBDIVISION; TRACT 6 OF THE G. W. REDLIN SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 183; AND PORTIONS OF TRACTS 1 \& 6-1 OF THE G. W. REDLINE SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 183, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District, and Light Industrial (LI) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses, on a 65.309-acre tract of land identified as the Oak Creek Subdivision and Tract 6 and portions of Tracts $1 \& 6-1$ of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, Two-Family (2F) District, and Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY OV) District, generally bounded by John King Boulevard, E. Williams Street, E. Washington Street, and Harry Myers Park, and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with
the Concept Plan, depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Density and Development Standards, outlined in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That a Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the Planned Development Concept Plan described in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the Subject Property shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the Subject Property.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). The City Council shall act on an application for a Master Parks and Open Space Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat
(c) Master Parks and Open Space Plan. A Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.
(d) Master Plat. A Master Plat for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A Master Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(e) Preliminary Plat. A Preliminary Plat for each phase of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the Master Plat and shall include a Treescape Plan for the phase being Preliminary Platted. A Preliminary Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Plat and a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(f) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan for each phase of the development of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat application for the development.
(g) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, conforming to the Preliminary Plat, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2022.


Kristy Teague, City Secretary


## APPROVED AS TO FORM:



## Exhibit ' $A$ ':

Legal Description
BEING a tract of land situated in the GEORGE W. REDLIN SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 183, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being all of OAK CREEK, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Cabinet B, Slide 201, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of those tracts of land described in Deed to Rockwall Property Corporation, as recorded in Volume 444, Page 146 and Volume 2002, Page 150, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being all of that tract of land described in Deed to Rockwall Property Corporation, as recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a ${ }^{1}$ /2inch iron rod found in the south line of State Highway No. 66, a 60 -foot right-of-way, for the common northwest corner of said OAK CREEK Addition and said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53 and northeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Rockwall Independent School District, as recorded in Volume 105, Page 153, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 88 degrees 29 minutes 03 seconds East, with said south line, a distance of 411.45 -feet to a wood post found for the common northeast corner of said OAK CREEK Addition and said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53 and northwest corner of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2002, Page 150;

THENCE South 00 degrees 56 minutes 16 seconds East, leaving said south line and with the common west line of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2002, Page 150 and east line of said OAK CREEK Addition and said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 2051, Page 53, a distance of 705.40 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE North 59 degrees 30 minutes 28 seconds East, leaving said common line, a distance of 503.69 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 69 degrees 21 minutes 48 seconds East, a distance of 40.60 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 25 degrees 06 minutes 25 seconds East, a distance of 64.89 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 31 degrees 25 minutes 37 seconds East, a distance of 51.80 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 09 degrees 09 minutes 13 seconds East, a distance of 55.61 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 16 degrees 55 minutes 05 seconds East, a distance of 49.61 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 03 degrees 11 minutes 20 seconds East, a distance of 109.33-feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 04 degrees 27 minutes 24 seconds East, a distance of 60.49 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 16 degrees 19 minutes 49 seconds West, a distance of 39.76 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 08 degrees 12 minutes 45 seconds East, a distance of 48.89 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 64 degrees 09 minutes 47 seconds East, a distance of 66.87 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 47 degrees 50 minutes 45 seconds East, a distance of 73.04 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 42 degrees 29 minutes 55 seconds East, a distance of 44.81 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE North 85 degrees 31 minutes 53 seconds East, a distance of 53.72 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 25 degrees 10 minutes 09 seconds East, a distance of 84.31 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 42 degrees 22 minutes 14 seconds East, a distance of 59.42 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 47 degrees 09 minutes 28 seconds East, a distance of 71.66 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 02 degrees 46 minutes 29 seconds West, a distance of 57.76 -feet to a point for corner;

## Exhibit 'A':

 Legal DescriptionTHENCE South 31 degrees 26 minutes 15 seconds East, a distance of 71.66 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 18 degrees 53 minutes 46 seconds East, a distance of 88.10-feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 11 degrees 41 minutes 53 seconds East, a distance of 110.02-feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 53 degrees 25 minutes 21 seconds West, a distance of 34.88 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 20 degrees 12 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 44.84 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 79 degrees 08 minutes 23 seconds East, a distance of 90.02 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 56 degrees 28 minutes 11 seconds East, a distance of 62.92 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 87 degrees 49 minutes 07 seconds East, a distance of 28.55 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 05 degrees 58 minutes 08 seconds West, a distance of 28.02 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 10 degrees 07 minutes 55 seconds East, a distance of 46.33 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 10 degrees 56 minutes 13 seconds East, a distance of 88.76 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 42 degrees 32 minutes 37 seconds East, a distance of 66.83 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 05 degrees 48 minutes 00 seconds West, a distance of 37.66 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 27 degrees 06 minutes 51 seconds East, a distance of 115.84 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 36 degrees 05 minutes 07 seconds East, a distance of 93.26 -feet to a point for corner;
THENCE South 09 degrees 53 minutes 27 seconds East, a distance of 144.51 -feet to a point for corner in the common south line of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146 and north line of that tract of land described in Deed to Robert O. Dillender and wife, Sherry Dillender, as recorded in Volume 3356, Page 177, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Westerly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:
North 89 degrees 15 minutes 43 seconds West, a distance of 1,002 .42-feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to F\&F Holdings I, Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 20200000031089, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and northeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed to D. Richard Devenney and wife, Diana Devenney, as recorded in Volume 165, Page 116, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

North 87 degrees 11 minutes 23 seconds West, a distance of 295.74 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of said D. Richard Devenney and wife, Diana Devenney tract and an interior ell corner of said Rockway Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146;

South 01 degrees 26 minutes 13 seconds West, a distance of 426.00 -feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common southwest corner of said D. Richard Devenney and wife, Diana Devenney tract and an exterior ell corner of said Rockway Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146;

South 88 degrees 33 minutes 07 seconds West, a distance of 724.98 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a red plastic cap stamped "SPARK SURVEY" found in the north line of Washington Street, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southwest corner of said Rockway Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146 and southeast corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, as recorded in Volume 2163, Page 96, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

## Exhibit 'A':

THENCE Northerly, with the east line of said City of Rockwall tract, the following three (3) courses and distances:
North 24 degrees 23 minutes 30 seconds East, a distance of 884.48 -feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a red plastic cap stamped "SPARK SURVEY" found at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 25 degrees 00 minutes 38 seconds, a radius of 700.00 -feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 11 degrees 53 minutes 11 seconds East, 303.14-feet;

Northerly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 305.56 -feet to a ${ }^{1 / 2}$-inch iron rod with a red plastic cap stamped "SPARK SURVEY" found for corner;

North 00 degrees 37 minutes 07 seconds West, a distance of 201.44 -feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northeast corner of said City of Rockwall tract and an exterior ell corner of said Rockwall Property Corporation tract recorded in Volume 444, Page 146;

THENCE South 89 degrees 07 minutes 35 seconds West, a distance of 762.97 -feet to a $3 / 8$-inch iron rod found for the common northwest corner of said City of Rockwall tract and northeast corner of MUNICIPAL PARK ADDITION, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Cabinet B, Slide 49, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE South 89 degrees 15 minutes 52 seconds West, with the north line of said MUNICIPAL PARK ADDITION, a distance of 297.37-feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the southwest corner of the above-mentioned OAK CREEK Addition;

THENCE North 59 degrees 30 minutes 28 seconds East, leaving said north line and with the west line of said OAK CREEK Addition, a distance of 1,091 .77-feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found for corner;

THENCE North 01 degrees 18 minutes 48 seconds West, continuing with said west line, a distance of 759.30 -feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 65.309 acres of land, more or less.


Exhibit ' $C$ ':
Concept Plan


## Exhibit 'D':

Density and Development Standards

## DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the Subject Property.
(2) Lot Composition and Layout. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' and stated in Table 1, which is as follows:

## TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

Lot Type Minimum Lot Size (FT) Minimum Lot Size (SF) Dwelling Units (\#) Dwelling Units (\%)

| $A$ | $72^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ | 41 | $28.50 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $B$ | $62^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ | 75 | $52.10 \%$ |
| $C$ | $52^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | $6,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 28 | $19.40 \%$ |

(3) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District, as specified by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the Subject Property. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed $\underline{2.21}$ dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed 144 units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS


## General Notes

1: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2: The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
: The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
5: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback and Side Yard Building Setback by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6. J-Swing or Traditional Swing Garages are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
7: All Corner Lots that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the Corner Lot sides to (i.e. a Keystone Lot), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.
(4) Building Standards. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirement. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be 90\% (excluding dormers and walls over roof areas). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) in a horizontal lap-siding, board-and-batten siding, or a decorative pattern (see examples below) may be used for up to $80 \%$ of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of $80 \%$ of the masonry requirement on a case-by-case basis.

FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD



Exhibit ' $D$ ':
Density and Development Standards
FIGURE 3: EXAMPLES OF HORIZONTAL LAP

(b) Roof Pitch. A minimum of an 8:12 roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a $4: 12$ roof pitch.
(c) Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. All of the Type ' $C$ ' lots (i.e. the 52 ' $\times 120$ ' lots) may be oriented toward the street in a Front Entry garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining Type ' $A$ ' \& ' $B$ ' lots (i.e. the 62' x 120' and $72^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ lots) shall be oriented in a J-Swing (or Traditional Swing) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20 -feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [1] coach lighting, [2] carriage style hardware and lighting, [3] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [4] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR


FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES

Exhibit ' $D$ ':
Density and Development Standards

(5) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in Table 3 below (for spacing requirements see Figures 7 \& 8 below).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (side-by-side) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on
the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, SH-66 (Future), or Harry Myers Park shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade
(c) Permitted encroachment (i.e. porches and sunroom) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.
(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.


FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.


## Exhibit 'D':

Density and Development Standards
(6) Fencing Standards. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:
(a) Front Yard Fences. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.
(b) Wood Fences. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (spruce fencing is prohibited) that are a minimum of $1 / 2$-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be board-on-board panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (i.e. facing towards the home) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.
(c) Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (i.e. including the future right-of-way for SH-66), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height.
(d) Corner Lots. Corner lots fences (i.e. adjacent to the street) shall provide masonry columns at 45 -feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid board-on-board panel fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.
(e) Solid Fences (including Wood Fences). All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.
(f) Fence in Easements. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.
(7) Landscape and Hardscape Standards.
(a) Landscape. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30 -foot landscape buffer (i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations'[HOAs'] property). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(b) Landscape Buffers. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(1) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (Future SH-66). A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along the future right-of-way for $\mathrm{SH}-66$ (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 36 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30-foot landscape buffer.
(2) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (E. Williams Street). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along E. Williams Street (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100feet of linear frontage. A five (5) foot sidewalk shall be constructed within the 30foot landscape buffer.
(3) Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50 -feet of linear frontage.
(c) Street Trees. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14 -feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the PD Site Plan.
(d) Residential Lot Landscaping. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on Exhibit ' $C$ ' shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]) within the front yard. In addition, corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]) within the side yard facing the street.
(e) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(f) Hardscape. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan.
(8) Street. All streets (excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas) shall be built according to City street standards.
(9) Lighting. Light poles shall not exceed 20 -feet in total height (i.e. base and lighting standard). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.
(10) Sidewalks. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.
(11) Buried Utilities. New distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.
(12) Open Space/Public Park. The development shall consist of a minimum of $20 \%$ open space (or a minimum of 13.0618-acres -- as calculated using the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(13) Trails. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance. This trail system should connect the residents to the adjacent Harry Myers Park.
(14) Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan; however, the signage should be equal to or better than existing neighborhood signage along John King Boulevard. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the Subject Property. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the PD Site Plan.
(15) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 38-15 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (including drainage facilities), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the PD Site Plan.
(16) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.
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Planning and Zoning Commission
August 9, 2022
Ryan Joyce; Michael Joyce Properties
Z2022-038; Amendment to PD-93

## SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] to incorporate a 21.83 -acre tract of land into the existing 93.97 -acre tract of land being identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and Tracts 13 \& 25 and a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

The subject property was annexed on March 16, 1998 by Ordinance No. 98-10 [Case No. A1998-001]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), currently situated on the subject property is: [1] a 2,500 SF single-family home constructed in 1958, [2] an $\sim 1,856$ SF covered porch constructed in 1958, [3] a 400 SF storage building constructed in 1900, and [4] a 96 SF storage building with a 240 SF covered canopy constructed in 2007. Beyond these structures, the subject property has remained largely undeveloped. On March 7, 2022, the City Council approved a zoning change for the subject property changing the zoning from an Agricultural (AG) District to Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses.

## PURPOSE

On July 15, 2022, the applicant -- Ryan Joyce of Michael Joyce Properties -- submitted an application requesting to amend Planned Development District 93 (PD-93). Specifically, the applicant is proposing to incorporate an additional 21.83-acres of land and entitle it to add an additional 82 single-family residential lots to Planned Development District 93 (PD-93).

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located between SH-66 and FM-1141 at the southwest corner of the intersection of FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: $\quad$ Directly north of the subject property is FM-1141 and Cornelius Road. FM-1141 is identified as a M4D (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway) and Cornelius Road is identified as a M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. On the northside of the right-of-way for FM-1141 and the southside of the right-of-way for Cornelius Road are the corporate limits of the City of Rockwall. Beyond this are multiple residential properties situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) in Rockwall County.

South: Directly south of the subject property is a 9.789 -acre tract of land (i.e. a portion of Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition), which will be Phase 2 of the Ladera Subdivision. This phase will consist of 33 residential units that are zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. Beyond this is SH66, which is identified as a TXDOT4D (i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane,
divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. South of this thoroughfare is: [1] a 47.89-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1 of the Rockwall Municipal Airport Addition) which is occupied by the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport, [2] a vacant two (2) acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 2 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183), and [3] a 43.66-acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 7 of the D. Harr Survey, Abstract No. 102) occupied with a single-family home. All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property are the reminder tracts (i.e. Tracts $25-1$ \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72) being subdivided from the subject property. Also, east of the subject property is a 55.08 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 11 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72), which has a two (2) barns (i.e. a 1,107 SF barn and a 7,448 SF barn both built in 1966). All of these properties are zoned Agricultural (AG) District.

West: Directly west of the subject property is: [1] a 15.935-acre vacant tract of land (i.e. Tract 5-1 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122) zoned Agricultural (AG) District, and [2] a 37.80-acre parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Ladera Rockwall Addition) zoned Planned Development District 85 (PD-85) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses. The 37.80 -acre tract of land is the Ladera Subdivision, and will consist of 117 single-family units at buildout. Beyond this is John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## MAP 1: LOCATION MAP

## YELLOW: SUBJECT PROPERTY



## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE REQUEST

The applicant has submitted a concept plan showing the incorporation of an additional 21.83 -acres of land into the existing 93.97 -acre tract of land that makes up Planned Development District 93 (PD-93). The concept plan shows that the 21.83 -acre subject property will consist of 82 residential lots. These lots will consist of three (3) lot types that were already established by Planned Development District 93 (PD-93): [1] 40 Type ' $C$ ' lots that are a minimum of 72 ' x 115 ' (or 9,000 SF), [2] 12 Type 'D' lots that are a minimum of 72 ' $\times 110^{\prime}$ (or $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ ), and [3] 30 Type ' $E$ ' lots that are a minimum of 62 ' $\times 110$ ' (or $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ ). This adjusts the gross density of Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) from 1.93 dwelling units per acre to 2.27 dwelling units per gross acre (i.e. 263 lots/ 115.80 -acres $=2.2712$ dwelling units per gross acre) for the total development. The proposed
subdivision will continue to be subject to the land uses and density and dimensional requirements stipulated for properties within a Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District unless otherwise specified in the Planned Development District ordinance. The following is a summary of the updated lot composition and density and dimensional standards contained in the proposed Planned Development District ordinance:

## TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

| Lot Type | Minimum Lot Size (FT) | Minimum Lot Size (SF) | Dwelling Units (\#) | Dwelling Units (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $100^{\prime} \times 130^{\prime}$ | $13,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 9 | $3.42 \%$ |
| B | $82^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 26 | $9.89 \%$ |
| C | $72^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$ | $9,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 86 | $32.70 \%$ |
| $D$ | $72^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ | $7,400 \mathrm{SF}$ | 34 | $12.93 \%$ |
| E | $62^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ | $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ | 108 | $41.06 \%$ |
|  | Maximum Permitted Units: |  |  |  |
|  |  | 263 | $100.00 \%$ |  |

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | A | B | C | D | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | 100' | $82^{\prime}$ | 72 | $7{ }^{\prime}$ | $62^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $130^{\prime}$ | 120' | 115' | 110' | 110' |
| Minimum Lot Area (SF) | 13,000 | 10,000 | 9,000 | 8,400 | 7,200 |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{(2),(6) \&(8)}$ | 25 | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 15 | 6 | 6 | $6^{\prime}$ | $6^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2),(6) \&(7)}$ | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | 35 | $35^{\prime}$ | 35 | $35^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback (4) | $25^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,400 | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 45' | 45 | $45^{\prime}$ | 65' | $65^{\prime}$ |

## General Notes:

1: Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2: The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
3: The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
4: The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
5: Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback and Side Yard Building Setback by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6: J-Swing or Traditional Swing Garages are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
7: All Corner Lots that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the Corner Lot sides to (i.e. a Keystone Lot), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.

The proposed concept plan shows that the development will consist of a total of 29.72-acres of floodplain and 13.23-acres of open space maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA). This translates to an open space percentage of $24.26 \%$ (i.e. [29.72-acres of floodplain/2] + 13.23-acres of open space $=28.09$-acres $/ 115.80$-acres gross $=24.26 \%$ ), which is $5.45 \%$ less than what was approved with Ordinance No. 22-22 (i.e. the previous concept plan showed $29.71 \%$ open space); however, this still exceeds the $20.00 \%$ open space required by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan by $4.26 \%$.

## INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the applicant's concept plan and the proposed density, the following infrastructure is required to be constructed to provide adequate public services for the proposed development:
(1) Roadways. According to the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, FM-1141 is required to be a M4D (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, divided roadway), which requires an 85 -foot right-ofway with a 64 -foot back-to-back concrete street. In addition, SH-66 is identified as a which is identified as a TXDOT4D (i.e. Texas Department of Transportation [TXDOT] roadway, four [4] lane, divided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. This road will require a minimum of 120 -feet of right-of-way or 60 -feet from the centerline of the roadway. The applicant will also be required to perform a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the proposed drive approaches off of $\mathrm{FM}-1141$ and SH-66. Staff is also requiring that as part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the applicant's consultant study the need for a left turn lane at the proposed drive approach on FM-1141.
(2) Water. The applicant will be required to construct a 12 -inch water line on the subject property along SH-66, extending to the existing stub out at FM-1141. In addition, the development will require an eight (8) inch water line be looped through the subject property.
(3) Wastewater. The applicant will be required to construct an eight (8) inch wastewater line that will tie into the 12 -inch line adjacent to the southwestern property line.
(4) Drainage. The applicant shall be required to perform a flood study to delineate the fully developed 100 -year localized floodplain for all ponds, creeks or streams, and draws on the subject property. Detention will be required and sized per the required detention study. The applicant will also be required to perform a Wetlands and Waters of the United States (WOTUS) study for the existing ponds on the subject property.

## CONFORMANCE TO THE CITY'S CODES

The proposed amendment to Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) continues to conform to the approvals adopted by the City Council with Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22], and does not increase any non-conformities that were not already approved by this ordinance.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

According to the Land Use Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, the subject property is located within the Northeast Residential District and is designated for Low Density Residential land uses. The plan defines Low Density Residential land uses as "... residential subdivisions that are two (2) units per gross acre or less; however, a density of up to two and one-half ( $2 \frac{1}{2}$ ) units per gross acre may be permitted for developments that incorporate increased amenity and a mix of land uses ..." In addition, the Comprehensive Plan defines increased amenity as, "... developments that provide some of the following: (1) open space beyond the required $20 \%$, (2) a golf course and/or other comparable recreation facilities, (3) amenity/recreation facilities, (4) school site integration, (5) dedication or development of park land beyond the required park land dedication, (6) additional development of trails, (7) other amenities deemed appropriate by the City Council." In this case the applicant is proposing a total density of 2.27 dwelling units per acre. In addition, the applicant is proposing to incorporate walking trails, an amenity center, and $24.26 \%$ open space (i.e. $4.26 \%$ in excess of the required $20.00 \%$ ). Based on this the applicant's request appears to be in conformance with the Low Density Residential designation indicated for the subject property; however, any increase in density between 2.00 dwelling units per acre and 2.50 dwelling units per acre is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission. No other aspect about the proposed amendment changes the plans conformance with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## NOTIFICATIONS

On July 22, 2022, staff mailed 64 notices to property owners and occupants within 500 -feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Caruth Lakes (Caruth Ridge Estates) Homeowner's Association (HOA), which is the only HOA within 1,500 -feet of the subject property participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code (UDC). At the time this report was drafted, staff had not received any notices in regard to the applicant's request.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to recommend approval of the applicant's request to amend Planned Development District 93 (PD-93), then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) The applicant shall be responsible for maintaining compliance with the concept plan and development standards contained in the Planned Development District ordinance.
(2) The applicant will be required to put an avigation easement over the property to ensure that homeowners are provided with information showing that they are within close proximity to the flight path of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport. This will need to be established with the final plat for the subdivision.
(3) No development or amenities can take place within the Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) of the Ralph Hall Municipal Airport.
(4) As part of the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) and/or roadway design, the need for a left turn lane on FM-1141 -- at the proposed drive approach -- shall be determined.
(5) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Zoning Change shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

PLAivNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

| PLATTING APPLICATION FEES: | ZONING APPLICATION FEES: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ MASTER PLAT (\$100.00 + \$15.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ | $\square$ ZONING CHANGE (\$200.00 + \$15.00 ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE $)^{1}$ | $\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) $)^{1 \& 2}$ |
| $\square$ FINAL PLAT ( $\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ | 矔 PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ | OTHER APPLICATION FEES: |
| $\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT ( $\$ 150.00$ ) | $\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00) |
| $\square \mathrm{PLAT}$ REINSTATEMENT REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ ) | $\square$ VARIANCE REQUEST/SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS $(\$ 100.00)^{2}$ |
| SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES: <br> $\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ <br> $\square$ AMENDED SITE PLANJELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$ | NOTES: <br> :IN DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE : A S1,000.00 FEE WILL BE ADDED TO THE APPLICATION FEE FOR ANY REQUEST THAT INVOLVES CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT OR NOT IN COMPLIANCE TO AN APPROVED BUILDING PERMIT. |

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
address 1649 FM 1141, Rockwall, TX 75087
subdivision ABS A0122, MB Jones, Tract 4-01 LOT BLOCK
general location Approximately 1250 feet east of the intersection John King and FM 1141 South of 1141
ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| CURRENT ZONING | Agricultural | CURRENT USE | Agricultural |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| PROPOSED ZONING | Planned Development District | PROPOSED USE | Single Family |  |
| ACREAGE | 21.83 | LOTS [CURRENT] | 1 | LOTS [PROPOSED] |

- SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFF'S COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WILL RESULTIN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.

OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL IIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]

| $\square$ OWNER | Mike Peoples | $\square$ APPLICANT | Michael Joyce Properties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONTACT PERSON | Mike Peoples | CONTACT PERSON | Ryan Joyce |
| ADDRESS | 1850 FM 1141 | ADDRESS | 767 Justin Road |
| CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 | CITY, STATE \& ZIP | Rockwall, TX 75087 |
| PHONE |  | PHONE | 512-965-6280 |
| E-MAIL |  | E-MAIL | ryan@michaeljoyceproperties.com |

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]





City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of

Planning \& Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Case Number: Z2022-0038
Case Name: Amendment to PD-93
Case Type:
Zoning:
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141

Date Saved: 7/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


From: Gamez, Angelica<br>Sent: Friday, July 22, 2022 11:51 AM<br>Cc: Miller, Ryan; Ross, Bethany; Lee, Henry<br>Subject: $\quad$ Neighborhood Notification Program [Z2022-038]<br>Attachments: Public Notice (07.15.2022).pdf; HOA Map (7.18.2022).pdf

HOA/Neighborhood Association Representative:
Per your participation in the Neighborhood Notification Program, you are receiving this notice to inform your organization that a zoning case has been filed with the City of Rockwall that is located within 1,500-feet of the boundaries of your neighborhood. As the contact listed for your organization, you are encouraged to share this information with the residents of your subdivision. Please find the attached map detailing the property requesting to be rezoned in relation to your subdivision boundaries. Additionally, below is the summary of the zoning case that will be published in the Rockwall Herald Banner on July 22, 2022. The Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. Both hearings will take place at 6:00 PM at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad, Rockwall, TX 75087.

All interested parties are encouraged to submit public comments via email to Planning@rockwall.com at least 30 minutes in advance of the meeting. Please include your name, address, and the case number your comments are referring to. These comments will be read into the record during each of the public hearings. Additional information on all current development cases can be found on the City's website: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases.

## Z2022-038: Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 93

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] to incorporate a 21.83 -acre tract of land into the existing 93.97 -acre tract of land being identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and Tracts 13 \& 25 and a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (DF-10) District land uses, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

Thank you,

## Angelica Gamez

Planning \& Zoning Coordinator
City of Rockwall
972.771.7745 Office
972.772.6438 Direct
http://www.rockwall.com/planning/

City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


Case Number: Z2022-038
Case Name: Amendment to PD-93
Case Type:
Zoning:
Zoning
Case Address: 1649 FM 1141

Date Saved: 7/18/2022
For Questions on this Case Call (972) 771-7745


ALDERMAN CLAUDETTE 815 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BENEDICT JAMES AND JUDY 27 PINE ISLAND CT HILTON HEAD, SC 29928

CAIN DEWAYNE 305 STONEBRIDGE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DAVIS WILLIAM PAUL JR 510 TURTLE COVE BLVD STE 200 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DIMISSEW SAMUEL 956 S WEATHERRED DR RICHARDSON, TX 75080

EVANS ROSEMARY J AND ROBERT E 908 RIO VISTA LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GIAMPAPA SANTO AND SUSAN 1606 ENCANTO TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
HAMBLIN DONALD ELEX AND DEBORAH LUCILLE 905 RIO VISTA LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087
```

HUCK WALTER R AND MARY A 830 RIO VISTA LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

INTEGRITY RETIREMENT GROUP LLC 361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104 ROANOKE, TX 76262

# ANDRULAT ALEC J AND VERONICA BITZ <br> 124 HARKER TR ROCKWALL, TX 75087 

BROCHON DELILIA A AND MARTIAL H 913 RIO VISTA LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAIN FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LTD 305 STONEBRIDGE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

DELK JEANNETTE FREY 903 RIO VISTA LN
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EASLEY PHYLLIS
909 RIO VISTA LANE
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

G \& C DAVIS FAMILY 2013 TRUST
GREGORY A DAVIS AND CAROL L DAVIS - CO
TRUSTEES
916 RIO VISTA LN ROCKWALL, TX 78087

> GILLILAND TOMMY JOE 2098 WILLIAMS
> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HAMPTON ELDEN L 1530 FM 1141
> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HUDDIN RYAN S AND JADA
> 1666 FM 1141
> ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN REVOCABLE TRUST
JOHN AND LYNNE MCMAHAN- TRUSTEES 912 RIO VISTA LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BELEW THOMAS E SR DIANNE S CURREY 2 NORMAN TRL ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BROWN LARRY MELVIN \& MARSHA LIZBETH 906 BELLA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## CARRIAGE MANAGEMENT INC

3040 POST OAK BLVD SUITE 300 HOUSTON, TX 77056

DENNEY DENNIS W \& LINDA L 162 MEADOWLARK CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

EVANS MARY E 830 BELLA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## GARRETT CHRISTOPHER AND ROSEMARY 1620 MADRID WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GRIFFITH JESSE JOHN AND SARAH KRISTIN 1578 FM 1141 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HOWARD EDWARD LAND BRENDA S 902 RIO VISTA LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

IGOPCO LLC
361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD STE 104 ROANOKE, TX 76262

JONES BRENDA K \& SAM A
910 BELLA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LANCASTER JAMES EVERETT JR \&
GEORGIANA MARIE 820 BELLA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
LEE JAMES H AND BARBARA
    6 2 8 \text { CORNELIUS RD}
    ROCKWALL, TX }7508
```

MCKEE MARK D 131 MEADOWLARK CIR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES DONNIE 589 CORNELIUS ROCKWALL, TX 75087 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL LJR AND SHERYL NEWMAN PEOPLES 1700 STATE HIGHWAY 66 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDLE SUSAN AND DERRICE 226 CORNELIUS RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RILEY RODNEY H \& JANET E
802 RIO VISTA LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL RV PARK AND TINY HOME VILLAGE 3094 COUNTY ROAD 1024
FARMERSVILLE, TX 75442

SALINAS HILSE S 2068 STATE HWY 66 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SEE BETTY 110 WESTMINISTER ROCKWALL, TX 75032

SQUIER NATALIE
153 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MIKE PO BOX 41 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RANDY AND KAY WILSON REVOCABLE TRUST RANDY SCOTT WILSON AND KAY MARIE WILSON-CO TRUSTEES 1201 MARILYN JAYNE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORP
ATTN:BILL BRICKER 305 PARK PLACE BLVD
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROONEY CATHERINE 912 BELLA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SANDKNOP LES T AND CLAUDIA J
1614 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SHANNON STANLEY W
162 HARKER TRL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TESMER STEVEN AND VICKIE
838 RIO VISTA LN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MURPHY DON \& SHARON 837 BELLA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PEOPLES MICHAEL L<br>PO BOX 41<br>ROCKWALL, TX 75087

```
POTTER JOHN D ETUX
PO BOX 259
FATE, TX 75132
```

ROCKWALL PROPERTY CORPORATION
ATTN BILL BRICKER 305 PARK PLACE BLVD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RW LADERA LLC 361 W BYRON NELSON BLVD SUITE 104 ROANOKE, TX 76262

SCHRAMM EDWIN W III \& PATSY ANN 1895 FM 1141
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## SINGH GAGANPREET K AND PAWANPREET <br> 151 STEVENSON DR <br> FATE, TX 75087

THOMAS STEPHEN R \& SHARON C 1200 MARILYN JAYNE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

VACLAVIK JOHN AND MARCILE 610 W COLCHESTER DR EAGLE, ID 83616

WAINNER MATTHEW CODY \&
MELANIE RENEE HUMBLE
173 MEADOWLARK CIRCLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALLACE MARTHA G 826 BELLA DRIVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WHYDE KIMBERLY
1602 ENCANTO TRAIL
ROCKWALL, TX 75087

## Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council will consider the following application:

## Case No. Z2022-038: PD Amendment to PD-93

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ryan Joyce on Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] to incorporate a 21.83 -acre tract of land into the existing 93.97 -acre tract of land being identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and Tracts $13 \& 25$ and a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (DF10) District land uses, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Planning and Zoning Commission will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, August 9,2022 at $6: 00$ PM, and the City Council will hold a public hearing on Monday, August 15, 2022 at 6:00 PM. These hearings will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S . Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

## Henry Lee

Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept.
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, TX 75087
You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Monday, August 15, 2022 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the City Council.
Sincerely,
Ryan Miller, AICP


Director of Planning \& Zoning
MORE INFORMATION ON THIS CASE CAN BE FOUND AT: https://sites.google.com/site/rockwallplanning/development/development-cases

- . - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. Z2022-038: PD Amendment to PD-93
Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

## Name:

## Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

July 15, 2022
City of Rockwall
Planning Department
385 South Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

## RE: Terraces - Zoning Application

Dear Staff,
The purpose of this letter is to formally request a zoning change for the referenced property located south of FM 1141 and north of SH 66. The subject development will consist of +/-263 single family lots on a 115.80 acre tract. After meeting with staff at a Development Review Committee Meeting, the Concept Plan included with this submittal is representative of our proposed vision for the property.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions regarding this project or application.

Sincerely,
Johnson Volk Consulting, Inc.


Tom Dayton, PE

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION <br> 115.804 ACRES

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 173, Page 752, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 184, Page 522, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:
North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of $2,824.93$ feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 63.06 feet to a point for corner at the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the right having a central angle of 26 degrees 09 minutes 34 seconds, a radius of 450.00 feet and a chord bearing and distance of South 54 degrees 21 minutes 52 seconds East, 203.68 feet;

THENCE Southeasterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 205.46 feet to a point for corner at the beginning of a reverse curve to the left having a central angle of 49 degrees 15 minutes 27 seconds, a radius of 150.00 feet and a chord bearing and distance of South 65 degrees 54 minutes 48 seconds East, 125.02 feet;

THENCE North 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds East, a distance of $1,265.98$ feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 32 minutes 31 seconds East, a distance of 600.00 feet to a point for corner;

THENCE South 89 degrees 27 minutes 29 seconds West, a distance of $1,531.48$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of $1,090.27$ feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594 , Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:

North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1-inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of 1,699.38 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 115.804 acres of land, more or less.


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{\text { 22-22 }}$


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 93 (PD-93) FOR SINGLE-FAMILY 10 (SF-10) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 93.97-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 4-01 OF THE M. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land uses, on a 93.97-acre tract of land identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH66 OV) District, addressed as 1936 SH-66, and more fully described in Exhibit 'A' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Concept Plan, depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Density and Development Standards, outlined in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto
and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That a Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the Planned Development Concept Plan described in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 5. That development of the Subject Property shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the Subject Property.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). The City Council shall act on an application for a Master Parks and Open Space Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat
(c) Master Parks and Open Space Plan. A Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.
(d) Master Plat. A Master Plat for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' C ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A Master Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(e) Preliminary Plat. A Preliminary Plat for each phase of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the Master Plat and shall include a Treescape Plan for the phase being Preliminary Platted. A Preliminary Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Plat and a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(f) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan for each phase of the development of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat application for the development.
(g) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, conforming to the Preliminary Plat, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $18^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF APRIL, 2022.


## ATTEST:



1 st Reading: April 4, 2022
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: April 18, 2022

## Exhibit 'A': <br> Legal Description

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80-foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:
North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of 2,824.93 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 -foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Comelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;

THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of $1,090.27$ feet to a fence comer post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence comer post found for corner;
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THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1 , the following five (5) courses and distances:
North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for comer;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for comer;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1 ;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of $1,699.38$ feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.

## Exhibit 'B':

Sunvey



Exhibit 'C':
Concept Plan


## Exhibit 'D':

## Density and Development Standards

## DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the Subject Property.
(2) Lot Composition and Layout. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' and stated in Table 1, which is as follows:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION

| Lot Type | Minimum Lot Size (FT) | Minimum Lot Size (SF) | Dwelling Units (\#) | Dwelling Units (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $100^{\prime} \times 130^{\prime}$ | $13,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 9 | $04.95 \%$ |
| B | $82^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 29 | $15.93 \%$ |
| C | $72^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ | $9,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 45 | $24.73 \%$ |
| $D$ | $72^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ | $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ | 22 | $12.09 \%$ |
| E | $62^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ | $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ | 77 | $42.31 \%$ |
| Maximum Permitted Units: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 182 | $100.00 \%$ |  |

(3) Variation in Residential Lot Composition. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from the that in Subsection (2), Residential Lot Composition and Layout, of this ordinance provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed 182 units provided [1] a minimum of $57.69 \%$ of the total lot count be comprised of Lot Types ' $A$ ', ' $B$ ', ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ', [2] a minimum of nine (9) Lot Type ' $A$ ' and 30 Lot Type ' $B$ ' lots are provided, and [3] a maximum of $42.30 \%$ Lot Type ' $E$ ' lots can be provided.
(4) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the Subject Property. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 1.93 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed 182 units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:

TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | A | $B$ | C | D | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | $100^{2}$ | 82' | 72 | 72' | $6{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Depth | $130^{\prime}$ | 120' | 115' | $110^{\prime}$ | $110^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Lot Area | 13,000 SF | 10,000 SF | 9,000 SF | 8,400 SF | 7,200 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{\text {(2), (5) }}$ ( (5) | 25' | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | 20' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 15 | $6{ }^{\prime}$ | 6 ' | 6 ' | 6 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2), ~(5) ~ \& ~(7) ~}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\circ}$ |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | 35' | $35^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback ${ }^{(4)}$ | 25 | $20^{\prime}$ | 20' | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] | 2,400 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,000 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 45' | 45' | 45' | $65^{\prime}$ | $65^{\prime}$ |

## General Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2. The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
3. The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
${ }^{4}$ : The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
${ }^{5}$ : Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features
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may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback and Side Yard Building Setback by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6. J-Swing or Traditional Swing Garages are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
7: All Corner Lots that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the Corner Lot sides to (i.e. a Keystone Lot), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.
(5) Building Standards. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirement. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be $90 \%$ (excluding dormers and walls over roof areas). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) in a horizontal lap-siding, board-and-batten siding, or a decorative pattern (see examples below) may be used for up to $80 \%$ of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of $80 \%$ of the masonry requirement on a case-by-case basis.

## FIGURE 1: EXAMPLES OF CEMENTITIOUS FIBERBOARD



FIGURE 2: EXAMPLES OF BOARD AND BATTEN
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(b) Roof Pitch. A minimum of an 8:12 roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a $4: 12$ roof pitch.
(c) Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. A total of $20.33 \%$ or 37 of the 182 garages may be oriented toward the street in a Front Entry garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining $79.67 \%$ or 145 garages shall be oriented in a J Swing (or Traditional Swing) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20 -feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [1] coach lighting, [2] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [3] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR
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DIVIDED BAYS



FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES

(6) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in Table 3 below (for spacing requirements see Figures $7 \& 8$ below).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

| Lot Type | Minimum Lot Size | Elevation Features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $13,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | (1), (2), (3), (4) |
| B | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |
| C | $9,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |
| D | $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |
| E | $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |

## Exhibit 'D':

## Density and Development Standards

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (side-by-side) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, Cornelius Road, FM-1141, or SH-66 shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade
(c) Permitted encroachment (i.e. porches and sunroom) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.
(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.


FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.
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(7) Fencing Standards. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:
(a) Front Yard Fences. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.
(b) Wood Fences. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (spruce fencing is prohibited) that are a minimum of $1 / 2$-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be board-on-board panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (i.e. facing towards the home) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.
(c) Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (i.e. FM-1141, Cornelius Road, and SH-66), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. The Lot Type ' $A$ ' lots shall be required to utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing.
(d) Corner Lots. Corner lots fences (i.e. adjacent to the street) shall provide masonry columns at 45 -feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid board-on-board panel fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.
(e) Solid Fences (including Wood Fences). All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.
(f) Fence in Easements. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.
(g) Additional Fence Requirements. In addition to the abovementioned fence requirements the following additional requirements will need to be met for Locations (1) \& 2s depicted on Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance.
(1) Location (1). In Location (1), where the Lot Types ' $A$ ' \& ' $B$ ' lots abut an existing single-family home that has an existing six (6) foot or greater wood fence, the wood fence shall be permitted to remain on the shared property line and serve both lots.
(2) Location (2). In Location (2), where the lots abut a non-residential land use along the southern property line, a minimum of an eight (8) foot wood fence meeting the requirements of Subsection (b), Wood Fences, and Subsection (e), Solid Fences (including Woods Fences), above shall be constructed. In addition, one (1) row of a
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minimum of four (4), four (4) inch caliper Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress trees shall be planted along the rear property line of each property.
(8) Landscape and Hardscape Standards.
(a) Landscape. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30-foot landscape buffer (i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(b) Landscape Buffers. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(1) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141). A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(2) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along SH-66 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(3) Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50 -feet of linear frontage.
(c) Street Trees. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14 -feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the PD Site Plan.
(d) Residential Lot Landscaping. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on Exhibit ' $C$ ' shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]) within the front yard. In addition,
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corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDCI) within the side yard facing the street.
(e) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(f) Hardscape. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan.
(9) Street. All streets (excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas) shall be built according to City street standards.
(10) Lighting. Light poles shall not exceed 20 -feet in total height (i.e. base and lighting standard). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.
(11) Sidewalks. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.
(12) Buried Utilities. New distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.
(13) Open Space/Public Park. The development shall consist of a minimum of $20 \%$ open space (or a minimum of 18.794-acres -- as calculated using the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance. In addition, the developer shall be required to use the pro-rata equipment and cash-in-lieu of land fees -- in the amounts stipulated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance -- to establish a public park with amenities on the four (4) acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract 72) owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to Cornelius Road. Amenities for this public park shall include irrigation, parking, a playground, walking trails, and benches. All equipment and improvements within the public park shall be built to the specifications stipulated by the Director of Parks and Recreation, and shall be provided by the developer in conjunction with the development of the proposed subdivision. Funds provided by the developer shall not be required to exceed the fees required through the Parkland Dedication Ordinance. Plans for the proposed public park shall be reviewed and recommended by the Parks and Recreation Board and approved by the City Council with the Preliminary Plat/Master Parks and Open Space Plan. In the event that the design of the public park cannot be agreed up by the Developer and the City of Rockwall, the Developer shall have the ability to satisfy the fees through the normal payment method dictated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance.
(14) Trails. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(15) Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan; however, the signage should be equal to or better than the representative signage depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ '. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the Subject Property. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the PD Site Plan.
(16) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 38-15 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (including drainage facilities), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the PD Site Plan.
(17) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. $\underline{22-X X}$


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO AMEND PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 93 (PD-93) [ORDINANCE NO. 22-22], BEING A 115.80-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS TRACT 401 OF THE M. B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, AND TRACTS 13 \& 25 AND A PORTION OF TRACTS 10-02, 10-03, 25-1, \& 26 OF THE W. M. DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT ‘B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request by Ryan Joyce of the Michael Joyce Properties on behalf of Mike Peoples for the approval of a zoning change amending Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] to incorporate a 21.83 -acre tract of land into the existing 93.97-acre tract of land being identified as Tract 4-01 of the M. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 122 and Tracts 13 \& 25 and a portion of Tracts 10-02, 10-03, 25-1 \& 26 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract No. 72, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District and Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) for Single-Family 10 (DF-10) District land uses, situated within the SH-66 Overlay (SH-66 OV) District, located south of the intersection of Cornelius Road and FM-1141, and more fully described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' and depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that Planned Development District 93 (PD-93) [Ordinance No. 22-22] and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the approval of this ordinance shall supersede all requirements stipulated in Ordinance No. 19-08;

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District Ordinance and the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 3. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Concept Plan, depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $C$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 4. That development of the Subject Property shall generally be in accordance with the Density and Development Standards, outlined in Exhibit ' $D$ ' of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit ' $D$ ', which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the Subject Property;

SECTION 5. That a Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, prepared in accordance with this ordinance and consistent with the Planned Development Concept Plan described in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.

SECTION 6. That development of the Subject Property shall be in conformance with the schedule listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals).
(a) The procedures set forth in the City's subdivision regulations on the date this ordinance is approved by the City, as amended by this ordinance [including Subsections 5(b) through 5(g) below], shall be the exclusive procedures applicable to the subdivision and platting of the Subject Property.
(b) The following plans and plats shall be required in the order listed below (except as set forth below with regard to simultaneous processing and approvals). The City Council shall act on an application for a Master Parks and Open Space Plan in accordance with the time period specified in Section 212.009 of the Texas Local Government Code.
(1) Master Parks and Open Space Plan
(2) Master Plat
(3) Preliminary Plat
(4) PD Site Plan
(5) Final Plat
(c) Master Parks and Open Space Plan. A Master Parks and Open Space Plan for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance, prepared in accordance with this ordinance, shall be considered for approval by the City Council following recommendation of the Parks and Recreation Board.
(d) Master Plat. A Master Plat for the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify the proposed timing of each phase of the proposed development. A Master Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(e) Preliminary Plat. A Preliminary Plat for each phase of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted in accordance with the phasing plan established by the Master Plat and shall include a Treescape Plan for the phase being Preliminary Platted. A Preliminary Plat application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Master Plat and a Master Parks and Open Space Plan application for the development.
(f) PD Site Plan. A PD Site Plan for each phase of the development of the Subject Property, as depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance, shall be submitted and shall identify all site/landscape/hardscape plan(s) for all open space, neighborhood parks, trail systems, street buffers and entry features. A PD Site Plan application may be processed by the City concurrently with a Final Plat application for the development.
(g) Final Plat. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, a Final Plat, conforming to the Preliminary Plat, shall be submitted for approval.

SECTION 7. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 8. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 9. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code or any provision of the City Code, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code (including references to the Unified Development Code), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the Exhibits hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 10. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $6^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2022.

## ATTEST:



Kristy Teague, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: August 15, 2022



## Exhibit 'A':

BEING a tract of land situated in the WILLIAM DALTON SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 72 and the M.B. JONES SURVEY, ABSTRACT NO. 122, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 850, Page 15, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described as 0.64 Acre Tract in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 138, Page 106, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 190, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Mike Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being part of that tract of land described in Deed to Michael L. Peoples, as recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod found in the south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141, an 80 -foot right-of-way, for the northwest corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE Easterly, with said south line, the following four (4) courses and distances:
North 83 degrees 33 minutes 49 seconds East, a distance of 62.35 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the right having a central angle of 07 degrees 20 minutes, a radius of $2,824.93$ feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 86 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds East, 361.32 feet;

Easterly, with said curve to the right, an arc distance of 361.57 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

South 86 degrees 46 minutes 56 seconds East, a distance of 289.88 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the beginning of a curve to the left having a central angle of 57 degrees 36 minutes 49 seconds, a radius of 517.68 feet and a chord bearing and distance of North 64 degrees 24 minutes 40 seconds East, 498.90 feet;

Northeasterly, with said curve to the left, an arc distance of 520.55 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner at the intersection of said south line with the south line of Cornelius Road, a 60 -foot right-of-way;

THENCE North 89 degrees 31 minutes 25 seconds East, leaving said south line of Farm to Market Road No. 1141 and with said south line of Cornelius Road, a distance of 37.01 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for corner;

THENCE South 00 degrees 29 minutes 15 seconds East, leaving said south line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 300.96 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 61 degrees 44 minutes 36 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 134.73 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE North 00 degrees 05 minutes 20 seconds West, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 152.26 feet to a fence corner post found for an exterior ell corner of that tract of land described in Deed to City of Rockwall, Texas, as recorded in Volume 58, Page 191, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE North 89 degrees 12 minutes 20 seconds East, with the south line of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 657.00 feet to a fence corner post found for the southeast corner of said City of Rockwall, Texas tract;

THENCE South 01 degrees 28 minutes 22 seconds East, a distance of 835.49 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set for the common northwest corner of that tract of land described in Deed to Cain Family Partnership Ltd., as recorded in Document No. 2008-00396788, Deed Records, Rockwall County, Texas and an interior ell corner of said Mike Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1679, Page 196;
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THENCE South 01 degrees 35 minutes 19 seconds East, a distance of $1,090.27$ feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common east line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and west line of said Cain Family Partnership Ltd. tract;

THENCE South 83 degrees 16 minutes 15 seconds West, leaving said common line and generally with an existing fence, a distance of 258.34 feet to a fence corner post found for corner;

THENCE South 05 degrees 53 minutes 32 seconds East, generally with an existing fence, a distance of 78.43 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

THENCE South 83 degrees 38 minutes 06 seconds West, a distance of 415.44 feet to a fence corner post found for corner in the common west line of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151 and east line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15;

THENCE South 01 degrees 18 minutes 41 seconds East, with said common line, a distance of 334.84 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC" set in the north line of State Highway No. 66, a variable width right-of-way, for the common southeast corner of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 and southwest corner of said Michael L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 1081, Page 151;

THENCE South 89 degrees 38 minutes 42 seconds West, with said north line, a distance of 241.04 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for the southeast corner of Lot 1 , Block A of LADERA ROCKWALL, an Addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas according to the Plat thereof recorded in Document No. 20190000016594, Plat Records, Rockwall County, Texas;

THENCE Northwesterly, with the north line of said Lot 1, the following five (5) courses and distances:
North 63 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, leaving said north line of State Highway No. 66, a distance of 347.40 feet to a $1 / 2$-inch iron rod with a yellow plastic cap stamped "JVC' set for corner;

North 29 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 384.58 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 65 degrees 59 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 278.64 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

South 88 degrees 32 minutes 20 seconds West, a distance of 252.79 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found for corner;

North 37 degrees 46 minutes 31 seconds West, a distance of 128.78 feet to a 1 -inch red boundary marker stamped "G\&A MCADAMS CO" found in the west line of said Mike L. Peoples tract recorded in Volume 850, Page 15 for an interior ell corner of said Lot 1 ;

THENCE North 01 degrees 27 minutes 52 seconds West, with said west line, a distance of $1,699.38$ feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING and containing 94.133 acres of land, more or less.
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## DENSITY AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

(1) Permitted Uses. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, only those uses permitted within the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), are allowed on the Subject Property.
(2) Lot Composition and Layout. The lot layout and composition shall generally conform to the Concept Plan depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' and stated in Table 1, which is as follows:

TABLE 1: LOT COMPOSITION
Lot Type Minimum Lot Size (FT) Minimum Lot Size (SF) Dwelling Units (\#) Dwelling Units (\%)

| $A$ | $100^{\prime} \times 130^{\prime}$ | $13,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 9 | $03.42 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ | $82^{\prime} \times 120^{\prime}$ | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 26 | $09.98 \%$ |
| $C$ | $72^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ | $9,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | 86 | $32.70 \%$ |
| $D$ | $72^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ | $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ | 34 | $12.93 \%$ |
| $E$ | $62^{\prime} \times 110^{\prime}$ | $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ | 108 | $41.06 \%$ |
|  |  | Maximum Permitted Units: | 263 | $100.00 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

(3) Variation in Residential Lot Composition. The allocation of single-family residential lot types may deviate from the that in Subsection (2), Residential Lot Composition and Layout, of this ordinance provided that the maximum allowed total dwelling units does not exceed 263 units provided [1] a minimum of $58.94 \%$ of the total lot count be comprised of Lot Types ' $A$ ', ' $B$ ', ' $C$ ' \& ' $D$ ', [2] a minimum of nine (9) Lot Type ' $A$ ' and 26 Lot Type ' $B$ ' lots are provided, and [3] a maximum of $41.06 \%$ Lot Type ' $E$ ' lots can be provided.
(4) Density and Dimensional Requirements. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District, as specified by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the Subject Property. The maximum permissible density for the Subject Property shall not exceed 2.27 dwelling units per gross acre of land; however, in no case should the proposed development exceed $\underline{263}$ units. All lots shall conform to the standards depicted in Table 2, which are as follows:
TABLE 2: LOT DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

| Lot Type (see Concept Plan) | A | $B$ | C | D | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Width ${ }^{(1)}$ | 100' | 82' | 72' | 72' | 62' |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 130' | 120' | 115' | 110' | 110' |
| Minimum Lot Area | 13,000 SF | 10,000 SF | 9,000 SF | 8,400 SF | 7,200 SF |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback ${ }^{(2), ~(5) ~ \& ~(6) ~}$ | 25' | 20' | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 15' | 6 | 6 | 6 ' | 6 ' |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback (Adjacent to a Street) ${ }^{(2), ~(5) ~ \& ~(7) ~}$ | 15' | 15' | 15' | 15' | 15' |
| Minimum Length of Driveway Pavement | 20, | 20' | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Maximum Height ${ }^{(3)}$ | 35' | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback ${ }^{(4)}$ | 25' | 20' | 20' | 20' | 20' |
| Minimum Area/Dwelling Unit (SF) [Air-Conditioned Space] | 2,400 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,200 SF | 2,000 SF |
| Maximum Lot Coverage | 45' | 45' | 45' | 65' | 65' |

## General Notes:

${ }^{1}$ : Lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may have the front lot width reduced by $20 \%$ as measured at the front property line provided that the lot width will be met at the Front Yard Building Setback. Additionally, the lot depth on lots fronting onto curvilinear streets, cul-de-sacs and eyebrows may be reduced by up to ten (10) percent, but shall meet the minimum lot size for each lot type referenced in Table 1.
2: The location of the Front Yard Building Setback as measured from the front property line.
${ }^{3}$ : The Maximum Height shall be measured to the eave or top plate (whichever is greater) of the single-family home.
4: The location of the Rear Yard Building Setback as measured from the rear property line.
${ }^{5}$ : Sunrooms, porches, stoops, bay windows, balconies, masonry clad chimneys, eaves and similar architectural features
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may encroach beyond the Front Yard Building Setback and Side Yard Building Setback by up to five (5) feet for any property. A sunroom is an enclosed room no more than 15 -feet in width that has glass on at least $50 \%$ of each of the encroaching faces.
6. J-Swing or Traditional Swing Garages are permitted to encroach into the front yard building setback a minimum of five (5) feet.
7: All Corner Lots that back to a lot that fronts onto the same street that the Corner Lot sides to (i.e. a Keystone Lot), shall have a setback that is equal to the front setback of the fronting lot. In addition, no solid fence shall be situated within this setback.
(5) Building Standards. All development shall adhere to the following building standards:
(a) Masonry Requirement. The minimum masonry requirement for the exterior façade of all buildings shall be $90 \%$ (excluding dormers and walls over roof areas). For the purposes of this ordinance, the masonry requirement shall be limited to full width brick, natural stone, and cast stone. Cementitious fiberboard (e.g. HardiBoard or Hardy Plank) in a horizontal lap-siding, board-and-batten siding, or a decorative pattern (see examples below) may be used for up to $80 \%$ of the masonry requirement; however, administrative from the Director of Planning and Zoning approval may be requested for housing plans that utilize cementitous fiberboard in excess of $80 \%$ of the masonry requirement on a case-by-case basis.
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(b) Roof Pitch. A minimum of an 8:12 roof pitch is required on all structures with the exception of dormers, sunrooms and porches, which shall have a minimum of a $4: 12$ roof pitch.
(c) Garage Orientation and Garage Doors. A total of $20.15 \%$ or 53 of the 263 garages may be oriented toward the street in a Front Entry garage configuration; however, the front façade of the garage must be situated a minimum of five (5) feet behind the front façade of the primary structure. The remaining $79.85 \%$ or 210 garages shall be oriented in a $J$ Swing (or Traditional Swing) configuration or be situated a minimum of 20 -feet behind the front façade of the home. In addition, all garage configurations shall have upgraded finishes that consist of the following architectural elements: [1] coach lighting, [2] decorative wood doors or wood overlays on insulated metal doors, and [3] driveways that are constructed with ornamental stamped concrete brick pavers, stained finished, or salt finished [see Figures 4-6 for examples of upgraded or enhanced finishes].

FIGURE 4: EXAMPLES OF ENHANCED WOOD GARAGE DOOR
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FIGURE 5: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED FINISHES


DIVIDED BAYS


CARRIAGE HARDWARE


CEDAR CLADDING


ORNAMENTAL PAVING

## FIGURE 6: EXAMPLES OF UPGRADED GARAGES



แ以
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(6) Anti-Monotony Restrictions. The development shall adhere to the Anti-Monotony Matrix depicted in Table 3 below (for spacing requirements see Figures 7 \& 8 below).

TABLE 3: ANTI-MONOTONY MATRIX

| Lot Type | Minimum Lot Size | Elevation Features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $13,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |
| B | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |
| C | $9,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | (1), (2), (3), (4) |
| D | $8,400 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |
| E | $7,200 \mathrm{SF}$ | $(1),(2),(3),(4)$ |

(a) Identical brick blends or paint colors may not occur on adjacent (side-by-side) properties along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing materials on the same side of the street beginning with the adjacent property and six (6) intervening homes of differing materials on the opposite side of the street.
(b) Front building elevations shall not repeat along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance on the same side of the street and six (6) intervening homes of differing appearance on the opposite side of the street. The rear elevation of homes backing to open space, Cornelius Road, FM-1141, or SH-66 shall not repeat without at least five (5) intervening homes of differing appearance. Homes are considered to have a differing appearance if any of the following two (2) items deviate:
(1) Number of Stories
(2) Permitted Encroachment Type and Layout
(3) Roof Type and Layout
(4) Articulation of the Front Façade
(c) Permitted encroachment (i.e. porches and sunroom) elevations shall not repeat or be the same along any block face without at least five (5) intervening homes of sufficient dissimilarity on the same side of the street beginning with the home adjacent to the subject property and six (6) intervening homes beginning with the home on the opposite side of the street.
(d) Each phase of the subdivision will allow for a maximum of four (4) compatible roof colors, and all roof shingles shall be an architectural or dimensional shingle (3-Tab Roofing Shingles are prohibited).

FIGURE 7: Properties line up on the opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.


FIGURE 8: Properties do not line up on opposite side of the street. Where RED is the subject property.
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(7) Fencing Standards. All individual residential fencing and walls shall be architecturally compatible with the design, materials and colors of the primary structure on the same lot, and meet the following standards:
(a) Front Yard Fences. Front yard fences shall be prohibited.
(b) Wood Fences. All solid fencing shall be constructed utilizing standard cedar fencing materials (spruce fencing is prohibited) that are a minimum of $1 / 2$-inch or greater in thickness. Fences shall be board-on-board panel fence that is constructed a minimum of six (6) feet in height and a maximum of eight (8) feet in height. Posts, fasteners, and bolts shall be formed from hot dipped galvanized or stainless steel. All cedar pickets shall be placed on the public side (i.e. facing streets, alleys, open space, parks, and/or neighboring properties). All posts and/or framing shall be placed on the private side (i.e. facing towards the home) of the fence. All wood fences shall be smooth finished, free of burs and splinters, and be stained and sealed on both sides of the fence. Painting a fence with oil or latex based paint shall be prohibited.
(c) Wrought Iron/Tubular Steel. Lots located along the perimeter of roadways (i.e. FM-1141, Cornelius Road, and SH-66), abutting open spaces, greenbelts and parks shall be required to install a wrought iron or tubular steel fence. Wrought iron/tubular steel fences can be a maximum of six (6) feet in height. The Lot Type ' $A$ ' lots shall be required to utilize wrought iron/tubular steel fencing.
(d) Corner Lots. Corner lots fences (i.e. adjacent to the street) shall provide masonry columns at 45 -feet off center spacing that begins at the rear of the property line. A maximum of six (6) foot solid board-on-board panel fence constructed utilizing cedar fencing shall be allowed between the masonry columns along the side and/or rear lot adjacent to a street. In addition, the fencing shall be setback from the side property line adjacent to a street a minimum of five (5) feet. The property owner shall be required to maintain both sides of the fence.
(e) Solid Fences (including Wood Fences). All solid fences shall incorporate a decorative top rail or cap detailing into the design of the fence.
(f) Fence in Easements. No fencing shall be constructed in or across a North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) easement and/or an easement of the City of Rockwall.
(g) Additional Fence Requirements. In addition to the abovementioned fence requirements the following additional requirements will need to be met for Locations 1 \& 2 as depicted on Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance.
(1) Location 1. In Location (1), where the Lot Types ' $A$ ' \& ' $B$ ' lots abut an existing singlefamily home that has an existing six (6) foot or greater wood fence, the wood fence shall be permitted to remain on the shared property line and serve both lots.
(2) Location (2). In Location (2), where the lots abut a non-residential land use along the southern property line, a minimum of an eight (8) foot wood fence meeting the requirements of Subsection (b), Wood Fences, and Subsection (e), Solid Fences (including Woods Fences), above shall be constructed. In addition, one (1) row of a
minimum of four (4), four (4) inch caliper Eastern Red Cedar or Leland Cypress trees shall be planted along the rear property line of each property.

## (8) Landscape and Hardscape Standards.

(a) Landscape. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this development shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size and all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum of four (4) feet in total height. All shrubs shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons in size. Any residential lot that sides or backs to a major roadway where wrought iron/tubular steel fencing is required, shall be required to plant an additional row of shrubs adjacent to the wrought iron/tubular fence within the required 30 -foot landscape buffer (i.e. on the Homeowner's Associations' [HOAs'] property). These shrubs shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(b) Landscape Buffers. All landscape buffers and plantings located within the buffers shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(1) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (FM-1141). A minimum of a 30 -foot landscape buffer shall be provided along FM-1141 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(2) Landscape Buffer and Sidewalks (SH-66). A minimum of a 30-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along SH-66 (outside of and beyond any required right-of-way dedication), and shall incorporate ground cover, a built-up berm and shrubbery along the entire length of the frontage. Berms and/or shrubbery shall have a minimum height of 30 -inches and a maximum height of 48 -inches. In addition, three (3) canopy trees and four (4) accent trees shall be planted per 100-feet of linear frontage. A meandering six (6) foot trail shall be constructed within the 30 -foot landscape buffer.
(3) Landscape Buffers (Adjacent to Open Space). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along streets that have frontage on open space. This landscape buffer shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50 -feet of linear frontage.
(c) Street Trees. The Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be responsible for the maintenance of all street trees and will be required to maintain a minimum of 14 -feet vertical clearance height for any trees overhanging a public right-of-way. Street trees shall be planted a minimum of five (5) feet from public water, sanitary sewer and storm lines. All street trees shall be reviewed with the PD Site Plan.
(d) Residential Lot Landscaping. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (CO), all residential lots depicted on Exhibit 'C' shall be landscaped with a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]) within the front yard. In addition,
corner lots shall be required to add a minimum of two (2), four (4) inch caliper canopy trees (as measured per Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code [UDC]) within the side yard facing the street.
(e) Irrigation Requirements. Irrigation shall be installed for all required landscaping located within common areas, landscape buffers and/or open space. Irrigation installed in these areas shall be designed by a Texas licensed irrigator or landscape architect and shall be maintained by the Homeowner's Association (HOA).
(f) Hardscape. Hardscape plans indicating the location of all sidewalks and trails shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan.
(9) Street. All streets (excluding drives, fire lanes and private parking areas) shall be built according to City street standards.
(10) Lighting. Light poles shall not exceed 20 -feet in total height (i.e. base and lighting standard). All fixtures shall be directed downward and be positioned to contain all light within the development area.
(11) Sidewalks. All sidewalks adjacent to a street shall be a maximum of two (2) feet inside the right-of-way line and be five (5) feet in overall width.
(12) Buried Utilities. New distribution power-lines required to serve the Subject Property shall be placed underground, whether such lines are located internally or along the perimeter of the Subject Property, unless otherwise authorized by the City Council. Temporary power-lines constructed across undeveloped portions of the Subject Property to facilitate development phasing and looping may be allowed above ground, but shall not be considered existing lines at the time the area is developed, and if they are to become permanent facilities, such lines shall be placed underground pursuant to this paragraph. Franchise utilities shall be placed within a ten (10) foot public utility easement behind the sidewalk, between the home and the property line.
(13) Open Space/Public Park. The development shall consist of a minimum of $20 \%$ open space (or a minimum of 23.16-acres -- as calculated using the formula stipulated in the Comprehensive Plan), and generally conform to the Concept Plan contained in Exhibit 'C' of this ordinance. For the 182 lots associated with Phase 1 of the subdivision (i.e. the portion of the subdivision shown east of the redline dashed in Exhibit ' $C$ '), the developer shall be required to use the pro-rata equipment and cash-in-lieu of land fees -- in the amounts stipulated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance -- to establish a public park with amenities on the four (4) acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 13-01 of the W. M. Dalton Survey, Abstract 72) owned by the City of Rockwall adjacent to Cornelius Road. Amenities for this public park shall include irrigation, parking, a playground, walking trails, and benches. All equipment and improvements within the public park shall be built to the specifications stipulated by the Director of Parks and Recreation, and shall be provided by the developer in conjunction with the development of the proposed subdivision. Funds provided by the developer shall not be required to exceed the fees required through the Parkland Dedication Ordinance. Plans for the proposed public park shall be reviewed and recommended by the Parks and Recreation Board and approved by the City Council with the Preliminary Plat/Master Parks and Open Space Plan. In the event that the design of the public park cannot be agreed up by the Developer and the City of Rockwall, the Developer shall have the ability to satisfy the fees through the normal payment method dictated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance.

For the lots on Phase 2 of the subdivision (i.e. the portion of the subdivision shown west of the redline dashed in Exhibit ' $C$ '), the Developer shall satisfy the fees through the normal payment method dictated by the Parkland Dedication Ordinance.
(14) Trails. A minimum of a six (6) foot concrete trail system shall be constructed generally in the same location as the trail system depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
(15) Neighborhood Signage and Enhancements. Permanent subdivision identification signage shall be required at all major entry points for the proposed subdivision. Final design and location of any entry features shall be reviewed and approved with the PD Site Plan; however, the signage should be equal to or better than the representative signage depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ '. The developer shall provide enhanced landscaping areas at all entry points to the Subject Property. The final design of these areas shall be provided on the PD Site Plan.
(16) Homeowner's Association (HOA). A Homeowner's Association (HOA) shall be created to enforce the restrictions established in accordance with the requirements of Section 38-15 of the Subdivision Regulations contained within the Municipal Code of Ordinances of the City of Rockwall. The HOA shall also maintain all private neighborhood parks, trails, open space and common areas (including drainage facilities), floodplain areas, irrigation, landscaping, screening fences and neighborhood signage associated with this development. These areas are required to be delineated on the PD Site Plan.
(17) Variances. The variance procedures and standards for approval that are set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) shall apply to any application for variances to this ordinance.
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#### Abstract

SUMMARY Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Aaron Davis of SBM Real Estates Services for the approval of a Site Plan for an Office Park on a 2.36-acre tract of land identified as Tract 1-2 of the W. H. Baird Survey, Abstract No. 25, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Commercial (C) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located on the west side of John King Boulevard south of the intersection of John King Boulevard and SH-276, and take any action necessary.


## BACKGROUND

The subject property was originally annexed into the City of Rockwall on September 16, 1974 by Ordinance No. 74-25. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. On November 4, 1974, the City Council approved to a zoning change [Ordinance No. 74-32] for the subject property from an Agricultural (AG) District to Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Commercial (C) District land uses. The Planned Development District has been amended seven (7) times since the original adoption by Ordinance No.'s 96-03, 00-08, 04-25, 04-40, 12-13, 13-39 \& 20-30; however, the zoning designation of the subject property has not changed since Ordinance No. 74-32. Since the time of annexation, the subject property has remained vacant.

## PURPOSE

On July 15, 2022, the applicant -- Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. -- submitted an application requesting the approval of a Site Plan for the purpose of constructing five (5), 4,000 SF Office Buildings on the subject property.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is generally located on the west side of John King Boulevard, south of the intersection of John King Boulevard and SH-276. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

North: Directly north of the subject property is a 6.705 -acre tract of land that is developed with a Convalescent Care Facility/Nursing Home (i.e. Highland Meadows Health \& Rehab). This property is zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Commercial (C) District land uses. Beyond this is SH-276, which is identified as a TXDOT6D (i.e. Texas Department of Transportation, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a vacant 6.286 -acre tract of land that is zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Commercial (C) District land uses. Beyond this is a 32.6546 -acre parcel of land development with Multi-Family Apartments (i.e. Sixteen50 @ LHR Apartments). This property is zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Multi-Family/Single-Family Attached land uses.

South: Directly south of the subject property is Phase 4 of the Meadowcreek Estates Subdivision, which is zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Single-Family 6 (SF-6) District, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, and Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses. Beyond this is the Hickory Ridge Park, which is 12.805-acres and is located within the Hickory Ridge Subdivision. Beyond this is John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D
(i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

East: Directly east of the subject property is John King Boulevard, which is identified as a P6D (i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 15.428 -acre parcel of land developed with Dorris A. Jones Elementary school. This property is zoned Planned Development District 45 (PD-45) for General Retail (GR) District land uses. Beyond this is the Lofland Farms Phase 1 Subdivision zoned Planned Development District 45 (PD-45) for Single-Family 8.4 land uses.

West: Directly west of the subject property is Phase 4 of the Meadowcreek Estates Subdivision, which is zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Single-Family 6 (SF-6) District, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, and SingleFamily 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses. Beyond this is SH-276, which is identified as a TXDOT6D (i.e. Texas Department of Transportation, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is Phases 1 \& 2 of the Meadowcreek Estates Subdivisions, which are zoned Planned Development District 10 (PD-10) for Single-Family 6 (SF-6) District, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, and Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses.

## DENSITY AND DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

According to Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), an Office is a permitted by-right land use in a Commercial (C) District. The submitted site plan, landscape plan, photometric plan, and building elevations generally conform to the technical requirements contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC) for a property located within a Commercial (C) District with the exception of the items noted in the Variances and Exceptions Requested by the Applicant section of this case memo. A summary of the density and dimensional requirements for the subject property are as follows:

| Ordinance Provisions | Zoning District Standards | Conformance to the Standards |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $X=2.36$-acres; In Conformance |
| Minimum Lot Frontage | $60-$ Feet | $X=349.72$-feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 100 -Feet | $X=294.03$-feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | $15-$ Feet | $X=50-$-feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | $10-$ Feet | $X=20-$ feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | $10-$ Feet | $X>10-$ feet; In Conformance |
| Maximum Building Height | $60-$ Feet | $X<60-$ feet; In Conformance |
| Max Building/Lot Coverage | $60 \%$ | $X=20.24 \%$; In Conformance |
| Minimum Number of Parking Spaces | 1 Parking Space/300 SF (68 Required) | $X=70 ;$ In Conformance |
| Minimum Landscaping Percentage | $20 \%$ | $X=\sim 50.00 \%$; In Conformance |
| Maximum Impervious Coverage | $85-90 \%$ | $X<85 \%$; In Conformance |

## TREESCAPE PLAN

The Treescape Plan provided by the applicant indicates that nine (9) Eastern Red Cedar Trees will be removed from the subject property as a result of the development. According to Section 05, Tree Mitigation Requirements, of Article 09, Tree Preservation, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), Eastern Red Cedars are mitigated for a half-inch for each inch removed for a tree greater than 11 -inches and less than 25 -inches. In this case, there are 99.00 caliper inches being removed, this creates a mitigation balance of 49.50 caliper inches. As part of the proposed development the applicant's landscape plan shows that 35.00 , four (4) inch caliper canopy trees will be planted at a total of 140.00 caliper inches. Based on this the proposed landscape plan satisfies the mitigation balance.

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 02.02(D)(2), Office Building, of Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) an Office Building is defined as "(a) facility that provides executive, management, administrative, or professional services not specifically listed elsewhere in Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, but not involving the sale of
merchandise except as incidental to a permitted use." In this case, the applicant is requesting Office Buildings, which are permitted by right according to Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC).

According to Subsection 05.02(B), Screening from Residential, of Article 08, Landscape and Fence Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) states that "(a)ny non-residential or multi-family land use or parking area that has a side or rear contiguous to any residentially zoned or used property shall be screened with a masonry fence a minimum of six (6) feet in height with canopy trees planted on 20 -foot centers." In this case, the subject property has residential adjacencies along the west and south property lines. Subsection 05.02(B) goes on to state that the "Planning and Zoning Commission may approve an alternative screening method that incorporates a wrought iron fence and three (3) tiered screening." In this case, the applicant is requesting the alternative screening method that includes the wrought iron fence and the three (3) tiered screening along both the west and south property lines. The Planning and Zoning Commission shall consider the alternative screening method as part of the applicants site plan request.

The proposed site plan also generally conforms to the requirements of the General Overlay District Standards and the General Commercial District Standards as stipulated by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), with the exception of the variances being requested as outlined in the Variances and Exceptions Requested by the Applicant section of this case memo.

## VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS BY THE APPLICANT

As stated above, the applicant's request conforms to the majority of the City's codes; however, staff has identified the following variances:

## (1) Architectural Standards.

(a) Cementitious Materials. According to Subsection 06.02(C)(1)(a)(2), General Overlay District Standards, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(the) use of cementitious materials (e.g. stucco, cementitious lap siding, or similar materials) shall be limited to $50 \%$ of the building's exterior façade..." In this case the applicant has proposed greater than $50 \%$ cementitious material on each façade of the buildings. This will require a variance from the Planning and Zoning Commission.
(b) Four (4) Sided Architecture. According to Subsection 06.02 (C)(5), Four (4) Sided Architecture, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)ll buildings shall be architecturally finished on all four (4) sides utilizing the same materials, detailing, articulation and features." In this case, the applicant is required to meet the same building articulation standards for the primary building façades on all sides of the building. Given the proposed building elevations the applicant does not meet the wall length requirements. This will require a variance from the Planning and Zoning Commission pending a recommendation from the Architectural Review Board (ARB).
(c) Architectural Elements. According to Subsection 06.02 (C)(4), Required Architectural Elements, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)ll buildings that are less than 50,000 SF shall be designed to incorporate a minimum of four (4) architectural elements..." In this case, the applicant has less than four (4) architectural elements. This will require a variance from the Planning and Zoning Commission pending a recommendation from the Architectural Review Board (ARB).

According to Subsection 09.02, Variances to the General Overlay District Standards, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...an applicant may request the Planning and Zoning Commission grant a variance to the provisions contained in the Unified Development Code (UDC), where unique or extraordinary conditions exist or where strict adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code would create an undue hardship." This section of the code also includes the same language for variances to the General Overlay District Standards. In addition, the code requires that the applicant provide compensatory measures that directly offset the requested variances. As compensatory measures for this case, the applicant is proposing to provide [1] horizontal articulation elements, [2] flat awnings at all tower entries, and [3] $50 \%$ landscaped area. In this case, the first compensatory measure is not compensatory as it attempts to address the articulation variance, but it does not meet the requirements of the Unified

Development Code (UDC). The second compensatory measure not compensatory as it attempts to address the architectural elements variance, however the applicant does not have four (4) architectural elements. This effectively means the applicant is proposing one (1) compensatory measure. That being said, the applicant has also provided more than $20 \%$ natural stone on all sides of the building, which exceeds the requirements and could be considered a compensatory measure. Requests for variances to the General Overlay District Standards are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission. Staff should note that a supermajority vote (e.g. six [6] out of the seven [7] commissioners) -- with a minimum of four (4) votes in the affirmative -- is required for the approval of a variance or exception.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Plan adopted with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan identifies the subject property as being situated in the South Central Residential District. The South Central Residential District is an established district that is developed with a mixture medium-density and low-density residential subdivisions, with several large vacant tracts of land remaining. According to strategy \#2 in the South Central Residential District the commercial development in the northern areas of the district are "intended to support the existing and proposed residential developments and should be compatible in scale with the adjacent residential structures." In this case, the applicant is proposing buildings that will be supportive to the existing residential land uses and that will be constructed to a residential scale. Based on this the applicant's proposal appears to conform with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD (ARB) RECOMMENDATION

The Architectural Review Board (ARB) reviewed the building elevations provided by the applicant on July 26, 2022, and made the recommendation that gable roofs be used to match the roof structure of the existing development north of the subject property. Before action is taken on the building elevations, the ARB will review the applicant's revised building elevations and provide a recommendation to the Planning and Zoning Commission at the August 9, 2022 meeting.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to approve the applicant's Site Plan for the establishment of Office Buildings on the subject property, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All staff comments provided by the Planning, Engineering and Fire Department must be addressed prior to the submittal of engineering plans.
(2) The applicant must provide staff with a photometric plan that meets the requirements of the Unified Development Code (UDC) before submitting Engineering plans.
(3) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Site Plan shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat (\$100.00 $+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Replat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat ( $\$ 150.00$ )
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request ( $\$ 100.00$ )

## Site Plan Application Fees:

4. Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre)
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

## Zoning Application Fees:

[ ] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$

## Other Application Fees:

[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Address } 950 \text { williams } n \text { (s.H. G6) } \\
& \text { Subdivision WAGGONER GARCleNS NC. ADDItion } \\
& \text { General Location SH. } 66 \text { F CAROHH Orive }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| Current Zoning | C |  | Current Use | Retail / WHSE/OFfice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Zoning | C |  | Proposed Use | Retail / WHSE /OFFICE |
| Acreage | 8.869 AC, | Lots [Current] |  | Lots [Proposed] |

[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.

## OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONtaCt/original signatures are required]

| [ J Owner | HeLping Hands | $\downarrow$ Applicant | Carroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Jon bailey | Contact Person | JEFF CARROLL |
| Address | 950 willirms $4 \pi$. (SH.Cl) | Address | 750 E. interstate 30 culte 110 |
| City, State \& Zip | Rockwrle, Tx 15087 | City, State \& Zip | Rockwall, TY. 75087 |
| Phone | 972.771.1655 | Phone | 214.632 .1762 |
| E-Mail | Jonbailey e rockwall helping | Ids. Comail | Jcecarroll Arch.com |

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ [Owner] the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following:
"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$$ $\qquad$ , to cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$ By signing this application, I agree that the City of Rockwall (i.e. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public information."

Given under my hand and seal of office on this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$ .

## Owner's Signature

My Commission Expires

## Notary Public in and for the State of Texas




City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.


| Exteror fmsh scheoul |
| :---: |
|  |
| （8） |
|  |
| （1） |
| （1） |
| （f）Sorefrant \＆coors，color－dapk beove |
| （6）Extaor |
|  |
| （1）Recfers |
|  |
|  |
|  |




（回园巡迫回迫迫
 $\qquad$
 $\qquad$



$\qquad$ $=$






6 GATE SECTION @ DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE

(3) WALL SECTION @ DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE

3 ) $\operatorname{sCALE:~} 1 / 2^{2}==^{1-0^{\prime \prime}}$

(5) DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE REAR ELEVATION


7 DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE GATE DETAIL

(4) DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE SIDE ELEVATION







TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Planning and Zoning Commission
August 9, 2022
Jeff Carroll; Carroll Architects, Inc.
SP2022-041; Site Plan for Helping Hands

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request by Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. on behalf of Jon Bailey of Helping Hands for the approval of a Site Plan for a Commercial Building on a 8.869-acre tract of land identified Lot 1, Block A, Waggoner Gardens Inc. Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, addressed as 950 Williams Street, and take any action necessary.

## BACKGROUND

On November 30, 1959, the subject property was annexed by Ordinance 60-01 [Case No. A1960-001]. At the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural (AG) District. According to the City's Historic Zoning Maps, the subject property was zoned Light Industrial (LI) District as of January 3, 1972. Sometime between January 3, 1972 and December 7, 1993, the zoning was changed from a Light Industrial (LI) District to a Commercial (C) District. According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), the subject property has three (3) commercial buildings currently situated on the site: a $2,998 \mathrm{SF}$ building built in 1985, a $1,512 \mathrm{SF}$ commercial building built at an unknown date, and an $11,250 \mathrm{SF}$ commercial building built in 1993.

## PURPOSE

The applicant -- Jeff Carroll of Carroll Architects, Inc. -- is requesting the approval of a Site Plan for the construction of one (1), 14,158 SF building intended for General Office, Medical Office, and Storage land uses and one (1), 8,000 SF building intended for Storage land uses on the subject property.

## ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 950 Williams Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:
North: Directly north of the subject property is Williams Street, which is classified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is an 8.885acre vacant parcel of land (i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Rockwall Technology Park Phase 2 Addition) and an 11.313-acre vacant tract of land (i.e. Tract 2-01 of the J H B Jones Survey, Abstract No. 125). Both lots are currently owned by the Rockwall Economic Development Corporation (REDC) and are zoned Light Industrial (LI) District.

South: $\quad$ Directly south of the subject property is Harry Meyers Park (i.e. Tract 73 of the $R$ Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29; Tract 6-4 of the G.W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183; and Lot 1, Municipal Park), which is owned by the City of Rockwall. Beyond this is E. Washington Street, which is classified as an M4U (i.e. major collector, four [4] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

East: $\quad$ Directly east of the subject property is a 21.326 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 7 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract 183), zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, and developed with two (2) Rockwall ISD Administration Buildings (i.e. Rockwall Quest Academy/Admin). Beyond this is the Oak Creek Subdivision, which is zoned Two-Family (2F)

District, and is comprised of 78 lots on 18.37-acres. This subdivision was established in 1984. Beyond this is a 28.8836 -acre vacant tract of land (i.e. Tract 1 of the G. W. Redlin Survey, Abstract No. 183) zoned Planned Development 71 (PD-71) District for limited Commercial (C) District land uses. Bisecting the aforementioned lot is N. John King Boulevard, which is classified as a P6D (i.e. principal arterial, six [6] lane, divided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

West: Directly west of the subject property is a 3.120 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 66 of the $R$. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29) addressed as 940 Williams Street, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, and developed with a 1,592 SF singlefamily home. Beyond this are four (4) lots zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, and developed with single-family homes. Beyond that is a 5.82 -acre tract of land (i.e. Tract 50 of the R. Ballard Survey, Abstract No. 29), zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, with a 1,980 SF single-family home situated on it. Beyond that is Williams Street, which is classified as a Minor Collector on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## DENSITY AND DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

According to Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), Office, Medical Office, and Storage land uses are permitted by-right in a Commercial (C) District. The submitted site plan, landscape plan, and building elevations generally conform to the technical requirements contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC) for a property located within a Commercial (C) District with the exception of the and exceptions outline in the Variances and Exceptions by the Applicant section below. A summary of the density and dimensional requirements for the subject property and the proposed projects conformance to these requirements are as follows:

| Ordinance Provisions | Zoning District Standards | Conformance to the Standards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Lot Area | 10,000 SF | $X=422,532.00$ SF; In Conformance |
| Minimum Lot Frontage | 60-Feet | X>480-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Lot Depth | 100-Feet | $X=840$-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Front Yard Setback | 15-Feet | X>15-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Rear Yard Setback | 10-Feet | X>10-Feet; In Conformance |
| Minimum Side Yard Setback | 10-Feet | X>10-Feet; In Conformance |
| Maximum Building Height | 60-Feet | X<30; In Conformance |
| Max Building/Lot Coverage | 60\% | X<60\%; In Conformance |
| Minimum Number of Parking Spaces | Office= $1 / 300$ or 12 parking spaces Medical Office= $1 / 200$ or 28 parking spaces Storage= 1/1,000 or 13 parking spaces Total: 53 Parking spaces | X=81 Parking Spaces; In Conformance |
| Minimum Landscaping Percentage | 20\% | X $=72.5 \%$; In Conformance |
| Maximum Impervious Coverage | 85-90\% | $X=7.0 \%$; In Conformance |

## CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY'S CODES

Based on Subsection 02.02, Land Use Standards, of Article 13, Definitions, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), the applicant is requesting the approval of an Office/Storage/Medical Office, which conforms to the land uses listed in Section 01, Land Use Schedule, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) for a property situated in a Commercial (C) District. The proposed site plan generally conforms to the General Commercial District Standards as stipulated by Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), with the exception of the exceptions being requested in the Variances and Exceptions Requested by the Applicant section of this case memo.

## VARIANCES AND EXCEPTIONS BY THE APPLICANT

As stated above, the applicant's request conforms to the majority of the City's codes; however, staff has identified the following exceptions:

## Exceptions.

(1) Building Articulation on the Primary Building Facades. According to Subsection 04.01(C)(1) of Article 05, Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) primary facades require projections associated with entryways, architectural elements and wall lengths. Specifically, primary architectural/entryway elements are required to extend a minimum of $25 \%$ above the top of the wall and a minimum of $25 \%$ from the walls surface. In this case, the proposed building does incorporate vertical and horizontal projections on the primary building façades, but these projections do not meet the standards established by the Unified Development Code (UDC).
(2) Building Articulation on the Secondary Building Facades. According to Subsection 04.01(C)(2) of Article 05, Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) secondary facades require projections associated with architectural elements and wall lengths. Specifically, secondary architectural elements are required to extend a minimum of $15 \%$ above the top of the wall and a minimum of $15 \%$ from the walls surface. In this case, the proposed buildings do not incorporate vertical and horizontal projections on the secondary building façade, but these projections do not meet the standards established by the Unified Development Code (UDC).

According to Subsection 09, Exceptions and Variances, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), an applicant may request the Planning and Zoning Commission grant exceptions and variances to the provisions contained in the Unified Development Code (UDC), where unique or extraordinary conditions exist or where strict adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code would create an undue hardship. In addition, the code requires that applicants provide compensatory measures that directly offset the requested exceptions and variances. In this case, as compensatory measures, the applicant is proposing: [1] incorporating $20 \%$ stone, [2] additional architectural elements including storefront and awnings along the north side of Building 1, [3] 2.50 times the required percentage of landscaping, and [4] public amenities including a kids play ground area and multiple sitting areas with park benches. Requests for exceptions and variances are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission. Staff should note that a supermajority vote (e.g. six [6] out of the seven [7] commissioners) -- with a minimum of four (4) votes in the affirmative -- is required for the approval of an exception.

## CONFORMANCE WITH OURHOMETOWN VISION 2040 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Future Land Use Plan adopted with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan identifies the subject property as being situated in the Central District and being designated for Commercial/Retail land uses. According to the plan, the Central District is "... composed of a wide range of land uses that vary from single-family to industrial." Also, according to the plan, the Commercial/Retail land use category".... is characterized by single to multi-tenant commercial retail centers along major arterials at key intersections. These areas are typically considered to be convenience shopping centers and service adjacent residential subdivisions." In this case, the applicant is requesting to add two (2) buildings to be used for Storage, Office, and Medical Office land uses for an existing non-profit (i.e. Helping Hands) that retail store. Helping Hands has served and will continue to serve the adjacent residential areas. Based on this, the applicant's request appears to conform to the goals and policies of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

## ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD (ARB) RECOMMENDATION

On July 26, the Architecture Review Board (ARB) reviewed the proposed building elevations, and requested changes from the applicant. Specifically, the ARB requested that the applicant flatten the two pitched roof elements to create a more modern look. The applicant has provided updated building elevations that appears to meet the ARB's request. These will be reviewed by the ARB at the meeting on August $9,2022$.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Planning and Zoning Commission chooses to approve the applicant's Site Plan for the construction of one (1), 14, 158 SF Office, Medical Office, and Inside Storage building and one (1), 8,000 SF Storage building on the subject property, then staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All staff comments provided by the Planning, Engineering and Fire Department must be addressed prior to the submittal of engineering plans.
(2) The applicant will need to submit and receive approval for an updated Photometric Plan showing conformance to the lighting standards set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) prior to submitting civil engineering plans.
(1) The applicant will need to submit and receive approval for an updated Landscape Plan showing conformance to the screening requirements for the new pad-mounted AC units utilizing plantings or berms as set forth in the Unified Development Code (UDC) prior to submitting civil engineering plans.
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this Site Plan shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

## STAFF USE ONLY PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.

NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

## Platting Application Fees:

[ ] Master Plat (\$100.00 $+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Final Plat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Replat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat ( $\$ 150.00$ )
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request ( $\$ 100.00$ )

## Site Plan Application Fees:

4. Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre)
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

## Zoning Application Fees:

[ ] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { Acre })^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$

## Other Application Fees:

[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Address } 950 \text { williams } n \text { (s.H. G6) } \\
& \text { Subdivision WAGGONER GARCleNS NC. ADDItion } \\
& \text { General Location SH. } 66 \text { F CAROHH Orive }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

| Current Zoning | C |  | Current Use | Retail / WHSE/OFfice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Zoning | C |  | Proposed Use | Retail / WHSE /OFFICE |
| Acreage | 8.869 AC, | Lots [Current] |  | Lots [Proposed] |

[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.

## OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONtaCt/original signatures are required]

| [ J Owner | HeLping Hands | $\downarrow$ Applicant | Carroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contact Person | Jon bailey | Contact Person | JEFF CARROLL |
| Address | 950 willirms $4 \pi$. (SH.Cl) | Address | 750 E. interstate 30 culte 110 |
| City, State \& Zip | Rockwrle, Tx 15087 | City, State \& Zip | Rockwall, TY. 75087 |
| Phone | 972.771.1655 | Phone | 214.632 .1762 |
| E-Mail | Jonbailey e rockwall helping | Ids. Comail | Jcecarroll Arch.com |

## NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ [Owner] the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following:
"I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$$ $\qquad$ , to cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 20 $\qquad$ By signing this application, I agree that the City of Rockwall (i.e. "City") is authorized and permitted to provide information contained within this application to the public. The City is also authorized and permitted to reproduce any copyrighted information submitted in conjunction with this application, if such reproduction is associated or in response to a request for public information."

Given under my hand and seal of office on this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$ .

## Owner's Signature

My Commission Expires

## Notary Public in and for the State of Texas




City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of

Mr. Miller,

This letter serves as a summary of the Request for Exception/ Variances to the UDC within the general overlay district. The Variances include A) Building Primary and Secondary Materials.

## Building Primary and Secondary Materials.

A) Building A, Primary - we are requesting to use real stucco for the primary material on all four sides. Secondary - We meet the Stone, min. $20 \%$ required on all four sides. These cementitious materials match portions of the existing buildings and style of architecture.
B) Building B, Primary \& Secondary - we are requesting to use metal siding for the primary and secondary materials for this building. The existing buildings comprise of both cementitious materials and metal siding. The side facing the street will be $80 \%$ glass and storefront.

## Compensatory items we are providing for these variances.

A) We are adding landscape along SH 66 to fill in the voids. 2-Canopy and 4-ornamental trees. The existing trees and shrubs shall remain along SH 66 and behind the building.
B) Building A, we are providing awnings along the north side to help offset the articulation.
C) City Ordinance requires $20 \%$ landscaping. We are proposing $72.5 \%$ which is 2.5 x more than required by zoning code.
D) The site has existing pedestrian amenities such as kids play ground area and multiple sitting areas with park benches at various locations around the buildings.

Thank you for your consideration and reviewing our request.

Sincerely,
Gekf Carroll

Jeffrey Carroll
Carroll Architects, Inc.
President / CEO
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